A Review of the Biological Recording Infrastructure in Scotland

by the Scottish Biodiversity Information Forum

APPENDICES TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE FINDINGS

Contents

| | Page |
|---|------|
| APPENDIX 1: List of questions used in the SBIF Review Questionnaire | 2 |
| APPENDIX 2: List of Open Data comments | 49 |
| APPENDIX 3: List of motivation comments | 55 |
| APPENDIX 4: List of morale comments | 68 |
| APPENDIX 5: List of what is working well and less well | 70 |
| APPENDIX 6: List of ideas and priorities for improvements | 101 |

Note on redactions

All comments are reproduced verbatim except where personal information has been redacted by the SBIF Review Working Group to protect the identity of the questionnaire participant and/or their organisation.

Citation

This document should be cited as:

Wilson, E., Edwards, L., Johnston, C., Stroud, R., McLeod, C. and Bamforth, L. (2018). A Review of the Biological Recording Infrastructure in Scotland by the Scottish Biodiversity Information Forum: Appendices to the Questionnaire Findings. Scottish Biodiversity Information Forum.

CC BY 4.0

14 November 2018

APPENDIX 1: List of questions used in the SBIF Review Questionnaire < back to contents>

Sections

- Introduction
- Use of your information
- Section A: For Everyone (Q1-Q5)
- Section B: For Recorders or Data Collectors (Q6-Q24)
- Section C: For Verifiers or County Recorders (Q25-Q43)
- Section D: For Collection Curators (Q44-Q62)
- Section E: For Recording Group Operators (Q63-Q84)
- Section F: For Recording Scheme Operators (Q85-Q108)
- Section G: For Data Providers (Q109-Q128)
- Section H: For Data Developers (Q129-Q149)
- Section I: For Data Users (Q150-167)
- Section J: For Service Providers (Q168-Q188)
- Section K: For Service Users (Q189-Q207)
- Section L: For Funders (Q208-Q225)
- Section M: For Facilitators (Q226-Q243)
- Section N: For Everyone (Q244-Q247)

Introduction < back to list of sections>

***Questionnaire Now Closed ***

Dear Questionnaire Participant

Many people are involved in the collection or use of biological records - together we are a vital network with a shared desire to understand, enjoy and protect the biodiversity around us. We are needed more than ever as pressures on the environment are growing and biological records are essential for monitoring species and habitat change, informing planning and conservation decision making and bringing people closer to the natural world. Yet the complexity of our biological recording communities and infrastructure for collecting and sharing biological records, along with the difficulties of securing long term funding, may mean that we are less effective collectively than we could be.

Through this questionnaire we are aiming to understand how our network is working and where there are opportunities to strengthen it further, both locally and nationally. Your responses will help us to identify and bring about the improvements we need to achieve our vision:

"High quality species and habitat data will be collected and managed through a sustainable, co-ordinated and integrated local and national framework of organisations, partnerships and initiatives. These data will be available to ensure that Scotland's biodiversity, ecosystems and people benefit."

Please tell us how you are involved in collecting, managing or using biological records, what problems you have and your ideas for improvements. Although our primary remit is for Scotland, we are interested in hearing from people from across the UK as the issues and improvements needed could be common to us all. If sufficient responses are received from beyond Scotland, we will summarise our findings by country. The results of the questionnaire will be published in due course on the SBIF pages of the NBN website.

Thank you for taking part – your input is greatly appreciated.

Ellen Wilson (SBIF Chair)
Scottish Biodiversity Information Forum

For more details about SBIF and the SBIF Review please visit the SBIF pages on the NBN website

Use of your information < back to list of sections>

To complete the questionnaire you must be aged 18 or over and happy for your response to be used in the ways outlined below (if you are under 18 please ask your parent or guardian to complete the questionnaire on your behalf). The information that you provide will only be used to inform the Review of the Biological Recording Infrastructure in Scotland. Your response will enable us to:

- identify what is working well and less well, and to discover what ideas you might have for where worthwhile improvements could be made
- categorise and summarise responses by sector, role and country so that your information is not used in a way that would identify you or your organisation individually.
- inform options for what could be local, national or central to facilitate the selection of a preferred option and the overall recommendations of this Review.

All responses will be held confidentially and securely by the SBIF Working Group and archived for up to 3 years once the recommendations of the Review have been published.

By ticking the box below I agree that:

- I understand that the information that I provide will be used to inform the SBIF Review of Biological Recording Infrastructure in Scotland.
- I understand that my response will be treated confidentially and retained for no more than 3 years after the findings of the Review have been published.
- I understand that the information I provide will not be used in a way that could identify me or my organisation individually.
- I am at least 18 years of age.

☐ PLEASE TICK HERE TO CONFIRM YOUR AGREEMENT WITH THE ABOVE STATEMENTS.

SECTION A: For Everyone < back to list of sections>

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q1 1/5 How are you currently involved within our biological recording network or infrastructure?

Tick all that apply:

I am employed or in full time education within one or more of the sectors listed below

I volunteer within one or more of the sectors listed below

I am an affiliated member of an organisation within one or more of the sectors listed below

I am a member of the general public with no particular association with the sectors listed below

Q2 2/5 Which sector do you primarily belong to?

Recorders or Recording Groups

National Recording Schemes

Environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Local Environmental Records Centres

Commercial companies and environmental consultancies

Museums, zoos and botanic gardens

Academia and education

Local authorities and national park authorities

National or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations - e.g. the National Biodiversity Network (NBN) Secretariat

None of these - I'm a member of the general public

Other (please specify):

Q3 3/5 Which of the following roles do you personally have?

The questionnaire will provide one section of about 20 questions (almost all multiple choice) for each role that you tick. However many roles you have, you only need to complete the questionnaire once. Please complete it from your own personal perspective whether or not you have any particular association with a relevant organisation.

The questionnaire sections are labelled A (this section for everyone) to N (final questions for everyone) and so you will only receive Section B if you tick 'Recorder or Data Collector' below, Section C if you tick 'Verifier or County Recorder', and so on until Section M if you tick 'Facilitator'.

* LERC = Local Environmental Records Centre; NBN = National Biodiversity Network

Tick all that apply:

RECORDER OR DATA COLLECTOR: you collect biological records for your own or others' use

VERIFIER OR COUNTY RECORDER: you verify the accuracy of biological records collected and identified by others

COLLECTION CURATOR: you curate biological samples or specimens for analysis, exhibition or reference

RECORDING GROUP OPERATOR: you manage the activities and administration of a recording group

RECORDING SCHEME OPERATOR: you manage the activities and administration of a recording scheme

DATA PROVIDER: you publish datasets or derived data products and manage their metadata and licensing

DATA DEVELOPER: you create new value-added datasets or derived data products such as enriched data or trends

DATA USER: you use biological records, added-value datasets or data products for your own purposes

SERVICE PROVIDER: you supply services such as those provided by LERCs or the NBN Trust*

SERVICE USER: you use services such as those provided by LERCs or the NBN Trust*

FUNDER: you provide funding to support or commission key activities in our network

FACILITATOR: you act as a secretariat to coordinate, and communicate across, our whole network

Q4 4/5 Which Local Authority area are you based in?

We are asking this question so that we can map where questionnaire responses have been received from for each of the sectors and roles above. We will not use this information in any way that will identify you or your organisation individually. Please select the local authority area that contains the postal address for your home or office, whichever is appropriate.

Local Authority areas are listed in the following order: 1 Scotland, 2 Northern Ireland, 3 Wales, 4 England, 5 Crown Dependencies, 6 UK Overseas Territories, and 7 the Republic of Ireland.

Q5 5/5 Do you have a particular interest in any of the following?

Tick all that apply:

Wildlife conservation

Development planning and environmental impact assessment

Invasive non-native species

Public health and well-being

Disease control

Pollution

Climate change

Sustainable management of land, water or sea

Producing peer-reviewed scientific papers

Species taxonomy

No - not in any of these in particular

SECTION B: For Recorders or Data Collectors < back to list of sections>

RECORDER OR DATA COLLECTOR: YOU COLLECT BIOLOGICAL RECORDS FOR YOUR OWN OR OTHERS' USE

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q6 1/19 Which of the following activities do you do as a Recorder or Data Collector?

Tick all that apply:

Finding out what citizen science projects, Recording Groups and Recording Schemes exist and how to join them

Participating as an active member of a citizen science project, Recording Group or National Recording Scheme

Casual collection of ad hoc records

Formal collection of records following standard methods

Data management of your own records

Use of reference materials to check known species distributions or to aid species identification

Submission of your own records to Recording Groups, Recording Schemes or other Data Providers

Obtaining, using and maintaining specialist equipment such as binoculars, moth traps or microscopes

Training or self-study to increase your own skills as a Recorder or Data Collector

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Recorder or Data Collector

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q7 2/19 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Recorders and Data Collectors in particular.

Q8 3/19 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Recorders and Data Collectors in particular.

Q9 4/19 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Recorder or Data Collector?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Recorders and Data Collectors in particular.

Q10 5/19 In which of the following places do you primarily collect biological records?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories

British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q11 6/19 In which of the following environments do you primarily collect biological records?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

Q12 7/19 For which of the following taxonomic groups do you primarily collect biological records?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q13 8/19 How many biological records have you collected in the last year?

None

1 to 100 records

101 to 1,000 records

1,001 to 10,000 records

Over 10,000 records

Q14 9/19 How do you capture your biological records in the field?

Tick all that apply:

On paper e.g. in a notebook

Using a structured survey form on paper or card

Using a spreadsheet or database on a computer or tablet

Using a notes app on a phone or tablet

Using a bespoke recording app such as iRecord or BirdTrack

As a digital photograph, video or other image format

As a voice memo

As a sound recording

As a physical specimen or sample

By memory

Other (please specify):

Q15 10/19 How do you hold and manage the biological records that you collect?

Tick all that apply:

On paper

In a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

In an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

In an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Scheme or Recording Group

In an Access database

In a spatial layer in QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In iRecord

In BirdTrack

In Recorder

In Marine Recorder

In MapMate

In a bespoke system developed and managed by you or your organisation

I give my records to another Recorder or Data Collector who holds and manages them for me

Other (please specify):

Q16 11/19 Where do you send or submit your biological records?

If you publish your records directly on the NBN Gateway/NBN Atlas or elsewhere, please also complete the Data Provider section of this questionnaire (to add this section go back to Section A and tick 'Data Provider' under Question 3). If you fulfil individual requests for your data, e.g. for commercial or academic use, please indicate this using the options below. To iRecord

Tick all that apply:

To BirdTrack

To iSpot

Directly to another Recorder or Data Collector who will pass your records on appropriately

Directly to your followers on social media

Directly to the relevant Verifier or County Recorder

Directly to your local Recording Group

Directly to relevant other Recording Groups

Directly to relevant National Recording Schemes

Directly to Local Environmental Records Centres

Directly to private land owners

Directly to museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Directly to environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Directly to commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Directly to staff or students in academia or education

Directly to local authorities or national park authorities

Directly to national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Directly to cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

I don't know as someone else does this for me

I have not yet submitted my records

Other (please specify):

Q17 12/19 In what formats do you send or submit your biological records?

Tick all that apply:

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via a Recording Group's website

Online via a National Recording Scheme's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Group

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Scheme

As an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, videos or sound recordings

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a hand drawn illustration, written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

I don't know as someone else does this for me

I have not yet submitted my records

Other (please specify):

Q18 13/19 In which format do you most prefer to send or submit your biological records?

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via a Recording Group's website

Online via a National Recording Scheme's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Group

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Scheme

As an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, videos or sound recordings

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a hand drawn illustration, written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

I don't know as someone else does this for me

I have not yet submitted my records

Q19 14/19 Are you happy for the biological records that you collect to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q20 15/19 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Recorder or Data Collector in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q21 16/19 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Recorder or Data Collector in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q22 17/19 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Recorder or Data Collector in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Recorder or Data Collector); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000

£1,001 to £5,000

Over £5,000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us about this here:

Q23 18/19 What motivates you to be a Recorder or Data Collector?

Q24 19/19 Regarding the part that you play as a Recorder or Data Collector in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

SECTION C: For Verifiers or County Recorders < back to list of sections>

VERIFIER OR COUNTY RECORDER: YOU VERIFY THE ACCURACY OF RECORDS COLLECTED AND IDENTIFIED BY OTHERS

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q25 1/19 Which of the following activities do you do as a Verifier or County Recorder?

Tick all that apply:

Discovery of biological records to find any of interest that need verification

Formal verification of biological records with a physical specimen, photograph or other evidence

Formal verification of biological records without a specimen, photograph or other evidence

Data management of biological records being verified

Liaison with the original recorders of the biological records being verified

Use of reference materials to check known species distributions or to aid species identification

Alerting the Non-Native Species Secretariat or UK Species Inventory to records of new or invasive species

Obtaining, using and maintaining specialist equipment such as reference specimens, sample pots or microscopes

Training or self-study to increase your own skills as a Verifier or County Recorder

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Verifier or County Recorder

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q26 2/19 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Verifiers and County Recorders in particular.

Q27 3/19 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Verifiers and County Recorders in particular.

Q28 4/19 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Verifier or County Recorder?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Verifiers and County Recorders in particular.

Q29 5/19 In which of the following places do you primarily act as a Verifier or County Recorder?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories

British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q30 6/19 From which of the following environments do you primarily receive biological records for verification?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

Q31 7/19 For which of the following taxonomic groups do you primarily verify biological records?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q32 8/19 How many biological records have you verified in the last year?

None

1 to 100 records

101 to 1,000 records

1,001 to 10,000 records

Over 10,000 records

Q33 9/19 From whom do you receive biological records for verification?

Tick all that apply:

From users of iRecord

From users of BirdTrack

From users of iSpot

From members of the general public

From your followers on social media

From Recorders or Recording Groups who are affiliated with Recording Scheme(s) whose data you verify

From Recorders or Recording Groups who are not affiliated with Recording Scheme(s) whose data you verify

From the Recording Scheme Operator(s) for whom you act as a Verifier or County Recorder

From other National Recording Schemes

From other Verifiers or County Recorders

From Local Environmental Records Centres

From museums, zoos or botanic gardens

From environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

From commercial companies/environmental consultancies

From staff or students in academia or education

From local authorities or national park authorities

From national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

From cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Other (please specify):

Q34 10/19 In which formats do you receive biological records for verification?

Tick all that apply:

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via a Recording Group's website

Online via a National Recording Scheme's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by the Recording Scheme for which you verify records

As an Excel spreadsheet of a Recorder's own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, videos or sound recordings

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a hand drawn illustration, written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

I don't know as someone else does this for me

Other (please specify):

Q35 11/19 In which format do you most prefer to receive biological records for verification?

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via a Recording Group's website

Online via a National Recording Scheme's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by the Recording Scheme for which you verify records

As an Excel spreadsheet of a Recorder's own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, video or sound

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a hand drawn illustration or written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

I don't know as someone else does this for me

Other (please specify):

Q36 12/19 How do you hold or manage the biological records that you verify?

Tick all that apply:

On paper

In a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

In an Excel spreadsheet designed by you

In an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by the Recording Scheme for which you verify records

In an Access database

In a spatial layer in QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In iRecord

In BirdTrack

In Recorder

In Marine Recorder

In MapMate

In a bespoke system developed and managed by you or your organisation

Other (please specify):

Q37 13/19 When necessary, how do you liaise or correspond with the original Recorders of the records that you verify?

Tick all that apply:

Online via iRecord

Online via BirdTrack

Online via iSpot

Online via social media

In person

By written letter

By phone or text

By email

Via the Recording Scheme Operator

I don't need to contact the original Recorders

Someone else contacts the original Recorders for me

Other (please specify):

Q38 14/19 Are you happy for the biological records that you verify to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q39 15/19 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Verifier or County Recorder in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q40 16/19 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Verifier or County Recorder in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q41 17/19 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Verifier or County Recorder in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Verifier or County Recorder); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000

£1,001 to £5,000

Over £5,000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us about this here:

Q42 18/19 What motivates you to be a Verifier or County Recorder?

Q43 19/19 Regarding the part that you play as a Verifier or County Recorder in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

SECTION D: For Collection Curators < back to contents>

Please complete all questions in this section:

COLLECTION CURATOR: YOU CURATE BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES OR SPECIMENS FOR ANALYSIS, EXHIBITION OR REFERENCE

Q44 1/19 Which of the following activities do you do as a Collection Curator?

Tick all that apply:

Discovery, acquisition and loan of samples or specimens

Preservation and storage of samples or specimens

Digitisation and cataloguing of samples or specimens

Display and exhibition of samples or specimens

Data management of collection records and associated biological records

Submission of biological records to National Recording Schemes or other Data Providers

Alerting the Non-Native Species Secretariat or UK Species Inventory to records of new or invasive species

Obtaining, using and maintaining specialist equipment such as storage cabinets or microscopes

Training or self-study to increase your own skills as a Collection Curator

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Collection Curator

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q45 2/19 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Collection Curators in particular.

Q46 3/19 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Collection Curators in particular.

Q47 4/19 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Collection Curator?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Collection Curators in particular.

Q48 5/19 From which of the following places do you primarily obtain or receive biological samples or specimens?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories

British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q49 6/19 From which of the following environments do you primarily obtain or receive biological samples or specimens?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

Q50 7/19 For which of the following taxonomic groups do you primarily obtain or receive biological samples or specimens?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fisnes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q51 8/19 How many biological samples or specimens do you have in total in your collection(s)?

1 to 100 samples or specimens

101 to 1,000 samples or specimens

1,001 to 10,000 samples or specimens

10,001 to 1,000,000 samples or specimens

Over 1,000,000 samples or specimens

Q52 9/19 From whom do you obtain biological samples or specimens for your collection(s)?

Tick all that apply:

From donations or bequests from the general public

From Recorders or Recording Groups

From Verifiers or County Recorders

From National Recording Schemes

From Local Environmental Records Centres

From environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

From commercial companies/environmental consultancies

From museums, zoos or botanic gardens

From staff or students in education or academia

From local authorities or national park authorities

From national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

From cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

From your own activities and projects

Other (please specify):

Q53 10/19 How do you manage your collection(s) and the biological records arising from them?

Tick all that apply:

On paper

In a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

In an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

In an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Scheme

In an Access database

In a spatial layer in QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In iRecord

In BirdTrack

In Ke Emu

In Adlib

In Recorder

In Marine Recorder

In MapMate

In a bespoke system developed and managed by you or your organisation

Other (please specify):

Q54 11/19 Where do you send or submit the biological records that arise from your collection(s)?

If you publish your records directly on the NBN Gateway/NBN Atlas or elsewhere, please also complete the Data Provider section of this questionnaire (to add this section go back to Section A and tick 'Data Provider' under Question 3). If you fulfil individual requests for your data, e.g. for commercial or academic use, please indicate this using the options below.

Tick all that apply:

To iRecord

To BirdTrack

To iSpot

Directly to another Collection Curator who will pass your records on appropriately

Directly to your followers on social media

Directly to the relevant Verifier or County Recorder

Directly to relevant Recording Groups

Directly to relevant National Recording Schemes

Directly to Local Environmental Records Centres

Directly to private land owners

Directly to museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Directly to environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Directly to commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Directly to staff or students in education or academia

Directly to local authorities or national park authorities

Directly to national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Directly to cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Directly to the original collector of the biological sample or specimen

I don't know as someone else does this for me

I haven't yet submitted records to any of the above

Other (please specify):

Q55 12/19 In which formats do you send or submit the biological records that arise from your collection(s)?

Tick all that apply:

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via a Recording Group's website

Online via a National Recording Scheme's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Scheme

As an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, videos or sound recordings

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a hand drawn illustration or written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

I don't know as someone else does this for me

I haven't yet submitted any records from my collection(s)

Other (please specify):

Q56 13/19 In which format do you most prefer to send or submit the biological records that arise from your collection(s)?

For this question, please indicate the format that you prefer for textual or numeric information (rather than for supporting evidence such as a photo or physical sample or specimen).

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via a Recording Group's website

Online via a National Recording Scheme's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Scheme

As an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, videos or sound recordings

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

I don't know as someone else does this for me

I haven't yet submitted any records from my collection(s)

Other (please specify):

Q57 14/19 Are you happy for the biological records that arise from your collection(s) to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q58 15/19 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Collection Curator in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q59 16/19 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Collection Curator in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q60 17/19 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Collection Curator in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, food and accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Collection Curator); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000

£1,001 to £5,000

Over £5,000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us about this here:

Q61 18/19 What motivates you to be a Collection Curator?

Q62 19/19 Regarding the part that you play as a Collection Curator in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

SECTION E: For Recording Group Operators < back to list of sections>

RECORDING GROUP OPERATOR: YOU MANAGE THE ACTIVITIES AND ADMINISTRATION OF A RECORDING GROUP

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q63 1/22 Which of the following activities do you do as a Recording Group Operator?

Tick all that apply:

Prioritisation of what records to collect or what surveys to run

Recruiting, supporting, training or mentoring your Group's Recorders

Running and attending field excursions and other activities with your Group's Recorders

Data management of your Group's records

Administration of your Group's activities and accounts

Fundraising and grant reporting

Submission of your Group's records to National Recording Schemes or other Data Providers

Obtaining, using and maintaining specialist equipment such as boats, mammal traps or microscopes

Training or self-study to increase your own skills as a Recording Group Operator

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Recording Group Operator

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q64 2/22 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Recording Groups in particular.

Q65 3/22 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Recording Groups in particular.

Q66 4/22 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Recording Group Operator?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Recording Groups in particular.

Q67 5/22 In which of the following places does your Recording Group primarily collect biological records?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories

British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q68 6/22 In which of the following environments does your Recording Group primarily collect biological records?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

Q69 7/22 For which of the following taxonomic groups does your Recording Group primarily collect biological records?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q70 8/22 How many biological records has your Recording Group collected in the last year?

None

1 to 1,000 records

1,001 to 10,000 records

10,001 to 100,000 records

100,001 to 1,000,000 records

Over 1,000,000 records

Q71 9/22 How many Recorders or members belong to your Recording Group?

Under 10 recorders or members

11 to 100 recorders or members

101 to 1,000 recorders or members

1,001 to 10,000 recorders or members

Over 10,000 recorders or members

Q72 10/22 Who collects biological records on behalf of (or submits records to) your Recording Group?

Tick all that apply:

Local Recorders and/or other affiliated members of your Group

Local Recorders who are not affiliated with your Group

Visiting Recorders or Recording Groups with records of interest to your Group

Recorders or Recording Groups of other taxa with records for taxa of interest to your Group

National Recording Schemes

Members of the general public

Local Environmental Records Centres

Environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Staff or students in academia or education

Local authorities or national parks

National or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Other (please specify):

Q73 11/22 Who manages the biological records that your Recording Group collects?

Tick all that apply:

Our recorders manage their own records and there is no central copy

One or more Recording Group volunteers have the role of managing the Group's records

One or more Recording Group employees have the role of managing the Group's records

The relevant Recording Scheme Operator manages our records for us

An environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation manages our records for us

A Local Environmental Records Centre manages our records for us

The Biological Records Centre manages our records for us

A local authority or national park authority manages our records for us

A national or central government department, agency or public body manages our records for us

A school, college or university manages our records for us

A commercial company/environmental consultancy manages our records for us

A cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisation manages our records for us

Other (please specify):

If the Recording Group incurs any costs for the management of its biological records, please indicate the approximate cost to the Group per year and the extent to which the Group receives external funding against these costs:

Q74 12/22 How do your staff or volunteers manage the biological records that your Recording Group collects?

Tick all that apply:

Not applicable - someone else does this for us (as indicated in the previous question)

On paper

In a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

In an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

In an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Scheme

In a Microsoft Access database

In a spatial layer in QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In iRecord

In BirdTrack

In Recorder

In Marine Recorder

In MapMate

In a bespoke system developed for use by your Recording Group

Other (please specify):

Q75 13/22 Where do you send or submit the biological records that your Recording Group collects?

If you publish your records directly on the NBN Gateway/NBN Atlas or elsewhere, please also complete the Data Provider section of this questionnaire (to add this section go back to Section A and tick 'Data Provider' under Question 3). If you fulfil individual requests for your data, e.g. for commercial or academic use, please indicate this using the options below.

Tick all that apply:

To iRecord

To BirdTrack

To iSpot

To your followers on social media

To the relevant Verifier or County Recorder

To relevant other Recording Groups

To relevant National Recording Schemes

To Local Environmental Records Centres

To private land owners

To museums, zoos or botanic gardens

To environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

To commercial companies/environmental consultancies

To students in academia or education

To local authorities or national park authorities

To national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

To cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

I haven't yet sent or submitted records to any of the above

Not applicable - our recorders submit their own records

Other (please specify):

Q76 14/22 In what formats do you send or submit your Recording Group's biological records?

Tick all that apply:

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via a National Recording Scheme's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Scheme

As an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, videos or sound recordings

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a hand drawn illustration or written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

Not applicable as our Recorders submit their own records

Not applicable as the organisation that manages our biological records does this for us

Other (please specify):

Q77 15/22 In which format do you most prefer to send or submit the biological records that your Group collects?

For this question, please indicate the format that you prefer for textual information (rather than for supporting evidence such as a photo or physical sample or specimen).

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via a National Recording Scheme's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by a particular Recording Scheme

As an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, videos or sound recordings

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a hand drawn illustration or written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

Not applicable as our Recorders submit their own records

Not applicable as the organisation that manages our biological records does this for us

Other (please specify):

Q78 16/22 Who acts as a Data Provider to publish the biological records collected by your Recording Group?

No one - our records are not yet publicly available

We do as the Recording Group Operator - through the NBN Gateway/NBN Atlas

We do as the Recording Group Operator - through our own website

We do as the Recording Group Operator - through both our own website and the NBN Gateway/NBN Atlas

The relevant National Recording Schemes do on our behalf

Other (please specify):

Q79 17/22 Are you happy for the biological records collected by your Recording Group to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q80 18/22 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Recording Group Operator in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q81 19/22 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Recording Group Operator in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month.

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q82 20/22 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Recording Group Operator in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Recording Group Operator); any costs that were externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000

£1,001 to £5,000

Over £5,000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us about this here:

Q83 21/22 What motivates you to be a Recording Group Operator?

Q84 22/22 Regarding the part that you play as a Recording Group Operator in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

SECTION F: For Recording Scheme Operators < back to list of sections >

RECORDING SCHEME OPERATOR: YOU MANAGE THE ACTIVITIES AND ADMINISTRATION OF A RECORDING SCHEME

Note: if you operate more than one Recording Scheme, please consider your schemes to be one collective scheme when answering the questions in this section - there is no need to respond to this questionnaire multiple times on behalf of each one.

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q85 1/24 Which of the following activities do you do as a Recording Scheme Operator?

Tick all that apply:

Prioritisation of what records to encourage or what surveys to run

Recruiting, supporting, training and mentoring your Scheme's Recorders and Verifiers

Running and attending field excursions and other activities with your Scheme's Recorders and Verifiers

Data management of your Scheme's records

Administration of your Scheme's activities and accounts

Fundraising and grant reporting

Preparing your datasets and metadata for publication by your Scheme or another Data Provider

Recommending or stocking specialist equipment for use by your Scheme's Recorders and Verifiers

Training or self-study to increase your own skills as a Recording Scheme Operator

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Recording Scheme Operator

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q86 2/24 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Recording Schemes in particular.

Q87 3/24 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Recording Schemes in particular.

Q88 4/24 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Recording Scheme Operator?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Recording Schemes in particular.

Q89 5/24 For which of the following places does your Recording Scheme primarily receive or generate biological records?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories

British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q90 6/24 For which of the following environments does your Recording Scheme primarily receive or generate biological records?

Tick all that apply

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

Q91 7/24 For which of the following taxonomic groups does your Recording Scheme primarily receive or generate biological records?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses) Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

Q92 8/24 How many biological records has your Recording Scheme received or generated in the last year?

If you or your organisation run a number of Recording Schemes, please answer this question using the total number of records from all of your Recording Schemes.

None

1 to 1,000 records

1,001 to 10,000 records

10,001 to 100,000 records

100,001 to 1,000,000 records

Over 1,000,000 records

Q93 9/24 What level of annual operating costs does your Recording Scheme currently incur?

If you or your organisation run a number of Recording Schemes, please answer this question using the combined cost of operating all of your Recording Schemes.

Under £100 per year

£101 to £1,000 per year

£1,001 to £10,000 per year

£10,001 to £100,000 per year

£100,001 to £500,000 per year

Over £500,000 per year

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q94 10/24 From which of the following sources do you receive funding that contributes towards, or fully covers, the annual operating costs of your Recording Scheme(s)?

Tick all that apply:

From core funding provided by your own organisation

From contributions received from participants in your Recording Scheme

From environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

From Local Environmental Records Centres

From museums, zoos or botanic gardens

From commercial companies/environmental consultancies

From academic or educational institutions or funding bodies

From local authorities or national parks

From national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

From cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q95 11/24 From whom do you receive biological records for inclusion in your Recording Scheme?

Tick all that apply:

 $From \ Recorders, Recording \ Groups \ or \ County \ Recorders \ who \ are \ affiliated \ with \ your \ Recording \ Scheme$

From Recorders, Recording Groups or County Recorders who are not affiliated with your Recording Scheme

From other National Recording Schemes

From members of the general public

From Local Environmental Records Centres

From environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

From commercial companies/environmental consultancies

From museums, zoos or botanic gardens

From staff or students in academia or education

From local authorities or national parks

From national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Other (please specify):

Q96 12/24 In which formats do you receive biological records for your Recording Scheme?

Tick all that apply:

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via your Recording Scheme's own website

Online via a Recording Group's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by your Recording Scheme

As an Excel spreadsheet of a Recorder or Recording Group's own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, videos or sound recordings

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a hand drawn illustration or written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

Q97 13/24 In which format do you most prefer to receive biological records for your Recording Scheme?

Please indicate the format that you prefer for textual information (rather than for supporting evidence such as a photo or physical sample or specimen).

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via your Recording Scheme's own website

Online via a Recording Group's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by your Recording Scheme

As an Excel spreadsheet of a Recorder, Recording Group, or Verifier or County Recorder's own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, videos or sound recordings

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a hand drawn illustration or written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

Q98 14/24 Who manages the biological records that your Recording Scheme receives or generates?

Tick all that apply:

Our recorders manage their own records and there is no central copy

One or more Recording Scheme volunteers have the role of managing the Scheme's records

One or more Recording Scheme employees have the role of managing the Scheme's records

An environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation manages our records for us

A Local Environmental Records Centre manages our records for us

The Biological Records Centre manages our records for us

A local authority or national park authority manages our records for us

A national or central government department, agency or public body manages our records for us

An academic or educational institution manages our records for us

A commercial company/environmental consultancy manages our records for us

A cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisation manages our records for us

Other (please specify):

If the Recording Scheme incurs any costs for the management of its biological records, please indicate the approximate cost to the Scheme per year and the extent to which the Scheme receives external funding against these costs:

Q99 15/24 How do your staff or volunteers manage the biological records that your Recording Scheme receives or generates?

Tick all that apply:

Not applicable - someone else does this for us (as indicated in the previous question)

On paper

In a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

In an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

In an Excel spreadsheet designed specifically for your Recording Scheme

In a Microsoft Access database

In a spatial layer in QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In iRecord

In BirdTrack

In Recorder

In Marine Recorder

In MapMate

In a bespoke system developed for use by your Recording Scheme Other (please specify):

Q100 16/24 Where do you send or submit the biological records that your Recording Scheme receives or generates?

If you publish your records directly on the NBN Gateway/NBN Atlas or elsewhere, please complete the Data Provider section of this questionnaire (to add this section tick 'Data Provider' under Question 3 in Section A). If you fulfil individual requests for your data, e.g. for commercial or academic use, please indicate this using the options below.

Tick all that apply:

To iRecord

To BirdTrack

To iSpot

To your followers on social media

To Verifiers or County Recorders who act as the Verifiers for your Recording Scheme

To Verifiers or County Recorders who are not associated with your Recording Scheme

To relevant Recording Groups

To other National Recording Schemes

To Local Environmental Records Centres

To private land owners

To museums, zoos or botanic gardens

To environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

To commercial companies/environmental consultancies

To staff or students in academia or education

To local authorities or national park authorities

To national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

To cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

I haven't yet sent or submitted records to any of the above

Other (please specify):

Q101 17/24 In what formats do you send or submit your Recording Scheme's biological records?

Tick all that apply:

Online via the iRecord website

Online via the iRecord app

Online via the BirdTrack website

Online via the BirdTrack app

Online via the iSpot website

Online via your Recording Scheme's own website

Online via a Recording Group's website

Online via an environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisation's website

Online via a Local Environmental Records Centre's website

Online via social media

As an Excel spreadsheet designed and supplied by your Recording Scheme

As an Excel spreadsheet of a Recorder, Recording Group, or Verifier or County Recorder's own design

As structured survey forms or cards

As physical specimens or samples

As digital photos, images, videos or sound recordings

As a MapMate sync file

As an Access database

As a spatial layer from QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In conversation or voicemail

As a hand drawn illustration or written note or letter

As an email message or text

As a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

Not applicable - our Recorders submit their own records

Not applicable as the organisation that manages our biological records does this for us

Other (please specify):

Q102 18/24 Are you happy for the biological records collected by your Recording Scheme to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q103 19/24 Who acts as a Data Provider to publish the biological records received or generated by your Recording Scheme?

No one - our records are not yet publicly available

We do as the Recording Scheme Operator - through the NBN Gateway/NBN Atlas

We do as the Recording Scheme Operator - through our own website

We do as the Recording Scheme Operator - through both our own website and the NBN Gateway/NBN Atlas The Biological Records Centre do - through the NBN Gateway/NBN Atlas Other (please specify):

Q104 20/24 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Recording Scheme Operator in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q105 21/24 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Recording Scheme Operator in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q106 22/24 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Recording Scheme Operator in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Recording Scheme Operator); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000

£1,001 to £5,000

Over £5,000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us about this here:

Q107 23/24 What motivates you to be a Recording Scheme Operator?

Q108 24/24 Regarding the part that you play as a Recording Scheme Operator in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answers please do so here:

SECTION G: For Data Providers < back to list of sections >

DATA PROVIDER: YOU PUBLISH DATASETS OR DERIVED PRODUCTS AND MANAGE THEIR METADATA AND LICENSING

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q109 1/20 Which of the following activities do you do as a Data Provider?

Tick all that apply:

Discovering, digitising and mobilising the biological records that you hold on your own or others' behalf

Data management of your data holdings and preparation of your datasets and metadata for publication

Compliance with regulations for relevant legislation, standards, contractual obligations or branding

Administration and maintenance of licences and metadata for each dataset that you publish

Fulfilment of data requests and responding to requests for higher levels of access

Development of your own portal or supporting material to supply and showcase your datasets

Seeking, responding to and using feedback on the quality and availability of your data holdings

Fundraising and grant reporting

Training or self-study to increase your own skills as a Data Provider

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Data Provider

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q110 2/20 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Data Providers in particular.

Q111 3/20 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Data Providers in particular.

Q112 4/20 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Data Provider?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Data Providers in particular.

Q113 5/20 For which of the following places do you primarily have biological records for publication?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories

British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q114 6/20 For which of the following environments do you primarily have biological records for publication?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

Q115 7/20 For which of the following taxonomic groups do you primarily have biological records for publication?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q116 8/20 How many biological records have you published to date?

None

1 to 10,000 records 10,001 to 100,000 records 100,001 to 1,000,000 records 1,000,001 to 10,000,000 records Over 10,000,000 records

Q117 9/20 Overall, what proportion of all of the biological records that you hold have you published so far?

We are asking this question to find out whether Data Providers have further biological records that are yet to be mobilised and published. When deciding your answer, please consider all data holdings that are, or could be, of sufficient quality for publication. Do 'guesstimate'! If you don't yet know what data holdings you may have, give an estimate on the low side to allow for future discovery of data holdings that you could publish.

100%

66.7% to 99.9%

33.4% to 66.6%

0.1% to 33.3%

0%

Not sure/don't know

If you have data holdings that have not been published, what, if anything, is preventing their publication and what would help to overcome

Q118 10/20 How do you manage the biological records that you publish?

Tick all that apply:

On paper

In a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

In an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

In an Excel spreadsheet designed by the originators or suppliers of the biological records that you publish

In Microsoft Access database format

In spatial layers in QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In iRecord

In BirdTrack

In Recorder

In Marine Recorder

In MapMate

In an enterprise database such as Oracle, PostGres or SQLServer

In a bespoke or in-house system developed and managed by you or your organisation

Other (please specify):

Q119 11/20 Where do you publish the datasets or derived data products that you make available as a Data Provider?

Tick all that apply:

Through books, leaflets or other printed material

Through peer-reviewed journals

On your own website

On a partnership project website

On the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas

On SEWeb (Scotland's Environment Web)

On the SNHi Natural Spaces portal for Scotland

On the Lle Geo Portal for Wales

On the NIVCA DetailData Portal for Northern Ireland

On the INSPIRE geoportal for Ireland

On the MAGIC portal for Great Britain

On GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility)

On DASHH (Data Archive for Seabed Species and Habitats)

On MEDIN (Marine Environmental Data & Information Network)

On EMODnet (European Marine Observation and Data Network)

On EurOBIS (European Ocean Biogeographic Information System)

On the Marine Data Exchange portal (from the Crown Estate)
On NMPi (National Marine Plan interactive from Marine Scotland)

On data.gov portals

Other (please specify):

Q120 12/20 On whose behalf do you act as a Data Provider?

Tick all that apply:

On your own behalf

On behalf of your organisation

On behalf of your followers on social media

On behalf of multi-organisation projects or partnerships within the same sector

For one or more Recording Groups

For one or more National Recording Schemes

For one or more environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

For one or more Local Environmental Records Centres

For one or more commercial companies or environmental consultancies

For one or more museums, zoos or botanic gardens

For one or more educational or academic institutions

For one or more local authorities or national park authorities

For one or more national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

For cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Other (please specify):

Q121 13/20 Does anyone else publish some or all of the biological records that you publish?

Tick all that apply:

No - I/we are the sole publisher of the biological records that I/we publish

Yes - at least some of the records that I/we publish are also published by other Data Providers

Don't know/not sure

If you answered yes, please describe the circumstances in which this happens:

Q122 14/20 Aside from the publication channels in the question above, where else do you send or submit the added-value datasets or derived data products that you hold?

If you fulfil individual requests for your data, e.g. for commercial or academic use, please indicate this using the options below.

Directly to your followers on social media

Directly to the relevant Verifier or County Recorder

Directly to relevant other Recording Groups

Directly to relevant National Recording Schemes

Directly to Local Environmental Records Centres

Directly to private land owners

Directly to museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Directly to environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Directly to commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Directly to students in academia or education

Directly to local authorities or national park authorities

Directly to national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Directly to cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Not applicable - I/we only supply records as published datasets or products

Q123 15/20 Are you happy for the biological records that you publish to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q124 16/20 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Data Provider in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q125 17/20 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Data Provider in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q126 18/20 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Data Provider in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Data Provider); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000 £1,001 to £5,000

Over £5,000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us about this here:

Q127 19/20 What motivates you to be a Data Provider?

Q128 20/20 Regarding the part that you play as a Data Provider in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answers please do so here:

SECTION H: For Data Developers < back to list of sections>

DATA DEVELOPER: YOU CREATE NEW ADDED-VALUE DATASETS OR DERIVED DATA PRODUCTS

Such as: enriched or augmented data, distribution maps and atlases, hotspot maps, models, trends and indicators, web maps or automated and bespoke data feeds.

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q129 1/21 Which of the following activities do you do as a Data Developer?

Tick all that apply:

Discovery and acquisition or procurement of biological records and other content

Market research, innovation and development of added-value datasets and derived data products

Reporting to others what biological records you have enhanced and where, how or why you enhanced them

Data management of your added-value datasets and new data products and preparation of them for publication

Seeking, responding to and using feedback on the quality and availability of your datasets and data products

Compliance with regulations for relevant legislation, standards, contractual obligations and branding Development of your own portal or supporting material to supply and showcase your products

Tendering, fundraising and grant reporting

Training or self-study to increase your own skills as a Data Developer

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Data Developer

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q130 2/21 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Data Developers in particular.

Q131 3/21 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Data Developers in particular.

Q132 4/21 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Data Developer?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Data Developers in particular.

Q133 5/21 For which of the following places do you primarily develop added-value datasets or derived data products?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories

British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q134 6/21 For which of the following environments do you primarily develop added-value datasets or derived data products?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Q135 7/21 For which of the following taxonomic groups do you primarily develop added-value datasets or derived data products?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q136 8/21 Are any of the value-added datasets or derived data products have you developed to date publicly available for use by others?

Yes

No

Please give one or two examples of added-value datasets or derived data products that you have created and are happy for the SBIF Review to cite:

Q137 9/21 On whose behalf do you act as a Data Developer?

Tick all that apply:

On your own behalf

On behalf of your organisation

On behalf of your followers on social media

On behalf of multi-organisation projects or partnerships within the same sector

For one or more Recording Groups

For one or more National Recording Schemes

For one or more environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

For one or more Local Environmental Records Centres

For one or more museums, zoos or botanic gardens

For one or more educational or academic institutions

For one or more commercial companies or environmental consultancies

For one or more local authorities or national park authorities

For one or more national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

For cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Other (please specify):

Q138 10/21 Where do you go to source or obtain biological records for use in developing added-value datasets or derived data products?

Tick all that apply:

To the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas

To an online data portal other than the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas

To bookshops or libraries

To your own data holdings

To your organisation's own data holdings

To social media

Directly to individual Recorders

Directly to local Recording Groups

Directly to County Recorders

Directly to National Recording Schemes

Directly to Local Environmental Records Centres

Directly to museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Directly to environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Directly to commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Directly to staff or students in education or academia

Directly to local authorities or national park authorities

Directly to national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Directly to cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Directly to private land owners

Directly to members of the general public

Other (please specify):

Q139 11/21 If you ticked 'To an online data portal other than the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas' in the question above, please indicate which online portals you use:

Tick all that apply:

SEWeb (Scotland's Environment Web)

SNHi Natural Spaces portal for Scotland

Lle Geo Portal for Wales

NIVCA DetailData Portal for Northern Ireland

INSPIRE geoportal for Ireland

MAGIC portal for Great Britain

GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility)

DASHH (Data Archive for Seabed Species and Habitats)

MEDIN (Marine Environmental Data & Information Network)

EMODnet (European Marine Observation and Data Network)

EurOBIS (European Ocean Biogeographic Information System)

Marine Data Exchange Portal (from the Crown Estate)

NMPi (National Marine Plan interactive from Marine Scotland)

data.gov portals

Other (please specify):

Q140 12/21 Where are your added-value datasets or derived data products published?

Tick all that apply:

In bookshops and libraries

In peer-reviewed journals

On your own website

On a partnership project website

On the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas

On SEWeb (Scotland's Environment Web)

On the SNHi Natural Spaces portal for Scotland

On the Lle Geo Portal for Wales

On the NIVCA DetailData Portal for Northern Ireland

On the INSPIRE geoportal for Ireland

On the MAGIC portal for Great Britain

On GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility)

On DASHH (Data Archive for Seabed Species and Habitats)

On MEDIN (Marine Environmental Data & Information Network)

On EMODnet (European Marine Observation and Data Network)

On EurOBIS (European Ocean Biogeographic Information System)

On the Marine Data Exchange Portal (from the Crown Estate)

On NMPi (National Marine Plan interactive from Marine Scotland)

On data.gov portals

Other (please specify):

Q141 13/21 Who acts as a Data Provider to publish the added-value datasets or derived data products that you create?

If you publish your added-value datasets or new data products on your own behalf, please also complete the Data Provider section of this questionnaire (to add this section go back to Section A and tick 'Data Provider' under Question 3). If you provide the products that you develop as a service, please also complete the Service Provider section.

No one - they are not yet publicly available

I/we do on my/our own behalf

A book or journal publishing company does this for me/us

Other (please specify):

Q142 14/21 Aside from the publication channels in the question above, where else do you send or submit the added-value datasets or derived data products that you hold?

If you fulfil individual requests for your data, e.g. for commercial or academic use, please indicate this using the options below.

Directly to your followers on social media

Directly to the relevant Verifier or County Recorder

Directly to relevant other Recording Groups

Directly to relevant National Recording Schemes

Directly to Local Environmental Records Centres

Directly to private land owners

Directly to museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Directly to environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Directly to commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Directly to students in academia or education

Directly to local authorities or national park authorities

Directly to national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Directly to cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Not applicable - I/we only supply records as published datasets or products

Other (please specify):

Q143 15/21 How is any income that you make from added-value datasets or derived data products used?

To cover your own operating and product development costs

To provide a commercial return

To fund activities in support of your organisation's wider purpose

To fund original biological recording

To fund the National Biodiversity Network Secretariat

To fund education and training for new recorders

Not applicable - my/our data development activities do not make an income or profit

Other (please specify):

Q144 16/21 Are you happy for the added-value datasets and derived data products that you develop to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q145 17/21 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Data Developer in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q146 18/21 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Data Developer in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month. None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q147 19/21 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Data Developer in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Data Developer); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000

£1,001 to £5,000

Over £5,000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us about this here:

Q148 20/21 What motivates you to be a Data Developer?

Q149 21/21 Regarding the part that you play as a Data Developer in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answers please do so here:

SECTION I: For Data Users < back to list of sections>

DATA USER: YOU USE BIOLOGICAL RECORDS, ADDED-VALUE DATASETS OR DERIVED DATA PRODUCTS FOR YOUR OWN PURPOSES

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q150 1/18 Which of the following activities do you do as a Data User?

Tick all that apply:

Discovery of available biological records, datasets or data products

Understanding, negotiating and complying with licence conditions and access arrangements

Accessing, downloading, formatting and validating biological records, datasets or data products

Understanding collection methods, use limitations and content of biological records, datasets or data products

Giving feedback on the quality or suitability of particular biological records, datasets or data products

Obtaining value from use of biological records, datasets or data products for your own/your organisation's benefit

Obtaining value from use of biological records, datasets or data products for public benefit

Reporting to others what biological records you have used and where, how or why you used them

Training or self-study to increase your own skills as a Data User

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Data User

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q151 2/18 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Data Users in particular.

Q152 3/18 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Data Users in particular.

Q153 4/18 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Data User?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Data Users in particular.

Q154 5/18 Which of the following places are of primary interest for you as a Data User?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q155 6/18 Which of the following environments are of primary interest for you as a Data User?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

Q156 7/18 Which of the following taxonomic groups are of primary interest for you as a Data User?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q157 8/18 How often do you make use of biological records, added-value datasets or derived data products in some way?

Continuously

Very frequently

Frequently

Sporadically

Infrequently

Very infrequently

Q158 9/18 Where do you go to source or obtain biological records, added-value datasets or derived data products that you can use for your own purposes?

Tick all that apply:

To the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas

To an online data portal other than the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas

To bookshops or libraries

To your own personal data holdings

To your organisation's own data holdings

Directly to individual Recorders

Directly to local Recording Groups

Directly to County Recorders

Directly to relevant National Recording Schemes

Directly to Local Environmental Records Centres

Directly to museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Directly to environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Directly to commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Directly to staff or students in education or academia

Directly to local authorities or national park authorities

Directly to national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Directly to cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Directly to private land owners

Directly to members of the general public

Other (please specify):

Q159 10/18 If you ticked 'To an online data portal other than the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas' in the question above, please indicate which online portals you use:

Tick all that apply:

SEWeb (Scotland's Environment Web)

SNHi Natural Spaces portal for Scotland

Lle Geo Portal for Wales

NIVCA DetailData Portal for Northern Ireland

INSPIRE geoportal for Ireland

MAGIC portal for Great Britain

GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility)

DASHH (Data Archive for Seabed Species and Habitats)

MEDIN (Marine Environmental Data & Information Network)

EMODnet (European Marine Observation and Data Network)

EurOBIS (European Ocean Biogeographic Information System)

Marine Data Exchange Portal (from the Crown Estate)

NMPi (National Marine Plan interactive from Marine Scotland)

data.gov portals

Other (please specify):

Q160 11/18 How do you manage the biological records that you use while you are using them?

Tick all that apply:

On paper

In a document in Microsoft Word or Notepad

In an Excel spreadsheet of your own design

In an Excel spreadsheet designed or supplied by Data Providers of the biological records that you use

In a Microsoft Access database

In a spatial layer in QGIS, ArcGIS or MapInfo

In iRecord

In BirdTrack

In Recorder

In Marine Recorder

In MapMate

In a bespoke system developed for use by you or your organisation

Other (please specify):

Q161 12/18 Are you happy for all of the biological records, datasets and data products that you use for your own purposes to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q162 13/18 How satisfied are you with the biological records, added-value datasets or derived data products that are available for your use through our biological recording communities and infrastructure?

Please answer this question for each dimension below:

Very satisfied Satisfied Neither satisfied nor unsatisfied Unsatisfied Very unsatisfied

Range of datasets
Ease of discovery
Accessibility

Quality

Currency

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q163 14/18 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Data User in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q164 15/18 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Data User in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q165 16/18 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Data User in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Data User); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

fΩ

£1 to £500 £501 to £1,000 £1,001 to £5,000

Over £5,000
If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us about this here:

Q166 17/18 What motivates you to be a Data User?

Q167 18/18 Regarding the part that you play as a Data User in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answers please do so here:

SECTION J: For Service Providers < back to list of sections>

SERVICE PROVIDER: YOU SUPPLY SERVICES SUCH AS THOSE PROVIDED BY LERCS OR THE NBN TRUST

Such as: training and support for local recorders or national recording schemes, digitising and mobilisation of data, fulfilling data requests, data analysis, talks or outdoor activities for the public, ecological advice, designation of local biodiversity sites, screening of planning applications, data management of biological records or provision of shared systems for managing, aggregating, viewing, querying and analysing biological records online.

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q168 1/21 Which of the following activities do you do as a Service Provider?

Tick all that apply:

Market research, design, prioritisation and continual improvement for new and existing services

Discovery, procurement and licensing of biological records or other content for use in your services

Data management of the biological records made available through, or used in, your services

Technical development of the systems and tools made available as a service or used in your services

Development of your own portal or supporting material to supply and showcase your services

Compliance with regulations for relevant legislation, standards, contractual obligations and branding

Tendering, invoicing, fundraising, project or contract management and grant reporting

Management of your staff or volunteers, services, budgets, risks, data, IT systems, equipment and offices

Training or self-study to increase your skills as a Service Provider

Training or mentoring of others to share your skills as a Service Provider

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q169 2/21 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Service Providers in particular.

Q170 3/21 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Service Providers in particular.

Q171 4/21 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Service Provider?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Service Providers in particular.

Q172 5/21 In which of the following places do you primarily provide your services?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories British Territorial Waters Elsewhere on land Elsewhere at sea

Q173 6/21 Which of the following environments are primarily of interest for you as a Service Provider?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

Q174 7/21 Which of the following taxonomic groups are primarily of interest for you as a Service Provider?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q175 8/21 In which of the following areas do you provide services that support our biological recording community and infrastructure?

Tick all that apply:

FACILITATION: supporting partners to play their part, defining standards and providing common systems

INTRODUCTION: introducing people to biological recording and enjoyment of the natural world

COLLECTION: encouraging, training and supporting people to collect, digitise or mobilise biological records

VERIFICATION: checking the accuracy of biological records or developing capacity to do so on a wider scale

CURATION: managing and showcasing biological records on your own behalf or on behalf of others

PROVISION: publishing, aggregating and sharing biological records as easily available resources for all DISCOVERY: enabling people to identify, locate and extract the biological records that they need

ENHANCEMENT: configuring, analysing or enhancing records to increase their value or aid their interpretation

USE: using biological records to obtain value or benefit yourself, for colleagues or customers, or for public good

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q176 9/21 What level of annual operating costs does your organisation currently incur to provide its services?

Under £50,000

£50,001 to £150,000

£150,001 to £300,000

£300,001 to £450,000

Over £450.000

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q177 10/21 From which of the following sources do you receive income or funding that contributes towards, or fully covers, the annual operating costs of providing the services that you provide?

Tick all that apply:

From core funding provided by your own organisation

From individual Recorders or Recording Groups

From Recording Schemes

From environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

From Local Environmental Records Centres

From museums, zoos or botanic gardens

From commercial companies/environmental consultancies

From academic or educational institutions or funding bodies

From local authorities or national parks

From national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

From cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q178 11/21 Do you provide your services locally, nationally or centrally?

Tick all that apply:

Locally - my/our services are for a local or regional area and are delivered from within that local or regional area

Nationally - my/our services are for a nation (e.g. Scotland or the UK) and are delivered from within that nation

Centrally - my/our services are not place-based, they can be delivered from anywhere or to anyone

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q179 12/21 Who uses your services?

Tick all that apply:

You or your organisation

Individual Recorders

Recording Groups

Verifiers or County Recorders

National Recording Schemes

Local Environmental Records Centres

Museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Staff or students in education or academia

Local authorities or national park authorities

National or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Private land owners

Members of the general public

Other (please specify):

Q180 13/21 Where do you go to source or obtain the biological records, added-value datasets or derived data products that you make available through, or use as a component of, your services in some way?

Tick all that apply:

To the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas

To an online data portal other than the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas

To bookshops or libraries

To your own data holdings or those of your organisation

Directly to relevant Data Providers

Directly to individual Recorders

Directly to local Recording Groups

Directly to County Recorders

Directly to relevant Recording Schemes

Directly to Local Environmental Records Centres

Directly to museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Directly to environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Directly to commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Directly to staff or students in education or academia

Directly to local authorities or national park authorities

Directly to national or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Directly to cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Directly to private land owners

Directly to members of the general public

Not applicable - the services I provide don't use biological records, datasets or products in this way

Other (please specify):

Q181 14/21 If you ticked 'To an online data portal other than the NBN Gateway or NBN Atlas' in the question above, please indicate which online portals you use:

Tick all that apply:

SEWeb (Scotland's Environment Web)

SNHi Natural Spaces portal for Scotland

Lle Geo Portal for Wales

NIVCA DetailData Portal for Northern Ireland

INSPIRE geoportal for Ireland

MAGIC portal for Great Britain

GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility)

DASHH (Data Archive for Seabed Species and Habitats)

MEDIN (Marine Environmental Data & Information Network)

EMODnet (European Marine Observation and Data Network)

EurOBIS (European Ocean Biogeographic Information System)

Marine Data Exchange Portal (from the Crown Estate)

NMPi (National Marine Plan interactive from Marine Scotland)

data.gov portals

Other (please specify):

Q182 15/21 How is the income that you make, if any, from the provision of your services used?

Tick all that apply:

To cover your own operating and service development costs

To provide a commercial return

To fund activities in support of your organisation's wider purpose

To fund original biological recording

To fund the National Biodiversity Network Secretariat

To fund the Association of Local Environmental Records Centres

To fund education and training for new recorders

Not applicable - the service does not make an income or profit

Other (please specify):

Q183 16/21 Are you happy for all of the biological records, datasets or data products that arise from, or are used in, your services to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q184 17/21 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Service Provider in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q185 18/21 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Service Provider in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, canacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q186 19/21 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Service Provider in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Service Provider); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000

£1,001 to £5,000

Over £5,000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us about this here:

Q187 20/21 What motivates you to be a Service Provider?

Q188 21/21 Regarding the part that you play as a Service Provider in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answers please do so here:

SECTION K: For Service Users < back to list of sections>

SERVICE USER: YOU USE SERVICES SUCH AS THOSE PROVIDED BY LERCS OR THE NBN TRUST

Such as: training and support for local recorders or national recording schemes, digitising and mobilisation of data, fulfilling data requests, data analysis, talks or outdoor activities for the public, ecological advice, designation of local biodiversity sites, screening of planning applications, data management of biological records or provision of shared systems for managing, aggregating, viewing, querying and analysing biological records online.

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q189 1/19 Which of the following activities do you do as a Service User?

Tick all that apply:

Discovering, evaluating and registering for services of interest to you

Booking or procuring a service and complying with its terms and conditions of use

Configuring a service so that it meets your needs

Hosting a Service Provider, periodically or permanently, to better access their services

Giving feedback on the quality and suitability of existing services

Fundraising or budgeting to cover the costs of using a service

Obtaining value from use of a service for your own/your organisation's benefit

Obtaining value from use of a service for public benefit

Training or self-study to increase your skills as a Service User

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Service User

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q190 2/19 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Service Users in particular.

Q191 3/19 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Service Users in particular.

Q192 4/19 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Service User?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Service Users in particular.

Q193 5/19 In which of the following places do you primarily make use of the services that you use?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories

British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q194 6/19 Which of the following environments are primarily of interest for you as Service User?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q196 8/19 In which of the following areas do you make use of services that are of value to you in some way?

Tick all that apply:

FACILITATION: supporting partners to play their part, defining standards and providing common systems

INTRODUCTION: introducing people to biological recording and enjoyment of the natural world

COLLECTION: encouraging, training and supporting people to collect, digitise or mobilise biological records

VERIFICATION: checking the accuracy of biological records or developing capacity to do so on a wider scale

CURATION: managing and showcasing biological records on your own behalf or on behalf of others

PROVISION: publishing, aggregating and sharing biological records as easily available resources for all

DISCOVERY: enabling people to identify, locate and extract the biological records that they need

ENHANCEMENT: configuring, analysing or enhancing records to increase their value or aid their interpretation USE: using biological records to obtain value or benefit yourself, for colleagues or customers, or for public good

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q197 9/19 How often do you make use of the services that you use?

Continuously

Very frequently

Frequently

Sporadically Infrequently

Very infrequently

Q198 10/19 Do you primarily make use of services at a local, national or central level?

Tick all that apply:

Locally - the services I use are for a local or regional area and are delivered from within that local or regional area Nationally - the services I use are for a nation (e.g. Scotland or the UK) and are delivered from within that nation

Centrally - the services I use are not place-based, they can be delivered from anywhere or to anyone

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q199 11/19 In the last year, how much have you spent in total on paying for the services that you have used (regardless of who supplied them)?

£0 (for free-to-use services) £1 to £1,000

£1,001 to £10,000

£10,001 to £100,000

Over £100,000

Don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q200 12/19 Who provides these services to you?

Tick all that apply:

Individual Recorders

Recording Groups

Verifiers or County Recorders

National Recording Schemes

Local Environmental Records Centres

Museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Staff or students in education or academia

Local authorities or national park authorities

National or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Other (please specify):

Q201 13/19 How satisfied are you with the services that are available for your use through our biological recording communities and infrastructure?

Highly satisfied Satisfied Neither satisfied nor unsatisfied Unsatisfied Very unsatisfied

Range of services

Customer care

Service availability

Service quality

Value for money

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q202 14/19 Are you happy for all of the biological records, datasets and data products that arise from the services that you use to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q203 15/19 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Service User in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours Over 160 hours

Q204 16/19 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Service User in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q205 17/19 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Service User in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Service User); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000

£1,001 to £5,000

Over £5.000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us here:

Q206 18/19 What motivates you to be a Service User?

Q207 19/19 Regarding the part that you play as a Service User in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answers please do so here:

SECTION L: For Funders < back to list of sections>

FUNDER: YOU PROVIDE FUNDING TO SUPPORT OR COMMISSION KEY ACTIVITIES IN OUR NETWORK

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q208 1/18 Which of the following activities do you do as a Funder?

Tick all that apply:

Stakeholder engagement to understand needs and opportunities

Strategic planning to determine your funding programmes' aims and objectives

Financial planning and budget management to set and track grant expenditure

Assessment of the status and suitability of funding applicants and their applications

Liaison with funding applicants to fulfil funding application processes and oversee grant reporting

Monitoring of funding impact and compliance with funding terms and conditions

Reporting to others what funding you have provided and what outcomes it has achieved

Participation on relevant steering groups and committees as a key stakeholder

Training or self-study to increase your skills as a Funder

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Funder

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q209 2/18 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Funders in particular.

Q210 3/18 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Funders in particular.

Q211 4/18 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Funder?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Funders in particular.

Q212 5/18 In which of the following places do you primarily provide funding?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories

British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q213 6/18 Which of the following environments are primarily of interest for you given the drivers and objectives of your funding?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

Q214 7/18 Which of the following taxonomic groups are primarily of interest for you given the drivers and objectives of your funding?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q215 8/18 In the last year, what level of funding have you provided to support or commission key activities in our network in some way?

None

Minor

Medium

Major

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q216 9/18 Which of the following sectors do you fund to support or commission key activities in our network in some way?

Tick all that apply:

Individual Recorders

Recording Groups

Recording Schemes

Environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Local Environmental Records Centres

Museums, zoos or botanic gardens

Commercial companies/environmental consultancies

Academic or educational institutions

Local authorities or national park authorities

National or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Members of the general public

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q217 10/18 Which of the following roles do you fund to support or commission key activities in our network in some way?

Tick all that apply:

RECORDER OR DATA COLLECTOR: you collect biological records for your own or others' use

VERIFIER OR COUNTY RECORDER: you verify the accuracy of biological records collected and identified by others

 ${\tt COLLECTION\ CURATOR:\ you\ curate\ biological\ samples\ or\ specimens\ for\ analysis,\ exhibition\ or\ reference}$

RECORDING GROUP OPERATOR: you manage the activities and administration of a recording group

RECORDING SCHEME OPERATOR: you manage the activities and administration of a recording scheme DATA PROVIDER: you publish datasets or derived data products and manage their metadata and licensing

DATA DEVELOPER: you create new value-added datasets or derived data products such as enriched data or trends

DATA USER: you use biological records, added-value datasets or data products for your own purposes

SERVICE PROVIDER: you supply services or systems such as those provided by LERCs or the NBN Trust

SERVICE USER: you use services or systems such as those provided by LERCs or the NBN Trust

FACILITATOR: you act as a secretariat to coordinate, and communicate across, our whole network

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q218 11/18 Which of the following areas do you support or commission through the funding that you provide?

Tick all that apply:

FACILITATION: supporting partners to play their part, defining standards and providing common systems

INTRODUCTION: introducing people to biological recording and enjoyment of the natural world

COLLECTION: encouraging, training and supporting people to collect, digitise or mobilise biological records

VERIFICATION: checking the accuracy of biological records or developing capacity to do so on a wider scale

CURATION: managing and showcasing biological records on your own behalf or on behalf of others

PROVISION: publishing, aggregating and sharing biological records as easily available resources for all

DISCOVERY: enabling people to identify, locate and extract the biological records that they need

ENHANCEMENT: configuring, analysing or enhancing records to increase their value or aid their interpretation

USE: using biological records to obtain value or benefit yourself, for colleagues or customers, or for public good

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q219 12/18 Do you primarily provide funding at a local, national or central level?

Tick all that apply:

Locally - to fund activities for a local or regional area that are undertaken from within that local or regional area Nationally - to fund activities for a nation (e.g. Scotland or the UK) that are undertaken from within that nation Centrally - to fund activities that are not place-based and that can be delivered from anywhere or to anyone If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q220 13/18 Are you happy for the biological records collected, made available or used with support from your funding to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

No

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q221 14/18 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Funder in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q222 15/18 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Funder in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month.

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q223 16/18 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Funder in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Funder); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000

£1,001 to £5,000

Over £5,000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us here:

Q224 17/18 What motivates you to be a Funder?

Q225 18/18 Regarding the part that you play as a Funder in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

If you would like to add any information to explain your answers please do so here:

SECTION M: For Facilitators < back to list of sections>

FACILITATOR: YOU ACT AS A SECRETARIAT TO COORDINATE, AND COMMUNICATE ACROSS, OUR WHOLE NETWORK

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q226 1/18 Which of the following activities do you do as a Facilitator?

Tick all that apply:

Collective development of strategy, standards and good practice with all stakeholders in our network

Use of all forms of media to communicate key messages to our stakeholders and audiences

Liaision with stakeholders and audiences to facilitate and support the roles that they play

Technical development and support of centrally-provided systems for use by the whole network

Participation on relevant steering groups and committees as a key stakeholder

Formal governance of the secretariat with accountability for its finances, systems and decision-making

Management of your staff or volunteers, budgets, risks, data, IT systems, equipment and offices

Tendering, invoicing, fundraising, project or contract management and grant reporting

Training or self-study to increase your skills as a Facilitator

Training or mentoring others to share your skills as a Facilitator

This role is not relevant to me after all (if so, ignore the remaining questions in this section)

Other (please specify):

Q227 2/18 For the activities that you ticked above, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?

We are asking this to find out what we should celebrate and encourage more of to help Facilitators in particular.

Q228 3/18 For the activities that you ticked above, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

We are asking this to find out what we can address to improve things for Facilitators in particular.

Q229 4/18 What ideas do you have for specific changes or general improvements that could help resolve any of these issues for you as a Facilitator?

We are asking this to find ideas that we can take forward to help Facilitators in particular.

Q230 5/18 In which of the following places do you primarily act as a Facilitator to provide secretariat support?

Tick all that apply:

Scotland

Northern Ireland

England

Wales

Isle of Man

The Channel Islands

Republic of Ireland

UK Overseas Territories

British Territorial Waters

Elsewhere on land

Elsewhere at sea

Q231 6/18 Which of the following environments are primarily of interest for you given the scope of our biological recording network?

Tick all that apply:

Terrestrial

Freshwater

Marine

Q232 7/18 Which of the following taxonomic groups are primarily of interest for you given the scope of our biological recording network?

If the groups you are interested in are not listed, or if the groups below are not sufficiently specific, please use the 'Other' option to name the specific groups of interest to you.

Tick all that apply:

>>> All or any species or species groups

>>> All or any species or species groups of conservation concern

Amphibians (e.g. frogs, newts and toads)

Reptiles (e.g. snakes and lizards)

Birds

Mammals - marine

Mammals - terrestrial or freshwater

Fishes

Insects - dragonflies and damselflies

Insects - bugs

Insects - butterflies and moths

Insects - beetles

Insects - true flies

Insects - sawflies, bees, wasps and ants

Arachnids (e.g. spiders, ticks and mites)

Myriapods (e.g. millipedes and centipedes)

Crustaceans (e.g. crabs, barnacles and woodlice)

Molluscs (e.g. snails, slugs, squids and octopuses)

Annelid worms (e.g. earthworms and leeches)

Other worms (e.g. flatworms and nematodes)

Cnidarians (e.g. jellyfish, corals and sea anemones)

Echinoderms (e.g. starfish, sea urchins and sea cucumbers)

Seaweeds

Fungi

Lichens

Mosses and liverworts

Ferns

Flowering plants

Other (please specify):

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q233 8/18 Do you act as a Facilitator to provide secretariat support at a local, national or central level?

Tick all that apply:

Locally - to support activities for a local or regional area that are undertaken from within that local or regional area

Nationally - to support activities for a nation (e.g. Scotland or the UK) that are undertaken from within that nation

Centrally - to support activities that are not place-based and that can be delivered from anywhere or to anyone

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q234 9/18 Which of the following sectors do you support, or facilitate the involvement of, within our biological recording network in some way?

Tick all that apply:

Individual Recorders

Recording Groups

National Recording Schemes

Environmental/conservation Non-Governmental Organisations

Local Environmental Records Centres

Commercial companies and environmental consultancies

Museums, zoos and botanic gardens

Academia and education

Local authorities and national park authorities

National or central government departments, agencies or public bodies

Cross-sectoral partnership or secretariat organisations

Members of the general public

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q235 10/18 Which of the following roles do you support, or facilitate the involvement of, within our biological recording network in some way?

Tick all that apply:

RECORDER OR DATA COLLECTOR: you collect biological records for your own or others' use

VERIFIER OR COUNTY RECORDER: you verify the accuracy of biological records collected and identified by others

COLLECTION CURATOR: you curate biological samples or specimens for analysis, exhibition or reference

RECORDING GROUP OPERATOR: you manage the activities and administration of a recording group

RECORDING SCHEME OPERATOR: you manage the activities and administration of a recording scheme

DATA PROVIDER: you publish datasets or derived data products and manage their metadata and licensing DATA DEVELOPER: you create new value-added datasets or derived data products such as enriched data or trends

DATA USER: you use biological records, added-value datasets or data products for your own purposes

SERVICE PROVIDER: you supply services or systems such as those provided by LERCs or the NBN Trust

SERVICE USER: you use services or systems such as those provided by LERCs or the NBN Trust

FUNDER: you provide funding to support or commission key activities in our network

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q236 11/18 Which of the following areas do you support, or communicate about, within our biological recording network?

Tick all that apply:

FACILITATION: supporting partners to play their part, defining standards and providing common systems

INTRODUCTION: introducing people to biological recording and enjoyment of the natural world

COLLECTION: encouraging, training and supporting people to collect, digitise or mobilise biological records

VERIFICATION: checking the accuracy of biological records or developing capacity to do so on a wider scale

CURATION: managing and showcasing biological records on your own behalf or on behalf of others

PROVISION: publishing, aggregating and sharing biological records as easily available resources for all

DISCOVERY: enabling people to identify, locate and extract the biological records that they need ENHANCEMENT: configuring, analysing or enhancing records to increase their value or aid their interpretation USE: using biological records to obtain value or benefit yourself, for colleagues or customers, or for public good If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q237 12/18 What types of activities or resources do you offer to coordinate and communicate with your network partners and other stakeholders?

Tick all that apply:

Newsletters or magazines

Online forums

Working groups

User groups

Conferences

Training courses

Meeting rooms

Conference venues

Events management

Other (please specify):

Q238 13/18 Are you happy for the biological records made available through our biological recording network to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

Yes

Nο

Not sure/don't know

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

Q239 14/18 In the last year, how many hours a month on average have you acted as a Facilitator in an employed, i.e. paid, capacity?

Note: anyone working full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is working 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours per month.

None

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q240 15/18 In the last year, how many hours per month on average have you acted as a Facilitator in a voluntary, i.e. unpaid, capacity?

Note: anyone volunteering full time for 7.5-8 hours per day is volunteering for 37.5-40 hours per week and so about 150-160 hours a month.

0.1 to 20 hours

20.1 to 40 hours

40.1 to 80 hours

80.1 to 160 hours

Over 160 hours

Q241 16/18 In the last year, what level of expenses have you yourself incurred while acting as a Facilitator in some way?

INCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: fuel, accommodation when away from home; hire, licensing or purchase of specialist kit, clothing or software; relevant subscriptions and training course costs.

EXCLUDE COSTS SUCH AS: general costs of running a home, car or office (unless you run that car, home or office solely for the purpose of acting as a Facilitator); any costs that are externally funded or reimbursed.

£0

£1 to £500

£501 to £1,000

£1,001 to £5,000

Over £5.000

If you received any funding or expenses that in some way covered your costs, please tell us here:

Q242 17/18 What motivates you to be a Facilitator?

Q243 18/18 Regarding the part that you play as a Facilitator in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree

I know what to do to play my part well

I've got what I need to be able to play my part

I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play

People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions

Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow

People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

If you would like to add any information to explain your answer please do so here:

SECTION N: For Everyone < back to list of sections>

Please complete all questions in this section:

Q244 1/3 Given that the SBIF Review could potentially identify and facilitate many key improvements across our biological recording network, what would be your top three priorities for earliest or greatest attention?

- 1: Priorities for SBIF attention:
- 2: Priorities for SBIF attention:
- 3: Priorities for SBIF attention:

Q245 2/3 How would you like us to share the progress, findings and recommendations of the Review with you?

Note: our highlight reports and key papers are currently published on the SBIF pages of the NBN website and we post regular news articles in Network News from the NBN. To sign up to receive Network News from the NBN, click here.

via the SBIF pages on the NBN website

via the monthly Network News bulletins from the NBN Trust

via email using the SBIF supporters or NBN supporters mailing lists

via the Biodiversity Scotland pages on the Scottish Government web site

via BRISC or NFBR newsletters

Other (please specify):

Q246 3/3 If you would like your name or the name of your organisation to be listed in the List of Contributors to the SBIF Review, please enter your name and/or your organisation's name in the boxes below:

Note: answers to this question will be separated from the rest of your questionnaire responses prior to analysis so that responses are not tagged with the names of individuals or organisations.

Names as you would wish them to be in the List of Contributors:

Your name:

Your organisation:

Q247 And finally, if you have any other thoughts or comments to share with the SBIF Review Working Group, please tell us here:

END OF APPENDIX 1 < back to contents>

APPENDIX 2: List of open data comments < back to contents>

Within each section of questions, respondents were able to provide a comment in response to the following question to explain their answers if they wished to do so:

Are you happy for the biological records that you <collect/curate/use/provide> to be openly available (with appropriate caveats for sensitive records) for use by anyone?

"Open means anyone can freely access, use, modify, and share for any purpose (subject, at most, to requirements that preserve provenance and openness)." [source: www.opendefinition.org]

- Yes
- Not sure
- No

The following comments, classified by broad theme, response and role below, were received:

- COSTS NEED COVERING/VALUING
- FOR DATA PROVIDER / OWNER TO DECIDE
- NOT FOR COMMERCIAL USE
- NOT FOR SENSITIVE DATA
- REQUIRES CREDIT OF RECORDER / SUPPLIER
- SUBJECT TO DPA CONSIDERATIONS
- SUBJECT TO LICENCE /COPYRIGHT CONSIDERATIONS
- SUBJECT TO SUITABLE TIMING
- VALUE OF OPEN DATA APPROACH
- OPEN POLICY FOR PUBLICLY FUNDED DATA
- OTHER

| THEME: COSTS N | EED COVERING/VALUING | |
|----------------|----------------------|---|
| No | Curator | I do not believe that making data freely available for any use is financially sustainable. As a voluntary recorder I feel that just giving data away for anyone to use as they see fit undervalues the time and effort put into the recording effort that I, and my colleagues have put into creating the records. |
| No | Data Developer | The income we derive from supplying derived data products is essential to cover the operating costs of the LERC I run, and to some extent to cover the cost of my employment to our host organisation. as other funding sources are decreasing income from supplying derived data is becoming more important to ensure LERC survival. |
| No | Data Provider | Commercial users of our data should have to pay for its use, especially developers and their consultants. If all data is "open data" who will fund the cost of data management, support to recorders and biodiversity conservation? Part of our commercial search fees are given back to the bird and bat groups that supply the information. They use this money to fund species conservation and support their work. If the data was "open" there would be no funding for these groups. Open data would only work if there is sufficient funding available to support the data collectors, managers and LRCs |
| No | Data Provider | It is not sustainable to make all biological data available freely to everyone, everywhere. People dedicate hours of volunteer time to the process, but it is necessary to have some paid for roles in the process to allow data to be properly processed and disseminated. It is one of the few ways in which money spent on commercial activities such as development and building can be pulled back into the ecology community, and be used to support the volunteer work of recorders. |
| No | Data Provider | No - My job and the LERC i run depend on my being able to bring in funding to cover the LERC's costs, we can only do this by charging for the data we publish and supply for commercial use at present. We are losing other sources of funding so this is making it less likely that we can make data openly available for the foreseeable future. |
| No | Data Provider | Not for anyone under current business models. Not for commercial use (or any use that will in some way generate the user a profit) - as income that creates contributes to ongoing running of LERC and mobilisation of data. Income also comes from, for example, national government (or organisations such as SNH) or local authorities, again contributing to ongoing work of LERC. If all information was made freely available to such organisations, likely that they would just use the free information and not make funding contributions (particularly local authorities who are under increasing financial pressure to make savings). Unlikely that they would see the bigger picture and invest in LERC services that perhaps they themselves don't use but that the income enables LERCs to offer for free to recorders. |
| No | Data Provider | The Centre relies on bringing in some funds from commercial users to supplement that provided through grants. If we made all our data freely available then we would not be able to balance the books and run the centre - we could cease to have a service level agreement with our local authority and would lose income from commercial enquirers that supports the costs of running our organisation. |
| No | Data User | All datasets I use are LERC data supplied by my organisation - my job and the viability of the LERC are dependent on my organisation receiving funding for provision of this data for commercial use. This is becoming more important as a funding source due to reduced funding from other sources. |

| No | Data User | It is not sustainable to make all biological data available freely to everyone, everywhere. People dedicate |
|----|------------------|--|
| | | hours of volunteer time to the process, but it is necessary to have some paid for roles in the process to allow data to be properly processed and disseminated. It is one of the few ways in which money spent on commercial activities such as development and building can be pulled back into the ecology community, and be used to support the volunteer work of recorders. |
| No | Group Operator | I do not believe that making data freely available for any use is financially sustainable. As a voluntary recorder I feel that just giving data away for anyone to use as they see fit undervalues the time and effort put into the recording effort that I, and my colleagues have put into creating the records. |
| No | Recorder | Commercial users of my data should have to pay for its use, especially developers and their consultants If all data is "open data" who will fund the cost of data management, support to recorders and biodiversity conservation? |
| No | Recorder | I believe that the work done by LERCs is invaluable and has an undeniable economic value, so expect all commercial users to pay for data. Inappropriately open data undermines the business models of all LERCs.I am happy to share almost all my data at 10km resolution, which I believe is appropriate to establish a UK level distribution understanding. |
| No | Recorder | I do not believe that making data freely available for any use is financially sustainable. As a voluntary recorder I feel that just giving data away for anyone to use as they see fit undervalues the time and effort put into the recording effort that I, and my colleagues have put into creating the records. |
| No | Recorder | I don't think it is acceptable to share data openly to Commercial companies that may financially benefit from open data with no support for volunteer recorders to continue collecting data |
| No | Recorder | I feel that commercial users of the data should contribute to the costs of the organisations/recording schemes/societies working to support wildlife recording. In principal I have no objection in principal to the data being open, so long as there is a mechanism for recovering some costs from commercial data users. I don't feel it should all be down to the taxpayer. |
| No | Recorder | If a Company is using records for commercial gain (even if required by legislation that surveys are needed) then a suitable cost should be imposed. I feel strongly that these records that have an intrinsic cost to the recorder for obtaining and submitting them MUST be paid for. It is also a way for Record Centres to obtain funds. |
| No | Recorder | Yes but not for commercial purposes since that would wipe out nearly 50% of the LERC income to cover core operating costs |
| No | Scheme Operator | yes but not for commercial purpose as that would wipe out nearly 50% of LERC income for core costs |
| No | Service Provider | Data should not be freely available to commercial operations - costs of record centres etc need be covered in some way |
| No | Service Provider | In principal there is no objection to making all data open, but current funding mechanisms prohibit the adoption of such a model as we rely on income from service level agreements and commercial data enquiries to continue the running of the Centre. |
| No | Service Provider | It is fundamental that the proper and effective collation, management and dissemination of biological records be funded. I cannot emphasise enough this is apart from the development of enhanced products and services often touted as the alternative route to funding in an open data model. LERCs maintain their data holdings such that they are of the highest possible quality part of which relies on agreement and cooperation with individual recorders who support our work. A fully open data sharing model does not fit with the current model which is long established and, in Wales, working. |
| No | Service Provider | No. We require public and commercial users to pay for our data services in order to sustain our business model. It is not sustainable to make all biological data available freely to everyone, everywhere. People dedicate hours of volunteer time to recording, but it is necessary to have some paid for roles in the process to allow data to be properly processed and disseminated. It is one of the few ways in which money spent on commercial activities such as development and building can be pulled back into the ecology community, and be used to support the volunteer work of recorders. |
| No | Service Provider | Not all can be open due to licensing issues such as OS mapping. Some services are commercially oriented e.g. data searches for consultants. Overall I support open data but some LERC charged services fund the support of the local recording network and the systems need to maintain the services and as a result access to high quality, full resolution data is not at present likely to become fully OD. |
| No | Service Provider | Not currently. Current business models mean if all data was open for any purpose, income from service provision would be impacted. This could be commercial or could be services to government agencies, local authorities. Money in increasingly tight in local authorities for example; if they can simply search for records for free, they may do this rather than enter into an SLA that would also mean support for the recording community, new recording and data management. Same for SEPA, SNH, etc. There needs to be funding at some level to enable service provision, currently income from data services, SLAs, etc. is what we have. |
| No | Service Provider | We would not be able to cover our costs as an LERC if our data was openly available, and therefore would cease to exist. If people want data provision services at a local level there needs to be a way of sustaining this service provision. |
| No | Service User | If the data services we use were available openly we would have to fully fund the LERC we host to ensure its survival, as the LERC would lose all its income. This cost of this would probably be prohibitive, and we would therefore lose our only current source of data. |
| No | Service User | It is not sustainable to make all biological data available freely to everyone, everywhere. People dedicate hours of volunteer time to the process, but it is necessary to have some paid for roles in the process to allow data to be properly processed and disseminated. It is one of the few ways in which money spent on commercial activities such as development and building can be pulled back into the ecology community, and be used to support the volunteer work of recorders. |
| No | Verifier | I do not believe that making data freely available for any use is financially sustainable. I feel that just giving data away for anyone to use as they see fit undervalues the time and effort put into collecting, compiling and verifying the records. |

| | | · |
|---------------------|------------------|---|
| Not sure/don't know | Data Provider | Yes for non-commercial purposes under creative commons licence. Not commercially hence on the nbn atlas at 10km resolution. If we were properly funded annually and centrally by government then we would within reason be happy to provide all data completely open for everyone at full resolution. |
| Not sure/don't know | Data User | In theory, happy for the data to be openly available, but there is a cost for collecting/collating/making available the data which needs to be covered somehow. |
| Not sure/don't know | Group Operator | We are happy for people to use the data - no problems there. However this represents an income stream for the group - so we like to take control of issuing these records rather than give them to the record centre. |
| Not sure/don't know | Group Operator | Yes in theory, but my recording group coordinator role is part of my continued employment for an NGO. My role is partially funded on the basis that i cover some of the cost of my role by bringing in funding for data provision services so in practice we have to charge for commercial data requests. |
| Not sure/don't know | Recorder | Biological records I collect are usually the property of my employer, and my continued employment partially depends on my employer's ability to cover the costs of my role by charging for commercial data provision. In theory open access to data is great, but in practice this needs to be funded somehow - if data is to be "free at the point of access" maybe we need a centrally funded "national records service" to allow this to happen?! In practice, as with the NHS this may often involve people paying at some point though. |
| Not sure/don't know | Recorder | It depends on the records. The problem is here what start off as "records" seem to metamorphose somewhere along the line into "data" or even "open data", which governments and bodies such as the NBN seem to think are "free" and can be used for any purpose or released onto the internet without any controls over it. A large number of recording groups and even Local Record Centres do not like this approach, which is why you will see much less detailed, or even no data, being provided by some sectors for the forthcoming "Atlas of Living Britain". A lot of data, particularly historical data, was collected with a range of permissions and conditions associated with it and the current top-end push for "open data" seems to want to ignore all of this. |
| Not sure/don't know | Recorder | Only if that is compatible with the organisation or recording group (etc) with whom I choose to share my records or participate in a survey for. If making the data freely available would impact on their work (for example if it meant that a scheme would lose income that would make it harder or unsustainable to operate), I would want the decision to lie with them. |
| Not sure/don't know | Recorder | We have made material freely available to honours students to complete projects. We have received no funding for work we do and very expensive to collect data. The statutory and regulatory authorities should be contributing to the collection of this data. |
| Yes | Curator | As before for this question - yes but LERCs need income to survive. |
| Yes | Data Provider | Yes where possible. However in some cases the data production or "added value" needs to be funded so there needs to be recognition of this somewhere in the process either in the initial funding or at point of use. Ideally I would like a free at point of use model with adequately funded data collection, interpretation, management and provision. In the absence of that people should be prepared to pay for access to high quality data. I do see that low resolution data could be made OD but high quality, accurate high resolution data should be recognised as having value and a system behind it that needs maintaining. |
| Yes | Data User | As previously I am happy with the idea of OD but expect it to be well funded to ensure quality and sustainability |
| Yes | Facilitator | Yes but with the caveat that the cost of developing and providing them needs to be met somewhere. |
| Yes | Group Operator | Yes however we appreciate that LERCs need to charge to survive. |
| Yes | Recorder | Commercial operators need to pay for all data unless more money is given to recording schemes to help them survive without fees from commercial interests |
| Yes | Recorder | I think most recorders will answer this question as simply yes. However, I appreciate where others may not, that LERcs need to charge for handling data in order to survive and many local authority planners and local ecologists, conservationists source their data from LERCs as they get it quickly and it has been locally verified. Consequently I suggest this question should be more probing or should have subsidiary questions regarding LERCs. |
| Yes | Recorder | I think the data should be open wherever possible, but this requires centres to be adequately funded. As it stands, providing access to datasets is a way of generating funding and if all data is open, this funding stream is switched-off. A compromise is to make it open at one scale - but to charge for it at a finer scale. |
| Yes | Recorder | Yes as an aspiration. I do however believe that the systems needed to support my recording and data submission and it's management should be adequately funded. My data should be freely available at point of use. OD is one way that this could happen but more appropriate might be freely available for non-commercial use. |
| Yes | Scheme Operator | Absolutely, 100%, yes. It's a key function of a recording scheme and any that don't aspire to this are failing their group. Funding concerns are a genuine issue for some schemes with employed staff, but they need to be making efforts to move to a model that supports open data. |
| Yes | Verifier | But as for my previous answer to this question, I understand the need for LERCs to charge for handling data. |
| Yes | Verifier | Except commercial companies- Recording schemes need the money |
| THEME: FOR DATA PRO | Data User | The same ownership issue as before. Data are intellectual property. The only data which I have the authority to make available is that which I collect myself. |
| No | Service Provider | LERC acts on behalf of a range of data providers. It is up to the data providers whether their records should be made openly available. |
| No | Verifier | It's not my position to say - this decision is for the recorder of the record. |
| No | Verifier | Personally I would be more than happy, and all of my own records are freely available; handing over other people's data gather in many hundreds or thousands of hours of fieldwork requires much more serious thought, and others I have liaised with my organisation with have cautioned against doing that. |
| No | Verifier | The data which I verify is on behalf of someone else, i.e. it does not belong to me. I do not have the authority to say whether or not it should be publicly available- that is a matter for the owner. |
| Not sure/don't know | Data Provider | This entirely depends on the wishes of the original data provider, upon whose behalf we are acting. |
| Not sure/don't know | Data User | I think this is really a decision for the data provider. |

| Not sure/don't know | Data User | Not up to me - if I am seeing them, that is because the data providers have agreed to make them available. |
|--|---|---|
| Not sure/don't know | Data User | Specifically responding as a data user rather than any of the other roles selected and categories of questions |
| | | answered, it is not a data user's role to decide this - it is down to whoever the data being used was obtained from. |
| Not sure/don't know | Verifier | I verify for a national scheme and how the data is made available is not for me to decide |
| Yes | Verifier | It is not for me to restrict other people gaining access to records I have not collected. You should ask the |
| | | recorder of the specimen under verification. |
| THEME: NOT FOR COM | | |
| No | Data Developer | Yes but not for commercial purpose (as LERC response and personal) |
| No | Data Provider | as LERC response - yes but not for commercial use as that would wipe out nearly 50% of core income |
| No | Data Provider | We use CC-BY-NC licences for our data. |
| No | Data User | Data should not be freely available but not to commercial operators |
| No | Data User | Use non-commercial licence. |
| No | Data User | yes but not for commercial purpose |
| No | Facilitator | yes but not for commercial use |
| No | Group Operator | as LERC response - Yes but not for commercial purpose as that would wipe out nearly 50% of our funding |
| No | Recorder | Commercial organisations should not be able to freely (as in not pay for) data that I have freely (as in not been paid for) provided |
| No | Recorder | Data should not be freely available to commercial operations so ideally all access should be through well-funded recording schemes or local records centres. |
| No | Recorder | They should not be available freely for commercial purposes |
| No | Recorder | Use the Non-commercial licence. |
| No | Service Provider | Non-commercial use |
| No | Service Provider | Non-commercial use |
| No | Service Provider | Not for commercial use |
| No | Service Provider | Yes but not for commercial purpose (both as LERC and as personal) |
| No | Service User | yes but not for commercial purpose |
| No | Verifier | Commercial use should not be free and must be regulated |
| No | Verifier | I have a big concern about access by commercial organisations. In addition there should be some degree of control over the geographical scale at which material can be accessed |
| No | Verifier | Not freely to commercial operations |
| Not sure/don't know | Recorder | I feel uncomfortable if any records I submit are sold on to third parties who may make a financial gain from them. |
| Not sure/don't know | Recorder | Would like commercial companies to pay for the data, rather than receive for free. Any monies raised to go for conservation efforts. |
| Not sure/don't know | Verifier | yes but not for commercial purpose if that is the recorders wish or if the records are those managed by the LERC |
| Yes | Recorder | Yes, except to anyone who would use them for a commercial purpose perhaps. |
| Yes | Scheme Operator | As before - definitely non-commercial, some commercial, prefer to know when they're being used and if properly credited. |
| Yes | Verifier | As before - definitely non-commercial, as long as we are aware, with appropriate credits. |
| Yes | Verifier | Subject to recorder being so too, and subject to non-commercial use only |
| Yes | Verifier | Yes perhaps with the exception of commercial use. |
| THEME: NOT FOR SENSI | TIVE DATA | |
| No | | |
| No | Data User | Some information is sensitive or has been provided with specific conditions. |
| No | | Some information is sensitive or has been provided with specific conditions. Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these species is the best way to keep them submitting records, that are at least held locally. |
| | Data User | Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of |
| No | Data User Recorder | Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these species is the best way to keep them submitting records, that are at least held locally. |
| No No | Data User Recorder Recorder | Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these species is the best way to keep them submitting records, that are at least held locally. Some data may be commercially sensitive I do not have permission from recorders to make the records openly available. I think people may be discouraged from reporting rare / locally uncommon taxa if they thought the records would be made |
| No No | Data User Recorder Recorder Scheme Operator | Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these species is the best way to keep them submitting records, that are at least held locally. Some data may be commercially sensitive I do not have permission from recorders to make the records openly available. I think people may be discouraged from reporting rare / locally uncommon taxa if they thought the records would be made openly available, for use by anyone. Trespassing and collecting are an issue for my recording scheme. I would not disclose the location of orchids or similar plants that would die if stolen. I keep such photos off |
| No No No Not sure/don't know | Data User Recorder Recorder Scheme Operator Recorder | Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these species is the best way to keep them submitting records, that are at least held locally. Some data may be commercially sensitive I do not have permission from recorders to make the records openly available. I think people may be discouraged from reporting rare / locally uncommon taxa if they thought the records would be made openly available, for use by anyone. Trespassing and collecting are an issue for my recording scheme. I would not disclose the location of orchids or similar plants that would die if stolen. I keep such photos off social media. The same withholding of location/possibility for badger setts as baiters do stalk wildlife sites. |
| No No No Not sure/don't know Not sure/don't know | Data User Recorder Recorder Scheme Operator Recorder Recorder | Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these species is the best way to keep them submitting records, that are at least held locally. Some data may be commercially sensitive I do not have permission from recorders to make the records openly available. I think people may be discouraged from reporting rare / locally uncommon taxa if they thought the records would be made openly available, for use by anyone. Trespassing and collecting are an issue for my recording scheme. I would not disclose the location of orchids or similar plants that would die if stolen. I keep such photos off social media. The same withholding of location/possibility for badger setts as baiters do stalk wildlife sites. Mostly my answer is yes but there are occasional constraints with especially sensitive taxa. |
| No No Not sure/don't know Not sure/don't know Not sure/don't know | Data User Recorder Recorder Scheme Operator Recorder Recorder Recorder | Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these species is the best way to keep them submitting records, that are at least held locally. Some data may be commercially sensitive I do not have permission from recorders to make the records openly available. I think people may be discouraged from reporting rare / locally uncommon taxa if they thought the records would be made openly available, for use by anyone. Trespassing and collecting are an issue for my recording scheme. I would not disclose the location of orchids or similar plants that would die if stolen. I keep such photos off social media. The same withholding of location/possibility for badger setts as baiters do stalk wildlife sites. Mostly my answer is yes but there are occasional constraints with especially sensitive taxa. This will depend on / require consent from landowner/client so may be felt as sensitive. Depends on the record - some are sensitive - most are not. It's not up to me - the national scheme and wallable at capture resolution, except where the species would be |
| No No Not sure/don't know Not sure/don't know Not sure/don't know Not sure/don't know | Data User Recorder Recorder Scheme Operator Recorder Recorder Recorder Verifier | Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these species is the best way to keep them submitting records, that are at least held locally. Some data may be commercially sensitive I do not have permission from recorders to make the records openly available. I think people may be discouraged from reporting rare / locally uncommon taxa if they thought the records would be made openly available, for use by anyone. Trespassing and collecting are an issue for my recording scheme. I would not disclose the location of orchids or similar plants that would die if stolen. I keep such photos off social media. The same withholding of location/possibility for badger setts as baiters do stalk wildlife sites. Mostly my answer is yes but there are occasional constraints with especially sensitive taxa. This will depend on / require consent from landowner/client so may be felt as sensitive. Depends on the record - some are sensitive - most are not. It's not up to me - the national scheme and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these substitutes and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these specially. |
| No No No Not sure/don't know | Data User Recorder Recorder Scheme Operator Recorder Recorder Recorder Verifier Verifier | Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these species is the best way to keep them submitting records, that are at least held locally. Some data may be commercially sensitive I do not have permission from recorders to make the records openly available. I think people may be discouraged from reporting rare / locally uncommon taxa if they thought the records would be made openly available, for use by anyone. Trespassing and collecting are an issue for my recording scheme. I would not disclose the location of orchids or similar plants that would die if stolen. I keep such photos off social media. The same withholding of location/possibility for badger setts as baiters do stalk wildlife sites. Mostly my answer is yes but there are occasional constraints with especially sensitive taxa. This will depend on / require consent from landowner/client so may be felt as sensitive. Depends on the record - some are sensitive - most are not. It's not up to me - the national scheme and both have a policy of open data, so the default position is that verified records WILL be made available at capture resolution, except where the species would be endangered by doing so (e.g. badger setts). For all SNH-owned data and projects where SNH funds data collection or mobilization, it is generally a requirement to publish all data at capture resolution (subject to precautions to protect sensitive species). However, we may occasionally wish to restrict access or viewing resolution in the case of data sourced from third parties who are concerned about being identified or where there is a risk of control programmes (e.g. traps) being interfered with (e.g. Orkney stoat datasets), or for unverified data which may contain errors (e.g. |
| No No No Not sure/don't know Yes | Data User Recorder Recorder Scheme Operator Recorder Recorder Verifier Verifier Data Provider | Sensitive species like badgers and brown hares have to be only held locally. Some people do not trust NBN to prevent government access to data and will not be part of our recording system, so with holding records of these species is the best way to keep them submitting records, that are at least held locally. Some data may be commercially sensitive I do not have permission from recorders to make the records openly available. I think people may be discouraged from reporting rare / locally uncommon taxa if they thought the records would be made openly available, for use by anyone. Trespassing and collecting are an issue for my recording scheme. I would not disclose the location of orchids or similar plants that would die if stolen. I keep such photos off social media. The same withholding of location/possibility for badger setts as baiters do stalk wildlife sites. Mostly my answer is yes but there are occasional constraints with especially sensitive taxa. This will depend on / require consent from landowner/client so may be felt as sensitive. Depends on the record - some are sensitive - most are not. It's not up to me - the national scheme and both have a policy of open data, so the default position is that verified records WILL be made available at capture resolution, except where the species would be endangered by doing so (e.g. badger setts). For all SNH-owned data and projects where SNH funds data collection or mobilization, it is generally a requirement to publish all data at capture resolution (subject to precautions to protect sensitive species). However, we may occasionally wish to restrict access or viewing resolution in the case of data sourced from third parties who are concerned about being identified or where there is a risk of control programmes (e.g. traps) being interfered with (e.g. Orkney stoat datasets), or for unverified data which may contain errors (e.g. the Invertebrate Site Register). |

| Yes | Recorder | I would suggest that records that are made generally available should be at no less than 1km. square reference because I suspect that many are very many come from private gardens or other sensitive areas and a 6 fig. ref. could lead problems. |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Yes | Recorder | provided protected species data are appropriately safeguarded |
| Yes | Recorder | Yes, as long as it's not protected species which might be vulnerable in the public domain e.g. freshwater pearl mussel. I feel quite strongly that sharing data is hugely important and don't see the point in accumulating |
| Yes | Verifier | data and not making it publicly available (if species appropriate) |
| | OR PUBLICLY FUNDED I | Except for those for sensitive species which are not open access |
| Not sure/don't know | Service User | For all SNH-owned data and projects where SNH funds data collection or mobilization, it is generally a requirement to publish all data at capture resolution (subject to precautions to protect sensitive species). |
| Yes | Data Provider | These data were collected using public money - they will be freely available. |
| Yes | Funder | For all SNH-owned data and projects where SNH funds data collection or mobilization, it is generally a requirement to publish all data at capture resolution (subject to precautions to protect sensitive species). |
| | DIT OF RECORDER / SUP | |
| Yes | Recorder | Definitely for non-commercial purposes and, in most cases, for commercial purposes as well. We do, however, like to be informed when our data is being used and to be credited properly. |
| Yes | Recorder | I would be happy to share my data after I have asked for doing that and also under an agreement where my contribution will be acknowledged. |
| THEME: SUBJECT TO DE | PA CONSIDERATIONS | |
| Not sure/don't know | Curator | Potential problem concerning people's names being given as recorders, but other than that probably Yes. |
| Not sure/don't know | Data Developer | People's names may be an issue - otherwise yes |
| Not sure/don't know | Data Provider | People's name may be an issue, but otherwise Yes |
| Yes | Recorder | There is a lot of nervousness amongst data providers over the DPA implications of publishing records containing recorder name (an essential component of most records). In almost all cases this is due to poorly-designed surveys failing to make clear the implied consent. Any schemes struggling to keep up with current legislation will find GDPR hard to cope with. In practice, I think observers who contribute records are much more likely to be offended by their records not being used, or by not being given public acknowledgement, than by their name being published! |
| | CENCE /COPYRIGHT CON | ISIDERATIONS |
| Not sure/don't know | Data User | Depends on source. |
| Not sure/don't know | Service Provider | Most of the data that we manage and provide in our services are not owned by us and so our ability to make these data fully open is questionable. |
| Yes | Data Developer | For published papers, this is usually constrained by copyright of the journal. |
| Yes | Data Developer | In some cases data providers may restrict the resolution at which we can publish outputs using their data. |
| Yes | Data Developer | Some are based on OS data which means that they can't be fully open however we do make them as widely available as we can within the licensing parameters |
| Yes | Data Developer | Unless specified in the project by the funder etc |
| Yes | Data User | Though of course if I am using others' data then I have to abide by any conditions they may place on use of those data |
| THEME: SUBJECT TO SI | UITABLE TIMING | |
| No | Data User | As mentioned above, data gathered for a personal study and intended publication should not be readily available to others to interpret, unless in a general or summarised format only. |
| No | Data User | I may be given access to data that are being compiled for a purpose that would be derailed by open access, such as a study that is to be published at a later date, or to build a case for a prosecution. So I can't say I would be happy for all data to be openly available. |
| No | Recorder | Records that are being produced for a publication like an atlas I prefer to keep private until the relevant publication is published, otherwise all my hard work could be used by someone else to produce an atlas, scientific paper, etc. |
| No | Scheme Operator | Records are collected for specific projects and sensitive information is sometimes collected, therefore cannot be openly available |
| Not sure/don't know | Recorder | If you have collected data for your own specific study for eventual publication there is an issue over submitting data for others to see and/.or interpret. |
| Not sure/don't know | Verifier | Raw data should perhaps not be available for anyone to download at least until after publication of national macromoth atlas. |
| Yes | Recorder | This depends on the records, generally we like to publish results before making the data openly accessible. |
| THEME: VALUE OF OPE | | As a recorder I want my data available to observe and there with a table or state of the second of the second |
| Yes | Recorder | As a recorder I want my data available to whoever needs them, without the restrictions, duplication, drain on resources and prevention of innovation that licensed data approaches result in. |
| Yes Yes | Recorder | I have always wanted my records to be as widely available as possible, for anyone to use for any purpose. |
| | Recorder | I see little point in collecting records is they are not available to everyone who can usefully use them. They aren't really "my" records - they are Scotland's records, I just happen to see them. |
| Yes | Recorder | My prime reason for recording is to ensure the conservation of species. If others do not know what is where then that makes ensuring that species are not harmed impossible to achieve. |
| Yes | Recorder | Open data is important to help both professionals and volunteers, schools and community groups, do projects. |
| Yes | Recorder | So other interested people can make use of the data and to inform others of what is present in my area. |
| Yes | Recorder | There is no point recording if others cannot benefit from my records. |
| Yes | Recorder | This is paramount. Records which are open access are completely useless and may as well not be inputted into a database as they cannot be used for any kind of research or conservation, unless you are an NGO. This data needs to be widely and freely available for all so scientists, researchers, and conservation organisations can analyse the information in an non-biased manner. |
| | -1 | 1 |

| We are on this planet for a twinkle of time and what's the point of being overly precious with data that might help future generations see things that could disappear | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Whish there are always risks associated with sharing site details, on balance there is greater risk in keeping them hidden as this could require in magnetiment of the destruction of a site. | Yes | Recorder | |
| Yes I think it is very important that biological records are freely accessible. This helps target recording efforts, add is deutification and makes biological records are freely accessible. This helps target recording efforts are controlled by an organisation, held on behalf of individuals who have submitted, so care is needed in sharing them. No Data User Access to some datasets may have only been given on request, e.g. I've made requests as VC [now] recorder for enhanced access (e.g., raw data of full resolution) to some datasets on NBW Gateway. Some also contain erroneous records. No Group Operator Records collected as part of specific project and therefore cannot be made openly available. No Recorder Records collected as part of specific projects and therefore cannot be made openly available. In the control of the second | Yes | Recorder | Whilst there are always risks associated with sharing site details, on balance there is greater risk in keeping |
| See previous response - these records are curated by an organisation, held on behalf of individuals who have submitted, so care is needed in sharing them. | Yes | Recorder | Yes I think it is very important that biological records are freely accessible. This helps target recording |
| No sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short assward on the strategically so individual survivors on the strategically so individual records or the strategical st | THEME, OTHER | | errorts, aids identification and makes biological recording more inclusive. |
| Submitted, so care is needed in sharing them. No Data User Access to some datasets may have only been given on request, e.g. I've made requests as Victor recorder for enhanced access (e.g. raw data at full resolution) to some datasets on NBN Gateway. Some also contain erroneous records. No Recorder Recorder Records collected as part of specific project and therefore cannot be made expently available. No Recorder | | Data Bas Idaa | |
| for enhanced access (e.g., raw data at full resolution) to some datasets on NBN Gateway. Some also contain erroreous records. No Group Operator Records collected as part of specific project and therefore cannot be made openly available. Recorder Recorder state of the state of the many of the state of | NO | Data Provider | |
| Recorder Wish to have the final say on who sees and uses my records and at what resolution (and on a case-by-case stution). I would now want third-party organisations making this decision. Whilst I am generally happy for my data to be available at full resolution for conservation organisations (NGO and Governmental). I would be less keen to supply such disadests to private individuals, land would will be land with the same strategically so individual records are collected for specific projects and cannot be openly available. Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short answer to. Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short answer to. Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short answer to. Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short answer to. Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short answer to. Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short answer to. Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too context. Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too context. Not sure/don't know Recorder I may not would have concerns about ecological consultants using the data for EIA evidence etc. if it could be presented out of context. Yes Data Provider I may not be defined through a context of the c | No | Data User | for enhanced access (e.g. raw data at full resolution) to some datasets on NBN Gateway. Some also contain |
| stuation.). I would not want third-party organisations making this decision for me. Whist I am generally hoppy for my data to be available at full resolution for conservation organisations (NOc) and Governmental), if would be less keen to supply such datasets to private individuals, land owners, commercial organisations etc. Not sure/don't know Recorder Data Provider For this recording scheme only. yes. Para Provider This pappy to do so, not always easy to know whether all contributing recorders are also happy. The supplemental provider in some cases data providers may restrict the resolution at which we can publish outputs using their data. The supplemental provider in some cases data providers may restrict the resolution at which we can publish outputs using their data. The Recorder | No | Group Operator | Records collected as part of specific project and therefore cannot be made openly available. |
| Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short answer to. | No | Recorder | situation). I would not want third-party organisations making this decision for me. Whilst I am generally happy for my data to be available at full resolution for conservation organisations (NGO and Governmental), I |
| Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short answer to. | No | Recorder | Records are collected for specific projects and cannot be openly available |
| Not sure/don't know Recorder Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short answer to. | Not sure/don't know | Data User | We wish to work with these strategically so individual records are less of an issue and we would take advice |
| Not sure/don't know Recorder mostly yes but I would have concerns about ecological consultants using the data for EIA evidence etc. If it could be presented out of context. | Not sure/don't know | Recorder | Generally yes but this is too complex a question to give a short answer to |
| Not sure/don't know Recorder Surely not modify although accuracy can be queried | • | | |
| Ves Data Provider For this recording scheme only - yes. Data Provider For this recording scheme only - yes. Data Dister Provider For this recording scheme only - yes. Data User Ins made a provider shall be provided to the provided shall be provided the provided shall be provided the provided the provided the provided shall be provided the provided the provided the provided shall be provided the provided the provided the provided the provided shall be provided the provided the provided the provided the provided the provided shall be provided the provided the provided the provided the provided the provided shall be provided to provide the provided the provided shall be provided to provided shall be provided shall be provided to provided shall be pro | | | could be presented out of context. |
| Yes Data Provider For this recording scheme only - yes. Yes Data Ver I'm happy to do so, not always easy to know whether all contributing recorders are also happy. Yes Data User In some cases data providers may restrict the resolution at which we can publish outputs using their data. Yes Group Operator As only linked to one heavily designated site. Yes Group Operator We submit our records to NBN under a CC-BY licence. Yes Recorder Freely', I think should mean both without hindrance, and without charge. Your usage here is ambivalent. Yes Recorder Although I am concerned that the records could be used imappropriately and there should be monitoring of who accesses the information, and caveats for sensitive records, it is important that researchers and students can access information which can be hugely useful Yes Recorder Don't agree about modify, but would accept augment. Share for the benefit of conservation and knowledge Yes Recorder I don't understand why you are asking this question. Surely everyone who collects data realises that they are simply recording facts and that if the observation was free to be made, then the record itself is also free to all? Yes Recorder It may not be possible to know in advance which records are 'sensitive'. Yes Recorder Not happy with my records being shared freely than I am with organisations making money from my records, e.g. BTO charging other NGOS for access to certain datasets! Yes Recorder Not happy with word 'modify' in above statement. Data should only be modified by the collector. Yes Recorder Not happy with word 'modify' in above statement. Data should only be modified by the collector. Yes Recorder That should be the default position of anyone making data available (unless confidential) or an appropriate justification should be provided (e.g. landowner does not permit sharing of data or only sharing for specific purposes). Yes Service Verfier Areduced resolution with enhanced access directly availabl | Not sure/don't know | Recorder | surely not modify although accuracy can be queried |
| Yes Data Diser I'm happy to do so, not always easy to know whether all contributing recorders are also happy. Yes Data User In some cases data providers may restrict the resolution at which we can publish outputs using their data. Yes Data User Only applicable to datasets which are owned by | Yes | Curator | I am a employee at a national museum which means the collections are publically available. |
| Pes | Yes | Data Provider | For this recording scheme only - yes. |
| Yes Data User Only applicable to datasets which are owned by Council Yes Group Operator As only linked to one heavily designated site Yes Group Operator We submit our records to NBN under a CC-BY licence. Yes Recorder "Freely", I think should mean both without hindrance, and without charge. Your usage here is ambivalent. Yes Recorder Although I am concerned that the records could be used inappropriately and there should be monitoring of who accesses the information, and caveants for sensitive records, it is important that researchers and students can access information which can be hugely useful Yes Recorder Don't agree about modify, but would accept augment. Share for the benefit of conservation and knowledge here Yes Recorder I don't understand why you are asking this question. Surely everyone who collects data realises that they are simply recording facts and that if the observation was free to be made, then the record itself is also free to all? Yes Recorder I more happy with my records being shared freely than I am with organisations making money from my records, e.g. 8TO charging other NGOs for access to certain datasets! Yes Recorder It may not be possible to know in advance which records are 'sensitive'. Yes Recorder My method of communicating records depends on the order. Yes< | Yes | Data Provider | I'm happy to do so, not always easy to know whether all contributing recorders are also happy. |
| Yes Data User Only applicable to datasets which are owned by Council Yes Group Operator As only linked to one heavily designated site Yes Group Operator We submit our records to NBN under a CC-BY licence. Yes Recorder "Freely", I think should mean both without hindrance, and without charge. Your usage here is ambivalent. Yes Recorder Although I am concerned that the records could be used inappropriately and there should be monitoring of who accesses the information, and caveants for sensitive records, it is important that researchers and students can access information which can be hugely useful Yes Recorder Don't agree about modify, but would accept augment. Share for the benefit of conservation and knowledge here Yes Recorder I don't understand why you are asking this question. Surely everyone who collects data realises that they are simply recording facts and that if the observation was free to be made, then the record itself is also free to all? Yes Recorder I more happy with my records being shared freely than I am with organisations making money from my records, e.g. 8TO charging other NGOs for access to certain datasets! Yes Recorder It may not be possible to know in advance which records are 'sensitive'. Yes Recorder My method of communicating records depends on the order. Yes< | Yes | Data User | |
| Yes Group Operator As only linked to one heavily designated site Yes Group Operator We submit to ur records to NBN under a CC-BY licence. Yes Recorder "Freely", I think should mean both without hindrance, and without charge. Your usage here is ambivalent. Yes Recorder Although I am concerned that the records could be used inappropriately and there should be monitoring of who accesses the information, and caveats for sensitive records, it is important that researchers and students can access information which can be hugely useful. Yes Recorder Don't agree about modify, but would accept augment. Share for the benefit of conservation and knowledge Yes Recorder I don't understand why you are asking this question. Surely everyone who collects data realises that they are simply recording facts and that if the observation was free to be made, then the record itself is also free to all? Yes Recorder I'm more happy with my records being shared freely than I am with organisations making money from my records, e.g. BTO charging other NGOs for access to certain datasets! Yes Recorder It may not be possible to know in advance which records are 'sensitive'. Yes Recorder My method of communicating records depends on the order. Yes Recorder Not happy with word "modify' in obting should be modified. Yes < | Yes | Data User | |
| Yes Group Operator We submit our records to NBN under a CC-BY licence. Yes Recorder "Freely", I think should mean both without hindrance, and without charge. Your usage here is ambivalent. Yes Recorder Although I am concerned that the records could be used inappropriately and there should be monitoring of who accesses the information, and caveats for sensitive records, it is important that researchers and students can access information which can be hugely useful Yes Recorder Don't agree about modify, but would accept augment. Share for the benefit of conservation and knowledge Yes Recorder I don't understand why you are asking this question. Surely everyone who collects data realises that they are simply recording facts and that if the observation was free to be made, then the record itself is also free to all? Yes Recorder I'm more happy with my records being shared freely than I am with organisations making money from my records, e.g., BTO charging other NGOs for access to certain datasets! Yes Recorder My method of communicating records depends on the order. Yes Recorder My method of communicating records depends on the order. Yes Recorder Not happy with word 'modify' in above statement. Data should only be modified by the collector. Yes Recorder Not happy with word 'modify' not hing should be modified. | | Group Operator | |
| Yes Recorder "Freely", I think should mean both without hindrance, and without charge. Your usage here is ambivalent. Yes Recorder Although I am concerned that the records could be used inappropriately and there should be monitoring of who accesses the information, and caveats for sensitive records, it is important that researchers and students can access information which can be hugely useful Yes Recorder Don't agree about modify, but would accept augment. Share for the benefit of conservation and knowledge Yes Recorder help/training might be needed in order to provide the records in a format that can be submitted for others to use Yes Recorder I don't understand why you are asking this question. Surely everyone who collects data realises that they are simply recording facts and that if the observation was free to be made, then the record itself is also free to all? Yes Recorder I'm more happy with my records being shared freely than I am with organisations making money from my records, e.g. BTO charging other NGOs for access to certain datasets! Yes Recorder It may not be possible to know in advance which records are 'sensitive'. Yes Recorder My method of communicating records depends on the order. Yes Recorder Not happy with word 'modify' in above statement. Data should only be modified by the collector. Yes Recorder Not happy with wo | | <u> </u> | |
| Recorder Although I am concerned that the records could be used inappropriately and there should be monitoring of who accesses the information, and caveats for sensitive records, it is important that researchers and students can access information which can be hugely useful. Yes | | <u> </u> | |
| Yes Recorder Don't agree about modify, but would accept augment. Share for the benefit of conservation and knowledge Yes Recorder help/training might be needed in order to provide the records in a format that can be submitted for others to use Yes Recorder I don't understand why you are asking this question. Surely everyone who collects data realises that they are simply recording facts and that if the observation was free to be made, then the record itself is also free to all? Yes Recorder I'm more happy with my records being shared freely than I am with organisations making money from my records, e.g. BTO charging other NGOs for access to certain datasets! Yes Recorder It may not be possible to know in advance which records are 'sensitive'. Yes Recorder My method of communicating records depends on the order. Yes Recorder Not happy with word 'modify' in above statement. Data should only be modified by the collector. Yes Recorder OK apart from the use of the word 'modify' nothing should be modified. Yes Recorder Subject to rigorous verification before acceptance / publication. Yes Recorder Subject to rigorous verification before acceptance / publication. Yes Recorder That should be the default position of anyone making data available (unless confidential) or an approp | | | Although I am concerned that the records could be used inappropriately and there should be monitoring of who accesses the information, and caveats for sensitive records, it is important that researchers and students |
| Recorder | Vec | Recorder | |
| Recorder | | _ | help/training might be needed in order to provide the records in a format that can be submitted for others to |
| records, e.g. BTO charging other NGOs for access to certain datasets! Yes Recorder It may not be possible to know in advance which records are 'sensitive'. Yes Recorder My method of communicating records depends on the order. Yes Recorder Not happy with word 'modify' in above statement. Data should only be modified by the collector. Yes Recorder OK apart from the use of the word "modify" nothing should be modified. Yes Recorder Subject to rigorous verification before acceptance / publication. Yes Recorder That should be the default position of anyone making data available (unless confidential) or an appropriate justification should be provided (e.g. landowner does not permit sharing of data or only sharing for specific purposes). Yes Recorder The "appropriate" caveats need to be robust. People should have a reason for needing, say, badger records, in details rather than broad brush. Yes Scheme Operator In this instance, yes. The scheme collates and manages records for a group of invertebrates of no commercial interest. Yes Service Provider At reduced resolution with enhanced access directly available from the LERC. Yes Service User Applicable only to Council owned data Yes Verifier except for the word "modify" Yes Verifier Generally yes but complex. Yes Verifier In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | Yes | Recorder | I don't understand why you are asking this question. Surely everyone who collects data realises that they are simply recording facts and that if the observation was free to be made, then the record itself is also free to |
| Yes Recorder It may not be possible to know in advance which records are 'sensitive'. Yes Recorder My method of communicating records depends on the order. Yes Recorder Not happy with word 'modify' in above statement. Data should only be modified by the collector. Yes Recorder OK apart from the use of the word "modify" nothing should be modified. Yes Recorder Subject to rigorous verification before acceptance / publication. Yes Recorder That should be the default position of anyone making data available (unless confidential) or an appropriate justification should be provided (e.g. landowner does not permit sharing of data or only sharing for specific purposes). Yes Recorder The "appropriate" caveats need to be robust. People should have a reason for needing, say, badger records, in details rather than broad brush. Yes Scheme Operator In this instance, yes. The scheme collates and manages records for a group of invertebrates of no commercial interest. Yes Service Provider At reduced resolution with enhanced access directly available from the LERC. Yes Service User Applicable only to council owned data Yes Verifier except for the word "modify" Yes Verifier Generally yes but complex. | Yes | Recorder | |
| Yes Recorder Not happy with word 'modify' in above statement. Data should only be modified by the collector. Yes Recorder OK apart from the use of the word "modify" nothing should be modified. Yes Recorder Subject to rigorous verification before acceptance / publication. Yes Recorder That should be the default position of anyone making data available (unless confidential) or an appropriate justification should be provided (e.g. landowner does not permit sharing of data or only sharing for specific purposes). Yes Recorder The "appropriate" caveats need to be robust. People should have a reason for needing, say, badger records, in details rather than broad brush. Yes Scheme Operator In this instance, yes. The scheme collates and manages records for a group of invertebrates of no commercial interest. Yes Service Provider At reduced resolution with enhanced access directly available from the LERC. Yes Service User Applicable only to Council owned data Yes Verifier except for the word "modify" Yes Verifier Generally yes but complex. Yes Verifier In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | Yes | Recorder | |
| Yes Recorder Not happy with word 'modify' in above statement. Data should only be modified by the collector. Yes Recorder OK apart from the use of the word "modify" nothing should be modified. Yes Recorder Subject to rigorous verification before acceptance / publication. Yes Recorder That should be the default position of anyone making data available (unless confidential) or an appropriate justification should be provided (e.g. landowner does not permit sharing of data or only sharing for specific purposes). Yes Recorder The "appropriate" caveats need to be robust. People should have a reason for needing, say, badger records, in details rather than broad brush. Yes Scheme Operator In this instance, yes. The scheme collates and manages records for a group of invertebrates of no commercial interest. Yes Service Provider At reduced resolution with enhanced access directly available from the LERC. Yes Service User Applicable only to Council owned data Yes Verifier except for the word "modify" Yes Verifier Generally yes but complex. Yes Verifier In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | Yes | Recorder | My method of communicating records depends on the order. |
| YesRecorderOK apart from the use of the word "modify" nothing should be modified.YesRecorderSubject to rigorous verification before acceptance / publication.YesRecorderThat should be the default position of anyone making data available (unless confidential) or an appropriate justification should be provided (e.g. landowner does not permit sharing of data or only sharing for specific purposes).YesRecorderThe "appropriate" caveats need to be robust. People should have a reason for needing, say, badger records, in details rather than broad brush.YesScheme OperatorIn this instance, yes. The scheme collates and manages records for a group of invertebrates of no commercial interest.YesService ProviderAt reduced resolution with enhanced access directly available from the LERC.YesService UserApplicable only to council owned dataYesVerifierexcept for the word "modify"YesVerifierGenerally yes but complex.YesVerifierIn principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | Yes | Recorder | |
| YesRecorderSubject to rigorous verification before acceptance / publication.YesRecorderThat should be the default position of anyone making data available (unless confidential) or an appropriate justification should be provided (e.g. landowner does not permit sharing of data or only sharing for specific purposes).YesRecorderThe "appropriate" caveats need to be robust. People should have a reason for needing, say, badger records, in details rather than broad brush.YesScheme OperatorIn this instance, yes. The scheme collates and manages records for a group of invertebrates of no commercial interest.YesService ProviderAt reduced resolution with enhanced access directly available from the LERC.YesService UserApplicable only to Council owned dataYesVerifierexcept for the word "modify"YesVerifierGenerally yes but complex.YesVerifierIn principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | | | |
| Pes Recorder That should be the default position of anyone making data available (unless confidential) or an appropriate justification should be provided (e.g. landowner does not permit sharing of data or only sharing for specific purposes). Pes Recorder The "appropriate" caveats need to be robust. People should have a reason for needing, say, badger records, in details rather than broad brush. Pes Scheme Operator In this instance, yes. The scheme collates and manages records for a group of invertebrates of no commercial interest. Pes Service Provider At reduced resolution with enhanced access directly available from the LERC. Pes Service User Applicable only to Council owned data Pes Verifier except for the word "modify" Pes Verifier Generally yes but complex. Per In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | | | |
| Pees Recorder The "appropriate" caveats need to be robust. People should have a reason for needing, say, badger records, in details rather than broad brush. Yes Scheme Operator In this instance, yes. The scheme collates and manages records for a group of invertebrates of no commercial interest. Yes Service Provider At reduced resolution with enhanced access directly available from the LERC. Yes Service User Applicable only to Council owned data Yes Verifier except for the word "modify" Yes Verifier Generally yes but complex. Yes Verifier In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | | | That should be the default position of anyone making data available (unless confidential) or an appropriate justification should be provided (e.g. landowner does not permit sharing of data or only sharing for specific |
| Yes Scheme Operator In this instance, yes. The scheme collates and manages records for a group of invertebrates of no commercial interest. Yes Service Provider At reduced resolution with enhanced access directly available from the LERC. Yes Service User Applicable only to Council owned data Yes Verifier except for the word "modify" Yes Verifier Generally yes but complex. Yes Verifier In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | Yes | Recorder | The "appropriate" caveats need to be robust. People should have a reason for needing, say, badger records, |
| Yes Service Provider At reduced resolution with enhanced access directly available from the LERC. Yes Service User Applicable only to Council owned data Yes Verifier except for the word "modify" Yes Verifier Generally yes but complex. Yes Verifier In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | Yes | Scheme Operator | In this instance, yes. The scheme collates and manages records for a group of invertebrates of no |
| Yes Service User Applicable only to Council owned data Yes Verifier except for the word "modify" Yes Verifier Generally yes but complex. Yes Verifier In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | Yes | Service Provider | |
| Yes Verifier except for the word "modify" Yes Verifier Generally yes but complex. Yes Verifier In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | | | |
| Yes Verifier Generally yes but complex. Yes Verifier In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | | | |
| Yes Verifier In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when the views of contributing recorders can vary widely on this matter. | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | In principle I would want all data to be shared as widely as possible. This is sometimes hard to achieve when |
| | Yes | Verifier | Not modified. |

END OF APPENDIX 2 < back to contents>

APPENDIX 3: List of motivation comments <back to contents>

Within each section of questions for each type of role, the respondent was asked what motivated them to play that role as follows:

- Section B Q23 What motivates you to be a Recorder or Data Collector?
- Section C Q42 What motivates you to be a Verifier or County Recorder?
- Section D Q61 What motivates you to be a Collection Curator?
- Section E Q83 What motivates you to be a Recording Group Operator?
- Section F Q107 What motivates you to be a Recording Scheme Operator?
- Section G Q127 What motivates you to be a Data Provider?
- Section H Q148 What motivates you to be a Data Developer?
- Section I Q166 What motivates you to be a Data User?
- Section J Q187 What motivates you to be a Service Provider?
- Section K Q206 What motivates you to be a Service User?
- Section L Q224 What motivates you to be a Funder?
- Section M Q242 What motivates you to be a Facilitator?

Where respondents occasionally replied 'see answer for previous role' or similar, we have only included their original answer in the table below; however, when analysing the results we did refer to their original answer.

Q23 What motivates you to be a Recorder or Data Collector? < back to list of roles>

I know the data I collect will go forward to help us understand how to reverse the declines in bumblebees

Exploration of Nature. I also want to be creative.

Interest in the marine environment

Useful to know what is where. It may help prevent development in sensitive areas.

I want pure science to happen. I volunteer because most funded science is tainted by being funded only to prove what funders want it to.

I have expertise to collect data that others can make good use of.

I want to contribute to data knowledge to help the protection of species, particularly ancient trees.

Importance of conservation

I want to know where things are and help show others the beauty of mammals

I am interested in the ecology and wildlife around about me

Interest, learning, training, improving knowledge and skills, being outside, meeting others with similar interest, trying to close gaps in data

using records as part of a scientific study or for conservation management - contributing to the bigger picture

Own interests, getting out and about, challenge of finding something new either to me or the area.

Utilise my expertise

The dire and deteriorating situation facing biodiversity in Scotland

Firstly it extends my own knowledge of species and habitats, and secondly it helps to support the scientific community

I am chairman of Bat group and hold their records.

Personal interest, contribution to sciences and the conservation agenda.

Learning more about identification, working with other volunteers...

To add to the knowledge we have a s a community of the wildlife around us. To inform monitoring of the environment. To enhance the LERC.

Without knowing the distribution of species we cannot assess their conservation status or target limited funds towards their conservation.

I understand the importance of having the data available for use in scientific studies and for conservation purposes. - I enjoy spending time outside and recording gives me added motivation to do so. - I improve my knowledge of and ability to identify species the more time I spend recording them which I find satisfying.

Interest in & a desire to learn more about ecology.

Enjoyment - understanding the natural world. Contributing local information which contributes to national and international data sets which informs conservation trends.

want to collect good data that can help inform management decisions and help us understand more about particular species

Desire to share information to conserve the environment.

I enjoy the challenge and adding information that can inform conservation decisions/management.

A passion for recording taxon. Highly motivated to record taxon from every/almost every 10K & 5 K square in Scotland (1100 10K squares)

No data on marine mammals on area and believe should have position within marine spatial planning framework. The planning partnership identified mobile species as policy area but never initiated any work on marine mammals in area. I live in coastal community and have first-hand experience of environmental degradation. Data empowers local communities to take action.

An emerging awareness of the importance of our environment and natural heritage after our village become involved in the Beautiful Scotland campaign.

Personal interest. National Recording Scheme organiser

Interest in the species and finding new localities for under-recorded taxa. - - Seeing my records in the context of those recorded by others across UK, thereby helping to define national distributions. - - Discovering a species' preferred micro-habitat and being able to advise conservation managers to help ensure its survival on a site.

very interested in the species and the habitats in which they are found and keen to help build up the data upon which their conservation can be planned

Working on BioImages as an educational resource. I like to find species that are new to me, even common species.

I enjoy it! It's a good mix of physical activity and being outdoors when collecting, social interaction with other "recorders", manual dexterity when curating and mental activity when using keys. - As an ex-scientist I appreciate the value of data, particularly in getting our fairly useless politicians to do anything socially useful as opposed to something that makes money, which they are always happy to do.

1. The lure of seeing something new - whether a new species or new behaviour. - 2. The knowledge of adding to my local datasets. - 3, The building of pictures of distribution and population change.

Personal interest and desire to increase our collective understanding

Interested in natural history since I could crawl. (An anagram of my full name is about all biodiversity. - I worked as a teacher of Environmental Studies for years, then as a ecologist.

aware of the vulnerability of our ecosystem and the need for base-line records for future reference. Gerneral lifelong interest in the natural world, PhD in botany, years assistant warden on present personal interest in phenology and population changes (birds, butterflies, mainly, but no actual personal scientific study at present)

Protecting biodiversity

The fascination of knowing there are over 300 (so far) species of moths in my garden. Coming and going throughout the seasons confirming the circle of live is still carrying on.

Contributing valuable data to further knowledge and/or conservation.

A love of wildlife and the motivation to conserve it.

Scientific curiosity

My interest in the species and my local area

Interest in the groups I record and enjoyment from learning about new species, also work requirements and a desire to increase knowledge of species distribution to aid conservation efforts.

It is part of my paid job as an ecologist working for a developer.

Own interest, enjoyment of outdoors/natural history

I like spotting wildlife and also using free available data for work and leisure.

Enjoyment and the hope it will be of benefit to others

Joy!

Fascination.

Interest and keenness to improve our understanding of local wildlife

Interest in natural history and my species group in particular. Desire to be involved and support the local society. Recording for posterity and monitoring of the changes in the environment.

need to keep records, but we cannot find anyone else to do the job.

I just enjoy wildlife and recording helps give some value to my sightings

I enjoy looking at the diversity of wildlife in my local area. I want my local biodiversity to be maintained or enhanced. I want to contribute to the protection and understanding of my local biodiversity. I like to look back at the records I have collected in the past.

fascination with the natural world! wanting to learn more

Contributing to the scientific evidence-base for conservation. - A deep curiosity about the intricacies of the natural world.

It's fun. Moths are fascinating. It's interesting. I like photobooks. Moths are beautiful. Turning over those egg boxes in the hope of finding something wondrous, like a Merveille du Jour, makes me smile, like digging up potatoes.

Just love it

For my personal interest and contributing to National Conservation Programmes

To protect our wildlife and increase scientific knowledge

Fascination with what lives where and why. Making a contribution to a bigger picture. The chance of making an important discovery. Primarily, improving land management for wildlife conservation.

Life-long general interest in natural history. - Now in particular the decline in many common species.

Supporting conservation

Love of wildlife

The poor state of the environment

Passion

I like the process of reviewing and analysing the data, I like doing the collecting, I like observing and learning about the species involved, I like contributing to a greater pool of knowledge.

Enjoyment and contributing to a wider knowledge base

As a retired University biologist this is a great way to continue being a biologist and continue learning as well as doing something useful.

Knowledge and the chance to see wildlife.

Discovery and research - Contribute to Scottish (& UK) biodiversity particularly in those groups in my main areas of research

Hunter gatherer instinct perhaps?

Helping improve the wider picture

Although retired from my previous occupation I still wish to be active and also wish to provide something useful and something that I believe in.

learning about species and exploring places trying to find them

Science

Enjoyment of seeing wildlife and find new things.

It's very important to keep record of species and to share it with others.

We need this information in order to conserve and improve our environment.

Improving my own skills, for personal interest. Contributing to data that can be used in research.

Finding new things, filling in dots on maps, and seeing new and exciting things.

Being part of a team with similar aims and objectives.

Once I have made a significant observation I consider that that knowledge should not be lost. I like observing wildlife and like to know its distribution or changes in distribution say linked to climate change. I cannot know that unless I and others send records in to build the picture. I hope that the data will help conserve at least some of the species.

Species survival

Personal interest and a passion for wildlife.

Getting to spend time in lovely places. Finding new species for me or for an area. The other people I meet/work alongside. Contributing to bigger picture.

Conservation

Dots on maps, new records for NI and all-Ireland

1. Interest - 2. conservation

Providing data for future generations. - Putting dots on maps.

Physical, mental and social activity. Helping map species, learning new species. Using a computer, microscope, keys and maps. - It gives me a reason to get up in the morning.

General interest. Like to do something useful. Conservation concerns

If you don't know what you have and what the trends are you can't protect and manage the environment appropriately.

Keeps me physically and mentally active in retirement. Enjoy field work. Keeps me in touch with fellow naturalists and friends. Sense of contributing to monitoring environmental change and hope that biodiversity loss can eventually be reversed. Maintenance of professional skills.

Joy of finding something new or exciting

To contribute to the conservation of our natural world.

Personal interest and general commitment to supporting wildlife conservation.

A passionate love and concern for the Natural Environment that has so far lasted over 50 years!

Data to go with seed collections we make.

Pure curiosity and a weird desire to collect information.

For the sake of conservation

Furthering knowledge of species. Accessing species distribution is a resource I want to contribute to.

Knowing that you cannot conserve wildlife without knowing where it is and what problems it faces. I can only do a very little about the problems but I can help with the knowing where it is.

Personal interest in nature, and improving knowledge of species distribution.

being able to contribute to local knowledge of wildlife and increase my own knowledge to share with friends and family

A desire to benefit wildlife conservation; pleasure in doing it and seeing the results.

I like to know what lives around me. - I like to contribute to a bigger project. - I like the rewards of seeing distribution maps. - I enjoy looking for wildlife and finding out what it is.

I enjoy it - It's is often useful - I have the skills to do it - keeps me fit - always learning new things

for SCM work. Ad hoc records for LERC personal interest in species and knowing the value of good data freely available for use by everyone & the benefits to making informed decisions on developments etc..

Personal interest

obsession ...

I enjoy being in the fresh air and discovering the biological diversity of my environment.

Environmental/wildlife conservation

I enjoy doing it. I also like to pass on the information I gather so it can be seen as widely as possible.

It my hobby

An interest in the countryside and what species live there. I completed a BSc degree in Countryside Management at in 20 and that increased my interest.

Personal interest, and wishing to contribute to conservation.

A better understanding of the distribution of taxon in the UK

I enjoy the opportunity to learn more about wildlife and the chance to make new friends.

Keen to record what's in my area. Species protection. Prevention of Wildlife Crime. Personal satisfaction. Healthy lifestyle.

I have always been interested in wildlife and ecology, hence made it my profession and now do it as a job. Sharing the data is a simple way to contribute to species conservation etc.

Enjoy the outdoors and keen to celebrate the area that I live and work in.

The overall importance of knowing what species we "have", how it changes and what we may have lost. Plus pleasure.

I enjoy seeing moths, butterflies and birds and believe that the results of this enjoyable activity should be effectively recorded, collected, analysed for the ultimate benefit of conservation. Filling in forms, spreadsheets, managing a VC database and interacting with other recorders and give them feedback on their records is part of this.

I have always been passionate about natural history and recording gives a focus to my interests. I believe that "Citizen Science" can provide data which can inform environmental practice.

I'm a hoarder.

Personal satisfaction and the thought of long term good.

I believe it makes a difference: data I collect is used to further conservation.

I realise that distribution data is important for all species of all life, is of high importance to effectively devise conservation schemes,

Personal interest in certain species groups. Desire to remedy under-recording in the region where I live.

I feel it is important to know what the wildlife situation is and while there are plenty of "professionals" keeping records, having individuals helping in their own area must give a much fuller picture. I also enjoy watching and keeping records. I learn the habits and signs of wildlife which makes me more observant and therefore I see far more than I would normally have done so.

Interest. Aim to record as many species as possible in the two sites in which I am active.

To increase our knowledge of the natural world in order that it can be conserved for future generations.

Interest to extend knowledge of existence of species in my area; building up a year-on-year database of certain species.

Interest.

Interest in subject under review whatever that may be

I find it enjoyable and interesting.

Love of learning about our natural history, and desire to share records and hopefully contribute to our understanding and conservation of habitats and species.

Love of wildlife, find it fascinating and relaxing to be outside looking for and at wildlife. Knowing that records can help increase knowledge and conservation is why I submit records.

part of my job and general interest

Conservation/species monitoring

Simply to find out what is out there. Insect recording is patchy and there is a need to fill in a lot of gaps

Provision of records to aid conservation and to allow analysis of biogeographical distribution.

I enjoy it and I know the importance of having relevant, up-to-date data for conservation.

Personal interest and enjoyment.

I enjoy filling in the blanks on the maps of this area.

Enjoy wildlife watching and sharing this information.

primarily interest. - also manage own small holding primarily for biodiversity. Recording helps to monitor what is happening. The group's training helps me to do that.

Love of the outdoors and of Scotland's flora and fauna. The wish to contribute to the sum of human knowledge. The desire for this knowledge to be used to inform the conservation of our wildlife and environment. Curiosity.

I understand the importance of knowing what species are present in order to be able to manage land appropriately. Data collection is very intensive and expensive, so volunteer recorders are essential

curiosity

There is a lack of baseline date. We need to highlight what we have before we lose it

Contributing to data sets for distribution of plants and birds, which will in turn play a part in their conservation.

Interest in the environment and in animals and plant species. - - More fulfilling to work outside and with nature than in other forms of employment

My Job

I've always enjoyed birdwatching so it's natural to want the records to be of some value for preservation of spp. or habitat management.

An interest in this particular species.

Its usefulness and I enjoy it; it makes me more aware of my surroundings.

interest in biodiversity and conservation, enjoy making the observations, good to make observations for a purpose

Furthering of knowledge

Professional occupation

Lifelong enthusiasm for Botany. - Now serving as BSBI county recorder for vol. ().

I hope to contribute to the picture of the wildlife in the country, so that it can be appreciated and protected. It adds interest and a sense of purpose to a walk. I enjoy meeting like-minded people on training days and field trips, and improving my identification skills.

A general interest in wildlife, especially distributions and trends, combined with a belief that data not properly recorded and stored represent a wasted opportunity.

Interest in flora and fauna, their presence and absence and variety.

Gathering data vital to a National record

Interest in wildlife generally and particularly because I am very concerned at the alarming decline in almost all species and am trying to do what I can to help reverse this trend.

Curiosity about the natural world, sharing knowledge, inspiring others

Personal interest, need for Conservation action and public involvement

A life-long interest in the outdoors especially in actively monitoring and preserving fauna, flora and habitats.

Personal enjoyment

Part of job. Also interested in submitted records of interesting species I have found.

Personal interest in birds and wildlife - The desire to use my records/observations for conservation

It's a very important tool of conservation work and species conservation would be impossible without the information gathered.

Concern for the conservation of our natural environment and biodiversity. - Intellectual motivation - challenges of recording - Working with people with similar interests and being able to share my knowledge and enthusiasm

I am interested in the subject

Interest in recording specie distributions in general, particularly if it benefits the long term health of a species

A love of nature and a desire to understand and protect it.

I find it hugely enjoyable to learn about the natural world. I am also keen that records are then used further along for a practical purpose such as conservation.

I want to know what is around on my reserve, there is a competitive element to it! I also want to monitor how the habitat work that we carry out is directly affecting the wildlife on site.

Making use of my data/observations. Contributing to local/national schemes - as a keen birder, I feel there's an obligation to 'do my bit' for scheme such as BBS, WEBS, Atlases, etc. Data collection is also part of my job.

Belief in the importance of recording to aid conservation effort. - To help prevent inappropriate development, and encourage mitigation when species are lost. - Satisfaction of increasing my own knowledge. - Feeling like a valuable asset to scientific knowledge in ecology. - Competitive achievement in recording more (local recorders leader board on LERC)

We need evidence for conservation, and evidence requires data.

I believe that biological recording should underpin decision making and provide the evidence base for the state and health of our natural environment. And I enjoy it too. Finding a species that is new to an area, or new to me, being able to tell someone else that what they have found is new or significant all add to the enjoyment. Running a moth trap is like having Christmas every day as you never know what you might catch. -

Interest in the natural world and in conservation. The excitement of finding new and interesting species and the challenge of identifying these.

Interest in increasing number of records - Interest in improving own ID and knowledge - Keen to see trends/interpretation of data - Keen to support citizen science in practice

I enjoy recording myself, and I enjoy encouraging and mentoring others to do so. The data I collect is shared so that it can be used for research and conservation, which I find very worthwhile. It provides me with a way of experiencing the natural world and feeling part of the bigger picture. - - On their own my records won't change the world, but if combined with others' they add up to something much more significant.

The challenge of identifying species and a huge concern for the loss of biodiversity in this country.

curiosity

I have a particular interest in one or two groups of invertebrates and it seems churlish to ignore the other groups I encounter. I just like insects and their life histories and adaptations.

Enjoyment, knowledge to assist job, networking/ social element and knowledge data will assist species conservation in the UK.

love of all wildlife

An enjoyment of nature and the outdoors, an enjoyment of learning and developing new skills (particularly species ID), a sense of contributing to wider knowledge of species distributions and subsequently to protection of biodiversity.

Desire to contribute to the better understanding of species abundance and distribution and any changes.

Wildlife Conservation and Scientific research

Interest in protecting nature/the environment, on a local level and globally.

understanding how species are using the local environment, distribution etc and using the data for their protection and habitat enhancement

I enjoy the administration and being able to see the bigger picture, especially when relating to my main interests.

Mainly because it is enjoyable to see new species in new locations. I find the world of moths and butterflies so fascinating. To a lesser extent I feel that I am making some sort of contribution.

To contribute to our existing knowledge on insect biodiversity & distribution in the UK.

I enjoy it. I can also see how valuable every record can be, as species decline/expand, and without this data, you can't do any informed conservation of species.

Personal interest and providing a record for future use and analysis.

Improving our knowledge of distribution and ecology

Gathering data to fill gaps; Use of information to ensure

Interest in natural history. Contributing to human knowledge.

Enjoy finding/observing interesting species & to aid their conservation & pass on knowledge to others.

Conservation issues; Love of the natural world; Scientific interest; Learning

Conservation

Love of being out in the countryside and an inquisitive nature.

My job and a gradual increase in understanding and also the training I have received.

Contributing to existing knowledge, furthering my own knowledge and my own enjoyment of wildlife. - - Use of information for conservation purposes.

It's important for Scotland, it's important for our wildlife and it's sustainable use, it's important for everyone else, it's important for future generations. It's fun, I get to mix with some great people and I just love doing it.

Nature conservation

Seeing my records used for conservation.

Q42 What motivates you to be a Verifier or County Recorder? < back to list of roles>

Wanting to find out where species are and the change in populations

Unless there is confidence in the integrity of a recording scheme it is worthless. I am better placed than anyone to verify records collected locally.

good to be able to use and improve my experience and knowledge

To get a better overview of the taxon of the county.

As long as I can use the records I am verifying in my database of Scottish taxon then I am happy to verify them.

Ensure good data is available to use for distribution mapping, modelling, conservation assessment

No other local recorders working intensively on the group, so it falls to me to check ad hoc records.

A chance to encourage and support recorders as well as develop a better understanding of the fauna of the local area

see answers i earlier section. In addition I am keen to pass on my experience and hopefully expertise as well as encouraging others

Ensuring that the data going into recording schemes is accurate.

The drive to improve my own identification skills and the love of enthusing others about insects and wildlife generally.

Desire to be involved with and help the county Nat Hist Society. To give a break to the previous recorder, who asked me to do it. Interest in the species group I cover.

Interest in wildlife and I enjoy it.

Necessary part of the scheme.

As a retired University biologist I can keep on being one and also learn and still do a bit of teaching.

Being a collector of records myself and having an interest in the groups I am a county recorder for.

A worthwhile task for something that I believe in, plus one that is enjoyable (most of the time).

Science

Benefit of recording scheme and moths. - Being appreciated for doing a good job.

Wanting to know more about species I like.

Personal interest

Nobody else would do it!

Getting things correctly identified and recorded!

helps others to become more confident in species id

Pease note that (at least in the situation) one cannot readily distinguish between time spent on recording per se and time spent on being a County Recorder/verifier

the opportunity to learn from working on material (specimens) from a wider range of species and retaining specimens for my reference collection

I am only part of the verification process and not the final verifier of records. I do this as accurate data is essential for conservation research to be taken seriously.

Duty- not many people have the experience to do it and I was asked to do it.

just to help out the County Recorder and local record Scheme (as well as to maintain the high standard of local recording)

To help out my local recording group, to share my knowledge.

To help gather records which improves our knowledge of fungal distribution

It does give one the complete picture for you VC and it is a job that needs doing. It also puts you in touch with folk even if it's only by email.

People helped me in the past, I'd like to pay back. - It's good to encourage others and help them get the most out of recording. - Their records are valuable for conservation.

Desire to build good information base on species for geographic areas.

Data standards. NB - Answers on this questionnaire are on behalf of a team I manage who carry out verification.

To help collate and verify records

I think it's important to get data verified, mobilised and used for conservation.

The wish to ensure that records from my area are accurate. The wish to assist less experienced recorders with improving their capabilities.

A passion for accuracy in recording, the desire to prevent important records being lost.

I was asked & I enjoy organising.

Quality control of records

to help other people improve their skill and to benefit the species that i verify

Knowing that the data collated at the local level is as accurate as possible is very satisfying.

I enjoy bringing data together and seeing how it can become more powerful and tell more stories once aggregated. I enjoy encouraging and mentoring others to enjoy seeing wildlife and become better recorders. The data I verify is shared so that it can be used for research and conservation, which I find very worthwhile. It provides me with a way of experiencing the natural world and feeling part of the bigger picture.

A desire to see accurate data being used as the basis for conservation strategy and policy and to promote to the general public the changes that are taking place in their environment locally.

I enjoy helping people learn

love of wildlife and desire for accuracy of records to inform decision making and analysis

To support wildlife conservation

A desire to learn where new species are being found in my local area. - A feeling that records should be properly verified and mobilised and that I can help in doing that. - A sense of belonging to a community of people with similar views.

A particular interest in the group that I verify and to help and encourage other to record them.

I like to know what species are being recorded where. Having been monitoring butterflies in Scotland for about years, I have seen many species distributions change dramatically

Passing on experience

Supporting & encouraging other recorders to grow in their knowledge & know that their records are valued & ensuring records are as accurate as possible for conservation purposes.

Conservation; the formal record of our avifauna.

Increasing knowledge, kudos, making a difference for conservation

Q61 What motivates you to be a Collection Curator? < back to list of roles>

A biological collection is a vital tool to leave for future generations.

It's my job and I love it

Having a reference collection is a way of building up expertise, improving your skills, which is one of the reasons I keep one.

Interest in Entomology and ant taxonomy

To contribute to improving the knowledge on Scottish (& UK) biodiversity and to provide voucher specimens for the local area

I enjoy looking at marine invertebrates alive and preserved. There is so much to know and discover.

building and using a reference collection to support specimen identification

Desire to benefit wildlife conservation; improve my knowledge and experience; and pleasure in owning the collection.

Interest in the subject - professional interest

It helps to verify records and to produce for posterity a reference collection.

It is my job. Curiosity about the natural world, love of the natural world, interest in species.

To ensure that collected data can be used for science and conservation

To preserve my voucher specimens for any potential future use.

The desire for my recording to be accurate and evidence-based.

Preserving our environmental heritage. Unfortunately the examples of extinct species we hold are likely to grow in coming years.

I am motivated simply by the need to back up my statements about species records. There is a certain force of habit which has come after nearly fifty years of practice but this discriminates against excessive collecting or species 'twitching' for the purposes of obtaining a 'full set'. I try to make everything I acquire a voucher with a function.

Preserving our natural heritage. It's a dynamic and very varied job that I find challenging and enjoyable. Natural history collections provide us with a wealth of knowledge and the potential to collaborate with a range of organisations and institutions.

I really like working with the collections. I love discovering new objects in the collection (even after years!) It's a very varied job - you never know what will turn up next. I also feel a commitment to the collections - if I don't use my knowledge to enhance the records that relate to them, it probably won't happen. It's also nice to see visitors' reactions to the objects.

Q83 What motivates you to be a Recording Group Operator? < back to list of roles>

part of my paid role, rewarding to train others to record wildlife

Making sure that data is used.

Just love it

It is very rewarding to expand existing knowledge and share it. Many of the street street is projects or initiatives introduce the concept of recording to audiences who have never considered it or thought it too technical to be involved in. Equally it is rewarding to work with very committed naturalists who are focussed on specific species.

I'm a ranger, comes with the job

Leaving a legacy of native seed collections for future generations.

I have enjoyed the benefits of membership of my local Recording Group for more than years - so once I retired from full-time work I though it was about time I contributed towards running it!

Someone had too...

Part of the 'job'.

it's part of my job.

A desire to contribute to preserving the biodiversity of the islands and to ensure the records we collect are made available for this purpose. The work is interesting, challenging and enjoyable.

Providing good quality data to the local environmental record centre and to national scheme

Nothing to add to my reply for the verifier role.

Desire to see local atlases published and to communicate to the public our local knowledge of wildlife distribution and abundance.

As member of the group over years ago I can only say that I enjoy seeing its successes and that makes me rise to the challenge of its failures. I wouldn't describe myself as an operator but as a member of an informal team/committee within a 'community of interests'.

love of wildlife and keen to assist others to engage

Q107 What motivates you to be a Recording Scheme Operator? <back to list of roles>

Wanting to get the information and know more about population status and distribution -

Enjoy recording; Conservation of the taxa I'm interested; data to analyse for conservation assessment

I like working with volunteers, maintaining and analysing the data, ensuring that data is being used once it has been collected.

Wanting to know more about species I like.

To champion our group, inspire people to appreciate them, to further our knowledge of them and to help ensure their conservation.

Part of my job

love of wildlife

Desire to contribute to better understanding of species abundance and distribution.

Desire to effectively conserve our species of interest, and provide evidence of the conservation status.

A particular interest in the group that I verify and to help and encourage other to record them.

When I took it on it was for professional development; the desire to increase our knowledge of the county's biodiversity; the desire to contribute to a well-respected national recording scheme; wanting to get more people involved in recording (and conservation); and because I liked organising things. Now I've been doing it for vears I don't feel massively motivated any more, to be honest! It's a lot of tedious work. Now I'm mainly motivated by the knowledge there doesn't seem to be anyone else who's prepared to take it on. But I do like working with the group of volunteers involved in running the recording scheme locally.

Q127 What motivates you to be a Data Provider? <back to list of roles>

Interest

I have studied Ornithology and am deeply interested in the bird population of the island , but also deeply concerned by the observed decrease.

wish to be able to share data for use in conservation and enable evidence based decisions to be made.

The need to ensure that high quality data is available for those who want or need it. To ensure that decision makers have the information they should use to inform their actions.

To ensure end-users have the best available biological data at their disposal

Needing data myself, biodiversity conservation, because it is important.

Data should be shared so that it is making a difference.

We believe in sharing data as much as possible (with caveats for sensitive records and contractual obligations)

Provide information useful and interesting for recorders. - Positive recognition.

A passion for wildlife conservation and sharing data.

Recording species info as a resource for all.

After all the work I have put in, I like to think that my efforts may be useful to others in the future

part of my job - general interest

Job

It is a part of the species data flow and a key responsibility of a recording scheme.

It's my job!

Job requirement

Willingness to share information

Want to be involved in Conservation.

The same reasons as motivate me to run a recording group.

Helping conserve the natural world.

No point in collecting data if it is not shared and used.

Job satisfaction.

love of wildlife

The need for good quality biological data to be available to all decision making bodies. - The ability to inspire and educate the public on the value of recording by using existing records.

To ensure the maximum benefit can be gained from the data we collect

If the data is there, it should be available - otherwise what's the point?

I want decisions affecting our natural environment to be made based on the best information available so as to minimise the damage that we do to

LERC is a local environmental record centre hosted by the **county** Wildlife Trust. We exist to make biodiversity information available, so that it can be used to inform decision-making, target conservation and add to collective knowledge of biodiversity.

Conservation

Ensure existing data is taken in account in the decision making process and available for other conservation purposes or for the benefit of conservation - - To assist recorders (recording groups, schemes, etc.) - - To engage the public in recording and/or conservation and/or wildlife in general

Q148 What motivates you to be a Data Developer? < back to list of roles>

adding value to data and encouraging its wider use, solving problems and creating useful outputs.

Innovating with data is interesting. Decision-makers need interpreted data e.g. network maps. To them raw data is useless. LAs now don't have ecological staff so the data products we need to provide have to be much more tailored to specific question such as targeting GI to mitigate impacts on Natura 2000 sites. They also need to incorporate more than just traditional biological records. For instance data on visitors accessing sites and condition monitoring data.

It is part of my job as an ecologist.

Provide useful information to recorders. - Positive recognition.

The need for Habitat Data on which to make planning and land management decisions

The need to keep the data structured, analyse it and publish it

I'm paid by my employer to do it!

love of wildlife and satisfaction of using skills

It's interesting!

Q166 What motivates you to be a Data User? < back to list of roles>

Interes

To add value to the recording of Ancient / Veteran trees

It is an important part of my job

benefit to conservation

My profession

Helps with understanding and own recording

To maximise fieldwork effort

Necessary for some aspects of my job. In order to do that I need to ensure appropriate data is properly used and interpreted.

Increased knowledge before site visits, improved surveys

It is my employment. To ensure that I can provide intepretted data to decision makers.

I can only provide robust advice within consultancy reports if I am drawing upon all available relevant data.

It is a requirement of my job to ensure that information presented is correct and reliable. Being able to cross reference information presented with other record sources is invaluable.

Interest in biodiversity and a desire to see that decisions are made after full consideration of the best available data.

need to use data as part of job

Professional requirements and desire to do a good job. - Conservation of the environment.

To ensure development takes biodiversity into account.

To expand my knowledge of local taxon

A basic part of doing any wildlife study or conservation work

Need for my job

My main duties are to respond to planning applications with a view to advising the developer on the possible presence of protected species and the measures they should take in terms of mitigation / enhancement. I also advise developers on how they can enhance their developments to benefit wildlife, and hopefully create an environment that is an improvement on what existed before. I would therefore benefit greatly from access to as much recording data as possible to provide as complete a picture as possible to the developer.

To ensure my outputs are based on proper data

Biological records hold a lot of interesting information about species trends and distribution, which interests me greatly.

Conserving wildlife particularly in the planning processes

Interest in the taxonomic groups I record - helps with identification and to target surveys - Conservation - to help protect species and habitats of conservation concern (as a with an NGO I use biological records to give advice to developers). - Work requirements -

helps to aid survey design and identification

It is part of my job as an ecologist

My research

Minimises environmental impact

Researching an area before visiting. - Comparison with my own records. - Increasing my ecological knowledge.

Personal interest. Wildlife conservation, particularly deciding on appropriate habitat management.

Interest in recording and taxonomy.

I like finding things out and seeing data in different ways; I like manipulating data to make it accessible to others.

Academic interest

It is an important part of my job

To contribute to improving the knowledge on Scottish (& UK) biodiversity and to provide voucher specimens for the local area

helping in the wider picture

Professionalism and aiming to deliver projects that take full cognisance of the natural environment.

I need information, I need data for work, I research land use, habitat quality, and species presence

Finding out more about species I like.

Personal interest

If I require information for a project

Correct, informative data relevant to the specific project

Personal interest, voluntary work with young people.

Interested in the distribution of species. Also interested in species records for certain sites managed by my organisation.

To find our collections.

I need to wrangle data to produce reports as part of my job.

My background is in nature conservation and working on reserves

Personal interest in nature.

My job. Also maintaining & researching my collection.

Interest in finding out more about wildlife and its distribution

job plus personal interest

Partly - writing a book at the moment

To find out more about the species group I am interested in. It is a requirement of some of the contracts and ID training that I undertake.

Statutory requirements

It informs the work I do

Informing my data recording e.g. checking if a species has been recorded at a location, a time of year etc.

Personal interest

Curiosity

As a sometimes self-employed Ecologist the data can be useful for preliminary ecological appraisals.

general interest

Learning about distribution motivates me to go and look for the species and helps when trying to identify some species. Data can also assist in understand local population trends.

To find out more about species and their distribution and recording activities already taking place.

Work as Local Authority Ecologist

It's my job, but I also enjoy using data for my own interest.

Curiosity and a wish to know more about the distribution of various species of interest to me. Also a very small amount for my work as a part-time ecological consultant.

Requirement of my job.

personal interest, professional need

Building up integrated knowledge of individual species, production of identification guides, discovery of new knowledge

Part of the 'job description'

It is my research background and part of my job.

this is necessary to do my job.

Intellectual satisfaction and the desire to learn. To be able to interpret and understand the data I manage for the group and to make it easily accessible for others.

Understanding effectiveness of management interventions

To put my own recording into context

I want to put local data into a national even international context and so add value to local recording

It is part of my job. I also use data to make my voluntary recording work more effective / targeted.

love of wildlife

Interest in the distribution of species and habitats. My current and recent roles have often required a localise knowledge of this for conservation purposes.

Gathering all data to create the most accurate data outputs as a LERC

Protecting and enhancing nature/the environment.

Any information to ensure that my own studies are complete as possible. Experience has shown that it is not possible to rely entirely on others when taking forward a personal study but any data which can assist is very welcome.

No inform decision making and research

A particular interest in the group that I am seeking data on, to learn as much as possible about their distribution.

I'm interested

My job and personal interest.

Interest in what has been recorded previously. - - As a local environmental record centre manager, we make much use of datasets provided by other data providers.

To enable verification of records as vice-county moth recorder & to look up species data to inform local survey priorities for conservation & see distribution changes.

Conservation

More comprehensive dataset available to and taken into account by users of LERC services - better informed; better outcome for conservation - - Own interest in wildlife, recording, conservation

My professional role as a conservation scientist

Q187 What motivates you to be a Service Provider? < back to list of roles>

providing evidence for informed decisions to be made based on evidence, using our services to improve appreciation of the natural world and the benefits it brings

Employed as such. - The need to ensure biodiversity data is better used in decision-making - Supporting the local recording network - Conservation

To contribute to and facilitate conservation action

Part of making our data accessible to everyone, raising awareness of biological recording, biodiversity, priority species, INNS etc.

I enjoy the work and see the benefits of protecting and sharing data.

Job satisfaction

Part of my job. Assist wildlife conservation in Scotland and protection of special places and species.

love of wildlife

Ensuring that the data that volunteers collect is made available to those that require it in a sustainable manner, i.e. one which supports the recorders and maintains LERCs as viable businesses employing 100s of people across the UK in the environmental information sector.

I feel that my job makes good use of my varied background and skills set and I feel that I am doing something useful and worthwhile.

It is important to make biological information available and to encourage the recording of data by as many people as possible to develop a greater understanding and appreciation of our native biodiversity.

I love the thought that the data we collect makes a difference. Seeing how widely the data is used also gives me tremendous pride in what I do. - I love working with environmental data and am constantly surprised by challenges posed and relish taking on these challenges and applying new and novel techniques to address a problem.

It is the main purpose of **LERC**. We exist to make local biodiversity information available so it can be used to inform decision-making, target conservation and add to knowledge of biodiversity.

Conservation of species, sites and habitats - use of data in the decision making process. - - Inspiring others to start recording and see them develop

Q206 What motivates you to be a Service User? < back to list of roles>

benefit to conservation and to public

Access to authoritative distribution data

Business interests which in turn are driven by general interests/chosen path. Where unpaid driven by own interest in natural history/outdoor observations.

Incorporating biological data into decision making

It's an important part of my job

Data necessary for the job of informing development or INNS.

Partly work and partly interest

Personal interest and educating young people.

Being able to learn new things and share info

Professional requirements for service planning.

Curiosity, involvement, increase in knowledge.

Usually a requirement as part of a consultation.

job requirement

Local Authority Ecologist

The desire to use the most up-to-date and comprehensive information available on the distribution of organisms that I'm interested in and/or working on.

interest in the environment

I use the information and services provided by other organisation to support and enhance my role as a data manager, analyst and recording group organiser

Desire to deliver what I do to the best of my ability

To answer a question.

love of wildlife

Interest in the distribution of species and habitats. My current and recent roles have often required a localise knowledge of this for conservation purposes.

Checking the viability of my own records by viewing national distributions etc.

Q224 What motivates you to be a Funder? < back to list of roles>

Improve the quality, extent, range and time-series of data to aid better decision making

part of job

Supporting species conservation

It is a positive job where I can make a difference.

To help deliver the goals of SBIF and also those of BRISC.

Interest in gathering of local data and using that to enhance knowledge and for promotion of the area.

LRCs provide a necessary service for the Local Authority to carry out statutory duties.

Requirement for biological data to enable planning process to function correctly to protect biodiversity wherever possible, and to prioritise biodiversity enhancement/conservation work.

Q242 What motivates you to be a Facilitator? < back to list of roles>

Need to ensure biodiversity data is available and used

To help to achieve SBIF and BRISC's aims for the good of biological recording in Scotland.

Love for wildlife, nature and the environment made this job very appealing when I was searching for employment - it makes doing this role (which could be done in any organisation i.e. finance and business management) much more rewarding and exciting to be a part of.

A wish to improve the understanding of how data flows work, to help people use the systems and tools that are available, and to influence change that will make things better.

Enjoy the job.

I believe NFBR is a useful and valuable institution.

love of wildlife

END OF APPENDIX 3 < back to contents>

APPENDIX 4: List of morale comments < back to contents>

In each section, respondents were able to provide a comment in response to the following question about their morale to explain their answers if they wished to do so:

Regarding the part that you play as a <ROLE> in our biological recording communities and infrastructure, to what extent do you agree with each of the following statements?

- I know what to do to play my part well
- I've got what I need to be able to play my part
- I've received recognition and appreciation for the part that I play
- People listen to, and value, my ideas and suggestions
- Within the last year I have had the chance to learn and grow
- People I liaise with are committed to doing a good job

The following comments were received:

| ROLE | COMMENT | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Recorder | I am currently a volunteer. I find persons being employed spend a lot of time & effort looking for their next job, because their job only got funded for a short time. | |
| Recorder | more support and a more connected recording community in county would help me become a better recorder and get more out of recording, some local groups are great, but some individuals with specialist expertise do not share records. | |
| Recorder | A lot of this goes unnoticed by general public/powers that be. The folk that I work with/gather data for/with are very supportive and appreciative as they know how much effort it requires | |
| Recorder | Our project feels disconnected from general biological recording community. | |
| Recorder | We have had a lot of support from the | |
| Recorder | My main limitations are health issues and age! Not a lot can be done about either of these! | |
| Recorder | LRCs are not being listened to in relation to Open Data (particularly by Natural England) and the changes from the NBN Gateway to the Atlas, - We have good relationships with other organisations such as the Environment Agencies | |
| Recorder | 'I've got what I need to be able to play my part': More equipment and consumables are always useful for entomology as well as access to keys and biological record data. Availability of free contemporary keys varies depending on taxa. | |
| Recorder | One of the most valuable resources is the experienced members of the societies I am a member of. | |
| Recorder | In some of the above cases, there are instances where I could agree and instances where I could disagree. I am not appreciated by everyone I work with but neither am I dismissed by everyone I work with. Some people value my ideas, some don't. Some professional conservationists try their best to do a good job, some are rogues in it for an easy ride and a civil service pension. | |
| Recorder | More funding would make things much easier. | |
| Recorder | It depends on who you are referring to regarding the above answers! Butterfly Conservation listen and understand the issues - LERC don't! | |
| Recorder | I help others but I am also helped by others. | |
| Recorder | There is a severe lack of employment in environmental recording and coordination. There are so many voluntary groups, schools, passionate individuals all doing their own thing, it does not seem to be well coordinated or reported on. | |
| Recorder | Difficult to distinguish here between my roles in data collection/recording and in managing a society! | |
| Recorder | I would say I am quite 'passive' in my data sharing - I collect through my work and privately, and share simply by sending in my records, I don't really interact that much with how it's done, hence some of the question above don't really apply. | |
| Recorder | If the answer to the first one is 'neither - nor', it undermines subsequent answers that are to right or left of that. | |
| Recorder | I enjoy attending training courses to improve my identification skills. | |
| Recorder | any contributions by me are pro bono. Not interested in 'recognition' etc | |
| Recorder | LERC do a great job of sharing their data with recorders, providing training and the annual seminar day is a great get together to acknowledge the efforts of all involved. | |
| Recorder | all informal recorders receive thanks but not individual recognition. | |
| Recorder | I do my best as far as I can, and try to encourage others, including children, to discover, care about, and love wildlife and get involved in joining in with recording and/or joining local conservation groups and activities (not as successfully as I should like!) | |
| Recorder | Notable exception (failure by some local council to engage and share records) to the last question but didn't want to unfairly tar others with same brush! | |
| Recorder | Tricky to complete this section as I record multiple taxon groups and the organisation of each is not the same. Suggest that this most applies to botanical recording work as my principle recording interest. | |
| Recorder | A local records centre in would make my job easier - there would be more potential to develop projects etc | |
| Recorder | 1) Could still learn more in specific areas - 2&3) I feel the local authorities we help - LNCS designations is most of what I'm currently involved with - with our work on a volunteer basis give hardly any support or recognition. Very disappointing. Support among local recorders is good. | |
| Recorder | The only thing i feel is "missing" is a stronger commitment from Government and national agencies to continue to provide the funding local record centers need to continue doing what they are doing so very well. My experience is largely of LERC, but I have a few dealings with others. The work they do is far too important to have it depend on coffee mornings and the goodwill of volunteers to keep it going. Without the formalised and consistent structure and support of a record centre like LERC, I would fear for the future of biological recording in Scotland. A single national centre wouldn't quite do it - volunteers do have a regional empathy and it's too valuable to loose. | |
| Verifiers | iRecord needs more resources to develop the system in a way that the users need it to. | |
| Verifiers | I do not have sufficient "lab space" at home to store specimens/equipment/microscopes etc Space is really at a premium as I run a premium as I run a in my home. My computer is old and out of date. My computer programs like EXCEL are ancient, inadequate or out of date. | |
| Verifiers | Again depends which group this applies to! | |

| Verifiers | I would like more contact with and support in this area from the verifier community. |
|----------------------|---|
| Verifiers | It is more satisfying to verify for a local group, where you get more immediate feedback. Online verification is a soulless and lonely activity! |
| Verifiers | Not doing as much as I used to do when I was butterfly recorder for Scotland. |
| Curators | There aren't enough resources to do anything well. Constant fire fighting. |
| Curators | I am a taxonomist and rely on well documented specimens. These are usually obtained from museums. Collections from the commercial or government agencies require a lot of processing to match the data the specimen. Most records without a specimen are of no value in taxonomy as they cannot be verified. I have not been involved in biological recording as the skills for identification are almost non existent in my group of taxon. I would not use a record without a specimen in my publications. Most databases are of little value as they are only records which cannot be tracked for the groups I am interested in taxon. I think this is very different for vertebrates, insects and other terrestrial groups. The marine fauna is poorly known and consequently the records are scarce. |
| Curators | Our museum is in terminal decline. We had staff in Natural Sciences years ago, today we have . I am the sa I am retired and work days a week. Our total budget has been cut gradually over the last years and we are promised cuts of % each year for the next years. |
| Curators | Although I enjoy my job very much, I am part of a team that has been severely understaffed for over a year (in fact, I am soon to be the only staff member in the team) We do not always have to the resources to accept offers of collaboration or hosting of workshops etc. |
| Curators | Not enough resources to be able to play my part to the full |
| Groups | It is getting difficult to understand just what you are wanting in each section and the distinctions between them! |
| Groups | I feel that with a bit more in the way of resources, we could be so much more effective. I'm not talking mega-bucks, just a few thousand quid a year. We have singularly failed to get buy-in from all but a few other partners in our Local Biodiversity Action Plan Group (the noble exceptions being, and and who have contributed partial match-funding for previous SNH grants). This is disappointing, but they all plead lack of money (justifiably in the case of many Public bodies). |
| Groups | It's a very minor voluntary role! |
| Schemes | If we were given records without restrictions we would be able to make more use of them. We need local records centres in particular to help with this. |
| Schemes | Don't get much chance to grow and learn as there's always a massive pile of data entry / data processing I should be doing. |
| Data Providers | There are insufficient resources to do my job properly - There is a lack of recognition in certain bodies (eg NBN, Natural England) as to the importance role that LRCs play, particularly in regard to data management and supporting recorders |
| Data Providers | The answers to question 20 will vary massively depending on the organisations and people involved. |
| Data Providers | Lack of resources |
| Data Users | I have brought up the issue of SNH data availability with local officers but nothing has changed I have also spoken at length with local authorities to try to get them to publish all ecological reports submitted in support of planning applications, but again all to no avail. |
| Data Users | Greater access to datasets is always desirable and could be improved. |
| Data Users | Again depends on who you are referring to! |
| Data Users | Data usage has mainly been through online maps, so little interaction with those providing the data, hence the average score. |
| Data Users | I feel that the needs of data users are neglected by some recording groups. |
| Service Providers | I passionately believe in LERCs as a crucial part of the biodiversity conservation jigsaw. We have a proven track record of doing our jobs well and being valued by those that we come into contact with, whether data providers or users. |
| Service Providers | Although I am confident that I do a good job, I am constantly looking for ways to improve and develop and to streamline procedures. I rely on self-study to improve my skills, mainly because there don't seem to be appropriate or tailored training courses on offer There is no defined structure for career progression in my role. |
| Service Users | I do not expect recognition or support for the kind of use that I make of these services |

END OF APPENDIX 4 < back to contents>

APPENDIX 5: List of what is working well and less well < back to contents >

Within each section, the respondent was asked the following two questions:

- For the activities that you <do>, what is already working well and what makes it so effective?
- For the activities that you <do>, what is working less well and how is it problematic for you?

The following responses, classified by role, were received:

| ROLE | WORKING WELL | |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| COLLECTION CURATOR | My own skills, knowledge allowing me to self-teach | |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | The preservation of biological specimens is well known but whether it is practiced is another matter as it takes time and skill. It is effective as we have specimens stored in good condition for over a hundred years The biological world is organised in taxonomic groups eg Chordates, Insects plants etc so it is easy to find where identified specimens are to be catalogued. The understanding of this basic fact takes many years as you need to know where to find the correct hierarchy for particular groups. The system works well all over the world. | |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | I am principally curating material for my own private reference collection The more identified material I add to it, the better I get at identification. This helps me with referee specimens for the local recording scheme and also helps with my in conservation science. | |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | acquisitions and loans, submission of records to other schemes | |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | Online data capture, standard methods, training/accreditation schemes | |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | Continue to explain why the acquisition of actual specimens is often necessary for the correct identification of specimens. | |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | I use my custom-made databases and keep them simple and efficient | |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | I curate specimens for my own recording, and occasionally receive specimens from others for identification. For the most part this works well, although it has taken me a long time to acquire the knowledge and skills needed to curate a collection well. | |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | Developing liaison with, and training for, universities to instil in students (under and post grad) that if undertaking studies which involve collecting specimens that this should be done to museum standards so that the material can be deposited in a museum and not discarded afterwards. This is difficult. The collection I curate is essentially a private one of around or more specimens amassed by myself | |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | and one other person, The thing that works about having the collections is simply having good reference material to hand for comparative purposes and having voucher specimens when queries arise or, perhaps, a species split occurs. | |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | Part of a large museum service, and so there are many colleagues to assist with many aspects of the work. Having been in the same museum for over years, I know the system! | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Own portal has raised our profile as a data developer | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | I have been able to successfully bid for funding to develop projects around data products and their use Innovative nature of the LERC community has helped to develop new products and to share skills. | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Having the time to develop my own understanding of data collection and analysis methods. | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Access to all Scottish data from the national moth recording scheme Feedback from recorders on what is valuable information and my ability to manipulate and present the data in use ful ways | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | NBN Gateway makes it fairly easy to submit requests for data. | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Those schemes which share original records at data capture level with metadata are most useful | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | As a Local Authority we commission Habitat Survey work and on occasion protected species survey work. All data collected is processed, stored and managed by LERC LERC Coustodian. LERC in turn share data with NBN. | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Some datasets are freely available and easy to access - eg. JNCC Seabird Monitoring Programme datasets | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | All other than training. | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | local support | |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Good library in the museum | |
| DATA PROVIDER | digitising records through ArcGIS | |
| DATA PROVIDER | mixed results | |
| DATA PROVIDER | our own portal has raised our profile as a data provider. | |
| DATA PROVIDER | The BSBI Distribution Database is working extremely well and enables data to be handled and searched. Also MapMate. | |
| DATA PROVIDER | Local recording expertise - volunteers and county recorders who work hard to collect data and then are willing to make it available East of England Standard Service (LERC designed and operating in East of England region and beyond - http://www.nbis.org.uk/sites/default/files/documents/TheStandardDataEnquiryServiceSummaryforwebsite_V3.pdf) - ALERC accreditation system - addresses the issues of metadata, enquiries etc | |
| DATA PROVIDER | We have run/are running a number of projects to train/support recorders in both species id and submitting records. These have been very successful. Funding to support our recorders form HLF and LIfe (amongst others) has been key in this. | |
| DATA PROVIDER | .Availability of my organisations web site to host the data | |
| DATA PROVIDER | The NBN provides a fantastic system in enabling up to share biological records. | |

| DATA PROVIDER | Identification training days are an invaluable resource. | |
|---------------|--|--|
| DATA PROVIDER | NBN Gateway makes it fairly easy to publish data and manage requests for higher-level access. | |
| DATA PROVIDER | data management, via digitisation of collections | |
| DATA PROVIDER | see previous answers: Online data capture, standard methods, training/accreditation schemes; Online verification/checking of data entered; Standard methods of data capture and training to ensure high-quality data and confidence in monitoring seabird populations | |
| DATA PROVIDER | This is quite a self-contained role. I work as part of a project which collects it's own data. We aren't reliant on obtaining from anyone else. Once the project is complete, the data will be made publicly available, most likely through ObIS SEAI This is where previous data sets have gone. Submitting the data here was very straightforward last time. | |
| DATA PROVIDER | Give access to data to as many people as possible so that the data is widely available and used. | |
| DATA PROVIDER | Most of the data management activities are straightforward and present no major problems. | |
| DATA PROVIDER | Our internal mapping system is a fantastic library of our internal data and has helped identify where gaps in our data are and where paper data has still not been digitized or where particular reserves or offices are not on top of their data management. It's enabled our staff to access data and do simple analysis and mapping without contacting us and it's enabled us to query NBN data through web services on to our system making it easily accessible. It hasn't however given us the resources to catch up with outstanding data management of paper records! | |
| DATA PROVIDER | It has been pleasing to see data from 'my' recording scheme appear on the NBN Gateway - looking forward to seeing how the new atlas platform operates. | |
| DATA PROVIDER | Pretty much all are working well. | |
| DATA PROVIDER | LERC expertise, skills, staff and volunteers, Host and Partners' support. | |
| DATA PROVIDER | ALERC is an excellent organisation to help tackle dataflow between national schemes and LERCs | |
| DATA PROVIDER | Discovering, digitising and mobilising the biological records that you hold on your own or others' behalf - Data management of your data holdings and preparation of your datasets and metadata for publication | |
| DATA PROVIDER | We do the minimum | |
| DATA PROVIDER | Sharing data via national portals (until recently) | |
| DATA PROVIDER | Our species data management system (using Recorder6) is very robust. iRecord also works well as a system for capturing records, although the process of getting iRecord records into our system so we can use them in our reporting could be improved Through sharing expertise regionally with other environmental record centres, we have been able to develop our own bespoke reporting systems which mean we're able to produce very high quality biodiversity information products, which are relevant to our customer's requirements We also have excellent relationships with the local recording groups built up over many years, who share their data with us so that we can offer a comprehensive biodiversity information service to users of LERC . | |
| DATA PROVIDER | Some good contacts with experts via LERC who can give feedback on data quality, undertake verification | |
| DATA USER | Not much. It is impossible to use downloaded data from the likes of NBN because of copyright issues! | |
| DATA USER | Running training days | |
| DATA USER | Well produced method statements, well written data collection guidance | |
| DATA USER | none of the above - the system is not working well for data users. | |
| DATA USER | Availability of data from specific NGOs / specialist conservation groups (often with some interpretation or advice included). | |
| DATA USER | Easy to search for other people's data using systems such as NBN. | |
| DATA USER | Having all locally relevant and locally specific data held and managed in one place (locally). | |
| DATA USER | Useful to be able to see records from in and around particular sites, I don't always need to download or use the data, it's primarily for pre-site visit info checks. Understanding collection methods helps with designing my own surveys, and if there are any potential bias in the records supplied. | |
| DATA USER | The BSBI Distribution Database - allows me to search quickly, easily and with sophisticated tools for records. | |
| DATA USER | access to data via LERCs and NBN Gateway is good | |
| DATA USER | Online datasets such as the BSBI DDb are fantastic and should be viewed as Best Practice for all other recording schemes. The fact that you can go online, find up to date, current data on any species and print out distribution maps as well as drilling down to actual records is tremendous. | |
| DATA USER | Access and a good working relationship with a local records centre, enabled me to know where to go when I need data and to trust the quality of the data that I receive. | |
| DATA USER | access to data held by my organization - we are all working towards similar goals so we know how to access datasets easily | |
| DATA USER | Data searches prior to field visits allow an understanding of the site and the surrounding area so I know what to expect and what to look for in particular. | |
| DATA USER | The NBN map works well to give a rough indication of species presence | |
| DATA USER | Other recorders are generally happy to share information. | |
| DATA USER | The key to almost any survey or study of a species is to gather the available and relevant existing data. Generally this is hugely helped by the web and the existence of LRCs. | |
| DATA USER | Availability of data from LRCs to support ecological advice on planning applications | |
| DATA USER | I use the NBN Gateway to access records when consulted on planning applications. Of particular interest are European Protected Species, such as otter and water vole. The NBN Gateway (soon to be succeeded by the NBN Atlas on 31st March) is a decent public resource, though will hopefully (and I understand it will) be greatly improved in terms of user-friendliness when it becomes the Atlas. | |
| | | |
| DATA USER | Records can be fairly easily downloaded from the NBN and obtained from national recording schemes. | |

| DATA USER | accessing and downloading records has worked quite well for me because I have learned how to do that in the past few years and I know how to manage the data that I download | |
|-----------|---|--|
| DATA USER | A good network of recorders with expert local knowledge. | |
| DATA USER | We can work well with the LRC | |
| DATA USER | The NBN has made it much easier to obtain data. | |
| DATA USER | The NBN Gateway has been a tremendous resource. | |
| DATA USER | Deep understanding of our data | |
| DATA USER | I receive support from a number of individuals and organisations Few, if any, have shortcomings and I am very grateful | |
| DATA USER | ease of use, trusted recordings | |
| DATA USER | The Butterfly Conservation & MothsIreland systems work well - great communication | |
| DATA USER | The idea behind NBN gateway for accessing records for use is good. | |
| DATA USER | Ability to utilise data from external sources to inform land management. | |
| DATA USER | Getting records for my own interest, although usually get directly in touch with my Local Record Centre rather than search online databases. | |
| DATA USER | Previous work by county recorders helps us find populations. | |
| DATA USER | training is pretty good. | |
| DATA USER | LERC provide good data sets and have up to date records | |
| DATA USER | Accessing data on websites e.g. LERC | |
| DATA USER | Getting hold of data from the LRC | |
| DATA USER | The data I use is easily accessible and free to use at a good resolution. Odonata. | |
| DATA USER | NBN Gateway makes it fairly easy to submit and respond to requests for higher-level access. | |
| DATA USER | NBN online map search works well in principle, as long as my broadband allows it and the web site is running smoothly. I think a single centre online as a go-to for records makes most sense. | |
| DATA USER | The red orange green base map overlays (traffic light analogy) correlations for the habitat:species planning GIS visualisation function of the new NBN Living Atlas. | |
| DATA USER | all working well | |
| DATA USER | Data provided quickly and in a suitable format. | |
| DATA USER | irecord is useful to look at species distribution as are the different recording schemes eg BWARS in particular. | |
| DATA USER | Access to national recording schemes is usually OK | |
| DATA USER | We access all our data from LERC - they provide reports on planning applications when required and also provide us with data sets to integrate directly to Council GIS. | |
| DATA USER | see responses under data collector | |
| DATA USER | I have found the NBN Gateway to be a tremendous resource in terms of being able to find out the distributions of organisms and the contribution which my/our data makes to this. We also use the NBN's EasyMaps service to put maps on our (Local Recording Group's) website I personally use the NBN Gateway to check on the latest taxonomy of organisms and ensure I am using the correct scientific name. | |
| DATA USER | Have extensive records and data management support from own eNGO organization. Management of data is improving. | |
| DATA USER | GIS based systems | |
| DATA USER | Species distribution mapping | |
| DATA USER | The availability of records is good | |
| DATA USER | Our organisation holds good quality datasets which are easy to access and work with. | |
| DATA USER | Most activities work well due to the availability of good on-line resources and helpful colleagues. | |
| DATA USER | Where a record centre exist then it is good to be able to get good verified records | |
| DATA USER | NBN is a great boon but constant issue with all data provided is ensuring its quality. | |
| DATA USER | All | |
| DATA USER | Record collators such as LERC and NBN Gateway make accessing all available information more easy although there are obviously gaps in these data. | |
| DATA USER | local support | |
| DATA USER | Availability of data sets for use with GIS software. | |
| DATA USER | Data acquired used for making land management decisions | |
| DATA USER | The local records centre is valuable in providing local data for local decision making. This supplements the data available from NBN and national schemes. | |
| DATA USER | Other than my own data collection I undertake research to look for earlier data and any examples of similar studies and thei findings elsewhere. finding the appropriate sources is the most difficult aspect of this. | |
| DATA USER | My local environmental record centre offers a data request service at no charge to users who are enquiring for personal interest, academic use or research. This is really helpful from a data user's perspective, as it gives me access to comprehensive local datasets, not all of which are available on the NBN | |
| DATA USER | Growing network of local regular moth recorders who are happy to share their records. Support from local BRC who have regional interactive species maps on their website for at a glance local distribution checks & can supply extracts from their database. Free online access to other online datasets. | |
| | nom men autubuse. Free omine access to other omine datasets. | |

| DATA USER | Whilst information available to LERCs from iRecord could be improved (e.g. associating outcome of passing record against NBN Record Cleaner rules in the data download), it is efficient in that access to data for LERCs and use for LERC business was arranged once rather than by each LERC individually. Accessed via online download so updates can be obtained as regularly as the LERC would like NBN Gateway, though it had its issues, was a useful way to discover datasets and, arrange better access where necessary. Once permissions (to use for LERC purposes) and full access in place, datasets could be downloaded as needed |
|----------------|--|
| DATA USER | The diversity and quantity of biological records data available for scientific use to inform nature conservation is increasing all the time. This is to be celebrated and encouraged |
| FACILITATOR | I am part of national organisations and international projects which aim to increase the skill base, quality and reputation of biodiversity data providers. |
| FACILITATOR | We have committed volunteers to help with communication. Our role as a key stakeholder is helped by our knowledge of the Scottish scene and out network of contacts. |
| FACILITATOR | Large network with a wide variety of skills and interests. |
| FACILITATOR | As we have such a large network of organisations we can often call on these to help and provide support to us in relation to matters where we may not have the knowledge and expertise such as internal auditing, collecting donated services, offering meeting room provision etc |
| FACILITATOR | The BRISC/GNHS small bursary scheme to support taxonomic study - small bursary (max £200) can really make a difference to an individual's ability to access professional (eg Field Studies Council) courses Some LERCs, local recording groups and local branches of national schemes (where they exist) provide excellent local support, training and excursions for recorders iRecord as a concept is excellent and works for many taxon groups and individuals - easy access to online recording is essential Specialised systems & apps such as BirdTrack, Dragon Finder and iSpot - they are engaging and responsive to users |
| FACILITATOR | We are well known and seen as reliable body. |
| FACILITATOR | local support |
| FUNDER | Contractual arrangement to gather new species/ habitats data and monitor existing species/ habitats |
| FUNDER | working with local groups |
| FUNDER | Current system works well but does take time to maintain appropriate audit. Good local contact with Record Centre (and others on management group) is very helpful. |
| FUNDER | A small sum of money from BRISC can be key match funding for a much bigger project. Or it can be a small sum, as in the bursaries for biological recording training, that can make a big difference to an individual. |
| FUNDER | Strong individuals - positive, knowledgeable |
| FUNDER | Thanks to all those funders of LERC who continue to help secure funding in difficult times. |
| FUNDER | HLF funding currently means that a simple, affordable payment of f is paid by my Local Authority employer to an LERC. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | BRC |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Volunteer recruitment is good, lots of keen self motivated volunteers to train. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Most working pretty well I think (and hope!) |
| GROUP OPERATOR | By working within separate groups we are able to focus on specific species, i.e. amphibians, swifts, barn owls, Small Blue butterfly etc Running a Recorders' Day every year highlights what other NGOs are surveying in the area and we can help publicise these or run joint events to highlight them |
| GROUP OPERATOR | ongoing support from data collection projects is always good and ensures the recording is carried on to a good standard |
| GROUP OPERATOR | I run a charity to make seed collections throughout and accession them into our seed bank. We share our collections with Kew's Millennium Seed Bank Partnership. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | The group largely keeps going because of the enthusiasm of the Committee members who organise it, and the members who participate in activities. People like a sense of belonging to something with a local focus, where they will meet likeminded people with different expertises, and learn from them. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | I am the data recorder for a local bat group. This really just involved collating the data collected by the bat group into an archive for our own use. These records are also made available to others upon request. Some of the data are submitted to Bat Conservation Trust (depending on the scheme they were recorded under). I believe they are sent from BCT to NBN. They are not currently submitted to local record centre as this represents an income stream for the group As it is fairly self contained - there are no particular issues - either good or bad - that I would Identify at this time. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Use of website and social media |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Continual move from paper based to computer based recording & analysis. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Data sharing gives access to a much more comprehensive picture than we would otherwise have. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | We are a small group so we can manage our activities effectively, but this largely depends on working as a team. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | The group is fairly self sufficient. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | In house recording system works well for curating data. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Nothing to add to my reply for the verifier role: It is fantastic to see so much interest in natural history at the moment. Digital photography and social media plus other online resources have had a very positive impact on getting more people interested in wildlife and recording, while 'traditional' societies and book publishing are going strong in many cases as well. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | A free group in exchange for people's records at the end of the year. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Dedication of recorders. General uptake and enthusiasm from the public for new local initiatives |
| GROUP OPERATOR | We are still a very young group, only started in 20 . But the volunteer base is good and highly motivated, Training course went very well, and more actions already planned for this year. Successful grant bid has just now further enabled us, as we now can buy some equipment. |
| RECORDER | Specialist f2f Bumblebee ID training days and a route to become more experienced through training at different levels ultimately becoming a trainer themselves. |
| RECORDER | The facilities that I have been provided seem OK. |

| RECORDER | Standardized methods |
|----------|--|
| RECORDER | Checking species distributions on NBN can be helpful Submitting spreadsheet to local recorder is straightforward, but infrequent. |
| RECORDER | Big 'weekend' or 'week' where people have a national thing to look for & count eg Big Garden Birdwatch or Worm Week This is great because it is set up & publicised & people are doing a group task I think Springwatch on tv is also good. |
| RECORDER | Using a standard methodology for recording under the Ancient Tree Inventory works well |
| RECORDER | As it is ad hoc there is no pressure - Easy contact with local ERC |
| RECORDER | - Self motivated but working with other organisations like the BRC for data management and being involved with the NBN |
| RECORDER | I do Garden Birdwatch weekly - it is simple and has very good feedback which is encouraging - I do not mind paying the annual fee of £17 - I do a Wider Countryside Butterfly survey square - this is also pretty straightforward but a bit more complicated than garden birdwatch and requires a little bit of special effort - Last year I did two bat count squares and would say they required even more effort (e.g collecting equipment) and were more specific in their requirements. One square once a year would be enough for me, but the results were amazing. Very good feedback - I send casual records to LERC - I go out with the country Recording group to improve my botanical skills (I was originally a botanist) |
| RECORDER | I volunteer with the BSBI - very experienced and knowledgeable botanists who are willing to teach others is why I find this recording group so great to work with. They are a very dedicated team, and are well organized with recording dates in the diary right from the beginning of the season. |
| RECORDER | Butterfly monitoring scheme works well, records verified quickly for county, online system works well, data is made available promptly. online recording via LEFC recording website - Indica websites generally are easy to use |
| RECORDER | Being part of an online community to share records and assist with ID enquiries: - The online community (particularly for moths) is so inclusive and open and includes all levels of experience from beginners to experts. |
| RECORDER | Greatly increased opportunities for participation - more projects and schemes than ever before and better promoted. |
| RECORDER | Submission of records to recording scheme Training and self-study - major incentive to collect data |
| RECORDER | Participation of existing national schemes works well, usually because they've been going for some time and have a dedicated officer to manage the system. This also applies to the use of standard survey methods for my work - having them to follow gives me greater confidence that I am following best practice and my data is relevant. |
| RECORDER | FSC AIDGAP - Support and training offered by LERCs and WTs - Online recording systems |
| RECORDER | Co-ordinators of national recording schemes are essential to maintain momentum in recording Publication of distribution maps encourages recording of lesser known species and gaps in distribution. |
| RECORDER | I recently helped out in the available to volunteers at a variety of locations including libraries, partner organization offices etc. The booking system worked well and the locations for collecting the equipment were well spaced across the survey area. Without this the survey would not have been possible for me I regularly attend free/low price training on plant and animal ID to help with recording. This has been invaluable to me and increased my awareness of species groups I wouldn't have been able to record otherwise. The low price of the training is important as I don't have the funds to pay for it myself. |
| RECORDER | The destination for data across a range of taxa is very good and having a LRC in with is a great asset |
| RECORDER | Close network locally. Collection for local records centre is fine - we understand each others experience and use it |
| RECORDER | Online recording is becoming much easier, and websites such as iRecord do a good job, but the general public may be put off because sometimes they aren't so straightforward NBN online and Atlas of Living Scotland are also both very useful for looking at distributions and patterns. |
| RECORDER | has a very well organised system for submitting and using records. |
| RECORDER | I have built up a substantial database of taxon records for VQ including records from individuals and organisations in the local area as well as my own records. I have also initiated a recorders forum online to enable the exchange of information and records. I assist various organisations with regard to biological recording (especially taxon) and have provided some basic training to other volunteers. I submit records to a number of recording schemes and to the terror records centre. |
| RECORDER | Member of taxon Survey - I carry out squares in each year for past years Main activity is recording taxon throughout Scotland as I am in Scotland I carry out casual collections and also use formal collecting techniques e.g. set water traps for specific surveys. |
| RECORDER | Collecting scientifically credible data has improved data quality. Forming a area wide citizen science community sightings project has increased overall awareness in the project. |
| RECORDER | We have been taught how to submit photographs and records to irecord. |
| RECORDER | Training and mentoring - developing recorders abilities to ID, retain vouchers (where necessary), data verification |
| RECORDER | The national recording scheme (taxon) I feed my records into works well and has an excellent UK-wide website presenting distribution/diversity maps at both national and local levels. This allows for recording gaps to be identified, and field work planned to address these under-worked areas. It also help identify which species to look out for (e.g. taxa spreading into your area). It also lists species and their last record date in your VC, so you can focus your fieldwork on these poorly recorded species too Museums (like Liverpool) provide excellent resources for holding identification courses (these are often free of charge). Being able to use their expensive microscopes and specimens is very important for teaching LERCs promote, fund and deal with organising ID courses locally (helping to stimulate recording in their areas). They also yield useful ad hoc records from the general public, which might otherwise not be registered. |
| RECORDER | The use of digital photos on the web for both ID and for rapidly passing to others for ID. The organisation of some bodies to collect data via the web and to provide analysis back to the recorders (e.g. BTO and BC) |
| RECORDER | The internet works well both for tracking down papers and finding photographs (tho obviously care is needed here!) |
| RECORDER | I record insects and started from scratch in 20 Initially I attended organised basic training, but as time passes I am more able to self-train through private study. I found basic training and keys at the family-level to be relatively accessible and available at no or little cost. I live in the state of the second provided in the second provi |
| RECORDER | I think in the main most is working well. However, there are a couple of aspects which concern me: 1. Not all organisations which collect and/or collate data seem to share that data with the relevant Local Record Centres or the NBN and I feel strongly that this is something we must pursue and encourage to be able to move forwards in the knowledge that |

| | we are all working towards the same aims 2. The proliferation of recording areas concerns me greatly. We now have data being sent to many individual recording schemes (local, regional and national) as well as to the NBN directly and to Local Record Centres (plus others I am sure). This makes things very difficult for recorders who have to keep track of where they have sent records and where they have not and it certainly does not help data duplication and I assume changes as it |
|----------|--|
| | goes along different lines. I strongly feel that Local Record Centres should be the main local collection/collation points for ALL records, that LRCs should go to lengths to ensure that all data (following validation/verification) is uploaded to the NBN, and that those wishing to access this data should obtain it from either the relevant LRC or LRCs or from the NBN. Fragmentation of the recording effort and complication of data paths is hampering the development of the recording base and hindering further positive evolution of the recording community and how it uses accumulated data. |
| RECORDER | Lots of very good training courses and materials now available. Has definitely increased over last 10 years making many more taxonomic groups accessible. Use of mobile technology also making recording much quicker and easier. Access to reference materials good in some locations but not all. Some taxonomic groups also very active on social media meaning you can have quick answers to taxonomic queries |
| RECORDER | Natural History Society is very active and encompasses affiliated groups such as Invertebrate Group (which Fungi Group (which Fungi Group)), WHS and Invertebrate Group (IG) and Fungi Group all run excursions and training exercises as well as NHS holding indoor meetings and a biennial conference focused on invertebrates. NHS also appoints Recorders for all major groups and coordinate recording of 'neglected groups of invertebrates'. |
| RECORDER | ease of entering records online eg BTO-Birdtrack is excellent |
| RECORDER | Difficult to say, but it usually comes down to one or two active people and those that lurk |
| RECORDER | Running a Robinson moth trap , using reference books to confirm identification |
| RECORDER | There is a good range of recording schemes for various groups which enables wide involvement in recording. This makes recording worthwhile as you feel able to contribute to the bigger picture. |
| RECORDER | There is a good range of citizen science projects and recording schemes around. The recording schemes are generally very well organised and have clear data flows There are plenty of courses available (some free) to improve identification skills of various taxonomic groups. The NHS does a good job of running courses for invertebrates. |
| RECORDER | The area Group of the SWT is particularly effective at using records to protect wildlife during the local authority and forestry planning processes. At the present time we screen all planning proposals in the two counties and look for wildlife impacts. This is nearly all done by personally consulting local naturalists and wildlife groups. Distribution maps are no substitute. |
| RECORDER | - There are good effective groups operating for key species with data being used to produce National trends |
| RECORDER | Training schemes - I was a process of the second se |
| RECORDER | Making the time to undertake my own personal research into the best ways to collect and analyse data. This enhances my own personal understanding of the collection and use of data. |
| RECORDER | Interactive tool NBN very useful for reference. |
| RECORDER | casual collection of ad hoc records works well because I can manage my own time and access to sites that are easy to do so. Also I can bring another purpose to my outdoor activities. |
| RECORDER | Improved IT such as smartphone apps Local groups running training days and field trips Strong leadership in local groups providing support and organisation. |
| RECORDER | Our local centre is seen as a centre of expertise and skill. It is the place to go to to find out about local species and to get involved in recording. It is also the hub around which a network of specialists can work and share data. |
| RECORDER | Support and help of other recorders and specialists in local area/Natural History Society - County NHS website for recording my species group |
| RECORDER | - Use county DRN system . Any person can access the recording system, fill in a sighting and the record is sent to me for verification and storage. |
| RECORDER | Learn together as part of a local recording group. Send data in to my local records centre. Opportunities to learn from local experts. |
| RECORDER | I do a lot of recording with the county and this works well. We try to enthuse others and are planning an atlas. We have had some notable successes including finding Pine Marten in county. Fortunate to live near which is the hub for the and actively record wildlife at my work (although not part of my role) -and enjoy enthusing others. We are trying to develop the site to improve biodiversity - and lots of help for us to do that I have always recorded birds, butterflies, dragonflies, mammals, and herptiles at my home in county but some of the garden is in county ! . Just branching out into taxon - and great local network, and courses -including free courses at Museum which is fantastic - county have a great network and have a recorders event every year - Also do the taxon monitoring -again |
| RECORDER | great free courses available It enhances my day to day work to be able to identify species and pass that knowledge on. |
| RECORDER | It's working. Mostly because I get lots of support and more importantly, assistance with identification from those who know more than I do |
| RECORDER | Collating various flora & fauna species data carried out mainly by volunteers while working for own (a friend & I) surveys, mainly species. |
| RECORDER | Participate in organised BTO surveys. Submit records to Bird Track. Other records which may be of interest I send ot the Local REcords Centre. |
| RECORDER | BTO recording on their website |
| RECORDER | Data management of my own records is working fine so long as I can continue to run my old copy of Recorder 3 Data submission using spreadsheets is an improvement on the old card system. |
| RECORDER | Being able to have contact with high quality identification advice when needed. |
| RECORDER | Good survey packs with easy to follow instructions e.g. Opal |
| RECORDER | I find it easy to enter records on web sites in the knowledge that they go to national centres where they are available to a |
| | |

| | range of organisations. |
|----------|---|
| RECORDER | The websites on which I can record data are well set up and user-friendly. The people that I come into contact with (working for these organisations) are intelligent, passionate and inspirational. |
| RECORDER | We offer a significant level of personal support and ongoing training to our volunteers who take it beyond the initial training - this encourages a deeper level of engagement as a citizen science and a commitment to the programme. |
| RECORDER | Excellent contact with LRC and local Recorders |
| RECORDER | BSBI BSBI the Distribution Database is a brilliant resource Meeting with other recorders is of great benefit e need more trained recorders, so we need a way to encourage people to apply. |
| RECORDER | National recording schemes are working well, particularly BSBI and Butterfly Conservation ones. The BSBI database is excellent and a similar resource would be useful in other recording schemes Volunteer contribution in this sector is massive and very effective. |
| RECORDER | All the following are working well for me - I submit records to two Biological 'Centres' I place my records and queries on iSpot for others' interest and verification I subscribe, submit records and share data with three specialised Facebook groups - I follow others' records of specific Organisms - I follow others biological records in my home County - I exchange information and records privately with interested persons - some International - I have stopped placing records on iRecord because the common response is Outside Know Range and therefore implied as invalid - |
| RECORDER | As a long time recorder and county recorder I am aware of what schemes there are and the easiest current method to submit records, albeit that there is far too much choice. Amongst the vast majority those that submit records through me there is an understanding that the records will be scrutinised and as long as encouragement, some positive feedback and a degree of understanding is given the process runs well. |
| RECORDER | I like that some surveys have standard recording sheets and are easy to submit records on line. This gets the information shared quickly, for example squirrel sightings with the SWT or the butterfly transects with UKBMS. The NBN species search maps are very useful for desk research and planning. |
| RECORDER | I have been recording for very many years |
| RECORDER | Identification forums with experts to help identify specimens The ability to submit records electronically using smartphone apps and web forms and standard spreadsheets. |
| RECORDER | data management of my own records (notebook) - using iRecord and BirdTrack to record various species (on my phone) - using MapMate system to record various species (PC) |
| RECORDER | Participation - I find iRecord a very useful way of recording my wildlife records and ensuring that they get to the right person for verification. BTO Birdtrack remains the best way for recording complete bird species lists Casual collection of ad hoc records - The iRecord mobile phone application for Android works very well Formal collection - BMS Online works very well Data management - iRecord, BTO Birdtrack and BMS Online allow me to create my own extracts, QGIS provides a great mapping tool Reference materials - NBN Gateway, UK moths, Butterfly Conservation web sites (national and of Scotland) and iRecord all provide very useful information on species distribution and identification Submission - iRecord and BTO Birdtrack do this automatically Training - As a Volunteer Ranger with organisation I find that there are plenty of training opportunities, I also know that LERC run excellent training sessions. |
| RECORDER | iRecord as a single point of entry for my records. |
| RECORDER | A standardised recording system for all species. |
| RECORDER | Co-ordination and linkages to established recording of groups, butterflies, moths dragonflies in particular Encouraging recording activities of volunteers Making recorders feel valued and that their records are worthwhile Confidence that records submitted will be appropriately managed. |
| RECORDER | National Recording |
| RECORDER | Knowledge base of largely volunteer experts. |
| RECORDER | Citizen science - people who wouldn't normally record wildlife getting involved |
| RECORDER | Recording is done locally, within 3 miles from home, on an attractive pathway that I frequently use for cycling Recording techniques are relatively simple. |
| RECORDER | I study Lepidoptera (taxa |
| RECORDER | There is lots of information available online |
| RECORDER | iRecord makes data submission and verification easy. The broad range of verifiers helps. |
| RECORDER | We have a reliable mechanism for recording records to a high standard, validated and checked before going to the NBN Gatway. We can then use the interactive map to check records, or areas without records from the interactive map. In addition the NBN Gateway gives an overall "picture" of British distribution to keep thing in perspective. We rely on others for record confirmation and make use of experts further afield as required. |
| RECORDER | Recording and submitting records for some species is well organised and easily carried out online. Feedback for some groups (eg hoverflies, bees, butterflies) is relatively quick, useful and educational especially via certain facebook sites Online resources for some groups is well organised and useful Online resources such as ispot and irecord make submission of records straight forward |
| RECORDER | Participating as an active member of a citizen science project, Recording Group or National Recording Scheme |
| RECORDER | Most activities do work very well and I admire the skills and dedication of those involved. |
| RECORDER | Recording data and sending direct to local records centre and this system works well Training others internally works well. Some training for externally groups outside of work which has worked well as organised by ARC as part of the Amphibian and Reptile group network |
| RECORDER | county Natural History Society's coordinated records submission portal - easy access to all the relevant recorders who deal with sharing data with records centres, etc. Finding out where to report records from other areas is difficult and time consuming - I have a lot of unreported data that I cannot find time to forward to an appropriate recording scheme Providers of essential specialist identification literature: this stuff costs an arm and a leg and is often nigh on impossible to find. I have made most rapid progress when working on groups supported by individuals who help circulate obscure literature electronically. A lot of the relevant literature is copyrighted material, despite being out of print and impossible to |

| | find second hand Up to date checklists are essential for working on many groups. Particularly valuable examples are the annotated checklists (including links to references describing the most relevant revisions) for beetles (Andrew Duff) and Gavin Broad's parasitica checklists (without which it would be virtually impossible to study these orders). |
|----------|--|
| RECORDER | Many online resources are now available to help with ID, recording and data management. e.g. iRecord, iSpot, FSC resources etc. |
| RECORDER | Professional coordination and management of data collection and dissemination . |
| RECORDER | Having close and personal contact with the Local Record Centre LERC) Being kept informed and up-to-date with current issues regarding taxonomic changes, help with difficult identification from either the species recorders (eg moth recorder) or again via the Record Centre who will forward my queries to the relevant authority or put me in touch with them (ie acts as a facilitator) Training days when available (vital as both an aid to ID and to establish links, and maintain contact with fellow enthusiasts) - 'Bioblitz' activities (again to forge links) - |
| RECORDER | Use of reference materials from county recorders. Using the GPS. Training others as data collectors. |
| RECORDER | availability of info on citizen science projects, training courses |
| RECORDER | The ability to easily upload ad-hoc species information. The training given freely to encourage correct species information. |
| RECORDER | The BTO Garden Bird Watch works well because it is easy to do, easy to record and you get feedback from them on the results |
| RECORDER | Teaching volunteers species identification. |
| RECORDER | I am a Volunteer Wildlife Champion for premission and attend wildlife identification training courses provided by them - I find them useful for learning and meeting others involved with wildlife recording |
| RECORDER | Submitting records to LERC - Working with volunteers and schools to help others record - I enjoy using reference books and the internet to work out what I've found. |
| RECORDER | National Moth Recording Scheme - LERC Environmental Record Centre |
| RECORDER | Communication between recorders and outreach to those with a more casual interest. |
| RECORDER | Effective network of bird recorders appointed and managed by the Scottish Ornithologists' Club |
| RECORDER | I keep an annual spread sheet of all my recorded species supported by flickr cross reference photos see https://www.flickr.com/photos/parameter - I use a annual notebook but since July 20 have started on site recording directly into I-record on my android phone. I find this works well for me and ensures my records wherever seen are collected outside my personal spreadsheet lists. I don't have to look for latin names etc or OS grid references as I did using notebook & spreadsheet Local recorders are starting to collect my info from my I-record account but they find it inconvenient I think as the data is not always in the format that suits them I also use several facebook groups to assist with IDs as my knowledge is limited but the spread of sightings quite wide. |
| RECORDER | LERC . They offer an easy to use means of recording and keeping important data on species and habitats. It is an invaluable source of such information that is made easily available to anyone carrying out environmental surveys for developers. |
| RECORDER | The local Recording Group is a great network where I can get support and also send my records to, in the knowledge that they will be validated, verified, sent to the NBN and backed up very professionally. Socially it is a great group too The British Dragonfly Society is also a great place to send records to and the feedback from the Country Recorder is excellent if you have any questions. The volunteer events that it runs are also a great way of meeting like-minded people and the appointment of a Scotland Officer has helped increase its profile and reach to those interested in Dragonflies. Occasional ID training courses are run as well, which are very popular. |
| RECORDER | I collect ad hoc records for LERC. That organisation and its links to other local groups provides a network of more expert people to ensure records are as accurate as possible to species identification. This is very supportive of the individual recorder. I also use a website - i-spot which provides assistance in species identification from people more expert than I am. This is also very helpful. |
| RECORDER | Superb books & reference materials covering most UK species groups - some of the best in the world BTO database - probably also the best in the world, although interfaces could be better, and BTO data should go on NBN. |
| RECORDER | Celebrate the work of Plantlife and the BTC mentored programmes for you people to learn the skills of identification. More is required |
| RECORDER | I am supported by translation who give me excellent training, provide all required resources and have helped me to link up with a supportive peer group. |
| RECORDER | Data collection and species recording in my local area which is shared with my local records centre. I work on my own during local walks and car travel. I also take part in specific recording projects set up nationally or through my local records centre. It is effective because it suits my interests and lifestyle and is generally not too time consuming. |
| RECORDER | I participate in the BCT NBMP - easy to do and well facilitated through the BCT. I use my local record centre's excel spreadsheet for my own 'ad hoc' collection of records, which I then share with them once a year - again, easy and doesn't take much time. |
| RECORDER | Passionate individuals / staff - Strong local voice - |
| RECORDER | local recording group is very efficient and pro-active. |
| RECORDER | My primary interests are moth recording and bird recording and the collection and management of these records by the Butterfly Conservation National Moth Recording Scheme (BC NMRS) and the British Trust for Ornithology/Scottish Ornithologists Club respectively works well. I'm the taxon recorder for VC and the only difficulty I have is in identifying and obtaining moth records that have been submitted to other recording schemes and various online applications e.g. iRecord/iSpot and my own local Record Group. These records tend to be casual records often from non-specialists, however they can be very valuable as they may come from visitors to areas that moth recorders with traps might not visit. In county this is very much the case where there are very few resident recorders. Whilst BS NMRS do their best to repatriate records that they have identified from these various schemes it is often difficult to assess the records as the records contact details may not be readily accessible and the requirement for an acceptable record may have not been met. Most moth-ers who operate traps as residents or visitors to county, do submit their records to the cMR but I'm sure there are many good records don't get. There is a limit to the time most CMRs will devete to "principal" all these schemes and dealing with the problems of corrections these records. |
| RECORDER | will devote to "mining" all these schemes, and dealing with the problems of assessing these records. Moth recording through local recorder - Garden Butterfly Survey Butterfly Conservation - Bird recording using Birdtrack and Local recorder - Beewalk |

| RECORDER | Good easy-to-use recording web sites are a joy and have become better lately, especially in providing an easy way to find the grid ref for sightings Getting together with like-minded people is facilitated by an annual Recorders' Meeting; I don't think it can or should be more frequent, but it is a very useful, stimulating event The yearly 'Big Garden Birdwatch' by RSPB is stimulating too, and occasionally one hears of the Mammal Society asking for records of cats' victims or similar, which ought to be more widely publicised anything that engages the public has a great effect We are often invited to attend training days and although I haven't gone in years, I have done them (instructed and been instructed) and they work very well and are well attended We can borrow most specialist equipment, we have only to ask The SNH TAXON man very |
|----------|--|
| | kindly ID'd a specimen for me last year (sent by post). |
| RECORDER | LERC Training |
| RECORDER | Project such as NARRS and OPAL work well and provide high-quality data. provides useful mentoring and support. |
| RECORDER | One of the few other taxon recorders in the area has become the most serious source of records in this century. have run joint workshops e.g on to try to train locals to record. Our records are sent to the central U.K. database at Kew Since moving here in 19 I have found one species not previously recorded in the U.K. and mapped its presence in the area activities including visiting specialists. We have also relocated rare ones. |
| RECORDER | NBN distribution maps are the thing I refer to most Also I use local Recording Group (local proup data on species. |
| RECORDER | Availability of information / resources on the internet, eg iSpot, http://www.lichens.lastdragon.org/ |
| RECORDER | records are easy to enter and access through the LERC website. |
| RECORDER | Using NBN Gateway maps to help me see what is recorded in my area Consulting local experts/knowledgeable people to help me identify what I have seen. |
| RECORDER | On line information such as CANMORE / PASTMAP / NESBREC etc referred to frequently |
| RECORDER | What works is there being venues through which to submit records (e.g. LERC) has their record form and their records centre are very open to receipt of records via email. I think that the variety of apps also helps get people doing ad hoc data collection. |
| RECORDER | The availability of online species distribution maps is invaluable for giving me an idea of what to look out for, possibly how interesting some of my own records are, and can help guide my identification. Seeing my records displayed on an online map is also satisfying! |
| RECORDER | Opal citizen science is very useful when working with groups of school children Personally I find irecord very useful for recording ad hoc records. The taxon recording scheme has an excellent presence on facebook and makes learning identification and recording really friendly and straightforward There are some excellent ID books for hoverflies and bees now which have made these groups much more accessible to the beginner. |
| RECORDER | Submission of records, data management, use of reference material |
| RECORDER | Standard methods of data capture and training to ensure high-quality data and confidence in monitoring seabird populations |
| RECORDER | Operating as an individual I can give as much time as I want to my recording activities |
| RECORDER | Most standard recording schemes work well and the outputs (such as maps) are useful Some recording packages, such as MapMate, work well and are easy to use Some local records centres are working well, despite low funding. |
| RECORDER | There are some excellent training resources available to recorders (materials, courses, initiatives) and support from recording groups The use of social media is bringing interested individuals together to for recording communities The iRecord website/app makes recording in the field quick and easy. It also benefits the recorder through reports and tool and can simplify data supply to versifiers. |
| RECORDER | I collect on an ad hoc basis and as a personal interest so not sure I can answer this as I just enjoy what I see. |
| RECORDER | Am largely self-taught with considerable help in improving my skills from local Vice-county Recorders. |
| RECORDER | Having a recorders event is a great way to network and learn about what recording groups have been up to. |
| RECORDER | Some Local Biological Records Centres and recording schemes provide a very useful, professional and efficient service, e.g. LERC , the emergent Atlas of Scotland, Record Pool Interactive querying of data, such as via the skeleton polygon tool or radii around a grid reference, as on the Atlas of Scotland, is a very useful tool |
| RECORDER | friendly and informative training and forums flexible data collection |
| RECORDER | Use of the NBN Gateway to check distributions and see whether I have recoded something in a new location. Not sure this is going to be so easy on NBN Atlas of Scotland Submission of records to my local Group and thence to the Gateway. They provide a formatted spreadsheet which makes this easy The local focus provided by a local recording Group is definitely worth celebrating! It is effective in maintaining people's interest and encouraging them to submit records The BSBI Recording system with recording cards tailored for each Vice-County, plus a local recorder to oversee, works well. Their online database is also exemplary - the NBN folks could learn from it! |
| RECORDER | Individual projects run by different groups are effective in focussing on particular types of wildlife or habitat. Development of apps has been useful. In particular, the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support of bodies such as LERC in the coordinating activities and support and the coordinating activities and support activities and support activities and support activities are considered. |
| RECORDER | Organised training - Online reporting |
| RECORDER | Training & mentoring |
| RECORDER | Personal contact with Vice County Recorder to verify ID of difficult species - Useful resources online in particular BSBI distribution maps |
| RECORDER | the methods we use are simple and easy to repeat |
| RECORDER | RSPB and BTO Bird Track |
| RECORDER | Submission of own bird records using BTO Birdtrack |
| RECORDER | Annual email request to known surveyors and SOC recorder asking for records of particular rare species, followed up by email summarizing results, to engender collective ownership. This information is then sent to SOC, RBBP and RSPB merlin system. |
| | |

| RECORDER | Access to people with more knowledge than myself. |
|----------|---|
| RECORDER | Bird Track is easy to use. |
| RECORDER | Having a GIS based recording system is really useful and extremely valuable in my professional role |
| | |
| RECORDER | That people are willing to give up their time for data collection |
| RECORDER | BirdTrack works well. Easy to upload information, and to see summary info provided by others Building a team of 'hobby botanists' as well as more experienced people Training and mentorship, to encourage learning and the gaining of confidence through experience Working with schools to spark botanical interest in the young Building a wide-ranging and varied programme of botanical activities and encouraging participation Targeting data collection in areas hitherto under- or weakly-recorded. |
| RECORDER | Following standard methodologies works really well. |
| RECORDER | The workshops, field trips and annual forum arranged by LERC have been excellent The Urban Butterfly survey via iRecord is very good; I like being able to mark locations using the satellite images as well as the OS map. The 'confidence factor' (1, 2, or 3 stars) is a very good idea when submitting records. It's also encouraging to see records appear on the map, even if they are provisional and subject to acceptance. The Saving Scottish Squirrels maps are excellent, as sightings appear quickly, can be filtered by species (albeit only 2) and by year The ability to submit casual records is important, to notify the appropriate organisation or Area Recorder of significant sightings, outwith a formal survey area It is very useful to have experts available to help with identification or for discussion Various organisations have very useful online tools and illustrations to help with identification The 'wildlife days' held in the local park, and schemes like the Big Garden Birdwatch are excellent introductions to wildlife conservation and recording. |
| RECORDER | Wildlife records for geographical areas with organised and adequately funded recording schemes and records for taxonomic groups where there are organised and adequately funded national recording schemes. |
| RECORDER | The local interest, identification and recording groups I am involved with have very committed members with a high level of knowledge who are very encouraging to those newer to identification and recording, like myself. |
| RECORDER | A well planned online recording system, easily accessed and easy to use (as I am not very computer literate) is of greatest help to me. I find the BTO recording system excellent. They are also very helpful and quick in answering email queries. |
| RECORDER | Engagement with public and others over social media to generate records General desire to work together with positive intent |
| RECORDER | Bird recording. I'm the local organiser for BTO surveys in the Bird Report for the SOC's area which is published annually in the . |
| RECORDER | Record collecting |
| RECORDER | Submitting records to RSPB's system and looking at them. |
| RECORDER | An excellent online recording system that is simple and easy to use (I'm primarily thinking here of BirdTrack). Which also has good (although could be improved further) way that you can use your own records/data |
| RECORDER | Works well: most data is easy to submit (e.g. online, via simple excel or recording sheets) |
| RECORDER | The existence of a network of highly skilled local recorders who wish to share their records and help with verification Online access to records (see comments below) - Availability of on-line resources to help with identification - Existence of Recorder 6 software and the support offered through the NBN forum |
| RECORDER | Data Collection Submission of Records - |
| RECORDER | The scheme I work with, the National Moth recording scheme, has a good network of county recorders and more expertise in support. there is a clear procedure for dealing with data. |
| RECORDER | Some citizen science activities and recording groups are very well organised and make it easy for a volunteer without specialised knowledge to contribute effectively, e.g., co-coast and the area area Conservation Volunteers. It is also vey easy to contribute to public surveys run by LERC via their website. |
| RECORDER | Everyone involved is very enthusiastic and keen to share their knowledge. I have always been by others in developing my own knowledge, and inspired to learn more |
| RECORDER | I use the National Patchwork Challenge to record birds on my patch. I use internal data management systems to input ad hoc, WeBS and BBS records amongst others. |
| RECORDER | Bird recording - participation in national schemes run by the BTO (BBS, WEBS, NRC, ringing scheme), and submission of casual records to the local bird recorder which then get uploaded to BirdTrack and the LERC database. The BTO's online data submission platforms are excellent, and I intend to start submitting records direct to BirdTrack this year. The BTO website also has everything you need to know about bird population trends, distribution, ecology, demographic trends, etc and should be a model for others to follow. I'm less familiar with other taxa, but submit occasional mammal records to LERC (and participating in the Atlas) and intend to start submitting my moth trap data to the local recorder. A wide range of training courses are available locally in/around and i've attended small mammal, bat and bumblebee courses in recent years, which have been excellent. |
| RECORDER | Use LERC for local records (an iRecord based site). Excellent for managing own - records, and making sure the data gets to the right places via the Local Ecological Records Centre Facebook groups for specific taxonomic groups are really useful for confirming identification and promoting learning iRecord Grasshoppers is an excellent app for identifying orthoptera, particularly by call NFBR group on Facebook useful for getting advice about buying equipment such as microscopes British Bugs website is an excellent resource Good ID information available on various individual's Flickr accounts NBN Gateway maps useful for establishing UK wide distributions Gatabase is extremely useful for detailed species distributions. |
| RECORDER | I have certain protocols and database structures for formal data collection that work well for me. |
| RECORDER | The support of the LERC is an essential part of the roles I perform on behalf of our group, and I would not be able to fulfill my requirements without it. More should be done to assist the volunteer recording community to develop their local links in other part s of the country where there is no relationship between recording groups and the county recorder. |
| RECORDER | IRecord has made it a lot easier to submit records for a number of taxonomic groups from across a wide geographic area. Identification resources are constantly improving, especially online resources. Social media has provided an excellent way of encouraging new recorders and sharing knowledge. |
| RECORDER | ease of submitting ad hoc records, app provision, verification of records, feedback |

| RECORDER | The continuing development of new online resources and publication of natural history books has made it easier than ever to find information and get help with identifications etc. It's good to feel part of something that is increasingly seen as 'a good thing', with biological recording gaining in popularity and being less frequently seen as eccentric. |
|----------|--|
| RECORDER | Training other recorders - much interest in learning new skills Data management of my own records - Recorder6 database very useful as are sites like irecord |
| RECORDER | Participating as part of a recording group is effective. It gives me access to experts in taxon groups I am unfamiliar with and it gives me the opportunity to share my own specialist knowledge of particular taxa and areas. |
| RECORDER | BSBI (recorder based in volume): Good support from BSBI vice-county recorder network - e.g. can send specimens to vice-county recorder or particular taxonomic expert to identify and receive feedback. BSBI data is accessible via their Distribution database online. Vice-county recorder can provide map of recording coverage for 2020 atlas to help target survey effort for coming season. BSBI provide excellent publications to assist skill development e.g. books on tricky taxa NBN Gateway was useful in checking known distributions to see if species ID was likely and giving information on existing records/ distributions to aid recording work LERC can provide data to assist recording for particular species or sites. LERC is key in promoting information on local and national recording groups/ schemes and citizen projects. The LERC is also a source of information on local experts for different taxonomic groups - so acts as a conduit between recorder and expert Active groups on Facebook etc. and online forums allow for fast transfer of information e.g. post a photograph with a tentative ID and get a reply from an expert quickly. The Butterfly Conservation forums are particularly effective. Have had some success with iSpot too iRecord provides a useful place for records to be submitted when I am on holiday in England/ Wales etc. i.e. outwith my usual recording area as it accepts records for all taxa BirdTrack has superior data management capabilities to most other systems I have used |
| RECORDER | Locally - liaison and working with most relent organisations, recording groups, large and small recording, wildlife and geology projects, community groups, local authorities. Support from host body (City Council). Our support and encouragement of all recorders in our area Regionally - liaising with all area. Local Environmental Records Centres, sharing practices and ideas as well as advocacy - Nationally - Membership of ALERC, close working with NBN, quick responses from both organisations |
| RECORDER | Working with national recording schemes and getting individual help with species identification is made easier through the internet. This is essential particularly for more difficult, less studied groups. |
| RECORDER | regular participators provide sightings - the project has strong ecotourism elements as well as citizen science |
| RECORDER | I have a long-term study of swans and geese which has enabled others to become involved and contribute records and monitoring of sites Ringing swans enables me to train others interested in ringing and allows the public to observe what we are doing, providing a leaflet for further background |
| RECORDER | In my chosen taxa of Lepidoptera there is a very strong support group of experts within the recording community, encouraged by Butterfly Conservation who manage the national Recording Schemes for butterflies and moths. Without this overall organisation and willing assistance, identification of more difficult species would be nigh impossible. |
| RECORDER | Casual ad hoc record have become much more easy to submit via iRecord. |
| RECORDER | Doing casual wildlife recording, regular moth trapping; - Butterfly transect in grounds; - Submitting records to local BRCs & VC recorders; - Making use of experienced recorders/taxonomists to learn more and in turn pass on this knowledge to others |
| RECORDER | Submission of records to others (LERCs). I don't have to manage or curate them. |
| RECORDER | LERC available locally to submit records to - IRecord/Birdtrack are easy to use |
| RECORDER | The internet and social media makes it much easier to find out about recording projects and recording groups. Local groups are an excellent way of accessing advice and support; as well as species identification experience with people who know what they're looking at. My local environmental record centre acts as a fantastic hub for biological recording locally, helping to create a vibrant network of local recording groups. |
| RECORDER | Growing network of local butterfly & moth recorders in county who share knowledge, supported by local BRC who send records to national recording schemes for these species groups, make available local species maps & provide training opportunities re various species groups. Various national/regional survey opportunities provided by Butterfly Conservation for all levels of experience/knowledge. Local OPAL citizen science surveys staff have enabled free provision of packs to encourage participation of schools & other groups in recording. |
| RECORDER | There are a lot of groups offering good and free introductory courses in species and techniques, which is very welcome. It's easier here in the central belt to get to them, so I'm rather lucky, I feel. |
| RECORDER | Scientific and financial support from professional bodies. |
| RECORDER | As I work for a LERC I am now aware of the importance of record collection and therefore add my own casual records whenever possible. This is the occasional record of easily identified species and is done in an on-going manner but does not amount to a huge tally. |
| RECORDER | Lots of fantastic schemes available to participate in, from entry level right through to surveys requiring specialist knowledge. Many are well-publicised via LERCs, NBN Network News; lots listed in the 'Find a survey or scheme' section of NBN website Some good local training opportunities available, via LERC and other local initiatives Lots of online resources to help with recording, to help find grid references for example, and get species information. LERC can put me in touch with relevant experts for identification help Can borrow field equipment and ID resources from LERC that may otherwise be too expensive |
| RECORDER | I am fortunate to "work" in an area with an excellent Local Records Centre - LERC has a very engaging annual recorders forum, runs regular ID training sessions, runs local projects (e.g. their make sure there is something within their range of offerings to appeal to all levels and degree of interest, knowledge and expertise. Also they do things in a way to engage people who would normally consider wildlife recording e.g. their "What the cat brought in" promotion as part of the later the cat brought in promotion as part of the later the cat working in collaboration with the later the cat working with the la |
| RECORDER | Bird recording is very professionally organized and administered by BTO and its partner organisations Moth recording (the other main taxon where I routinely gather and submit records) is also well organized locally by the NMRS on behalf of |
| RECORDER | For birds its easy! Lots of people watching birds, as well as recording groups around the country. BTO also offer a lot of support to those bird clubs and have a national recording system (BirdTrack) which generates a huge number of bird records |

| | every year and is easy to use. The availability of a mobile phone app for BirdTrack makes adding records in the field easy too. BirdTrack also offers a way of getting those records to county recorders |
|------------------|--|
| SCHEME OPERATOR | Very little! |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | as LERC response - other staff, volunteers and recorders dedication and enthusiasm |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | Small group training sessions on identification and use of the recording scheme website. |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | Running and attending field excursions and other activities with your Scheme's Recorders and Verifiers - Data management of your Scheme's records - Administration of your Scheme's activities and accounts - Preparing your datasets and metadata for publication by your Scheme or another Data Provider |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | Data management has got much easier since online recording has increased in popularity and usage. When I started (only years ago), we were still entering all records from paper recording forms. Having a website for our local recording group, for sharing information and promoting surveys locally, also works really well. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | own portal helps showcase and deliver data services |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Support from my organisation to undertake this work as part of my role. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | See previous sections |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | The NBN gateway provides a successful platform to enhance the accessibility of our data. This greatly motivates the collection and collation of records within the organisation and inspires volunteers Online access to records also decreases the staff time required to process data requests as often the client can be directed to the NBN Gateway. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | The NBN Atlas works well as a single point of access to biological data. It is effective as it gives a national overview, and is not restrained by countries or counties. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | NBN - For those users who already know about us we can engage with them and receive feedback. We are respected as a body and seen as a reliable place to store information. We have a unique role by being independent and complimentary to other services of local record centres We are seen as a go to place for these resources We are increasing our brand awareness and selling ourselves more as an organisation. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | All except training. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | NBN Gateway alerted us to new sources of biological records |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | local support |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | We provide a comprehensive range of data products and services to a range of users, as well as providing tangible and valued services to support our local recording community. We regularly receive praise for the quality of our services and I believe we are a valued part of the biodiversity conservation sector in |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Local knowledge and good relationships with the recording community encourage trust and data sharing Experience in dealing with different types of data sets has given me a good understanding of what is important in the recording of different taxa and the types of errors likely to be present. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Having museum collections to back up training is very useful |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Overall working well. We have good partnerships, we have the ability and flexibility to respond to customers needs and we share resources with our LERC partners across . This is all possible because we have a funding model combining public and private sector income to support it. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | For our organization there has been in the last couple of years a huge shift to relying on technology to provide these services allowing us more time to focus on improving the quality and quantity of the information that feeds the listed activities. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | As a local environmental record centre , I think we are well-placed to pre-empt and respond to users' needs Data management of the biological records we hold works well, using our Recorder6 database. Our own, linked, in-house reporting systems, using SQL server, allow us to produce tailored biodiversity reports as part of our data request service Our in depth knowledge of our data structure, and the datasets that we hold, also allows us to respond effectively to bespoke requests Good relationships with local recording groups and other local data providers means we have an excellent understanding of the strengths and limitations of the data that we hold. Relationships with local County Recorders and verifiers enable us to thoroughly quality assure our data holdings. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | I have very knowledgeable colleagues who share and support me in doing my job. With this support we are looking at the quality of the records we have and how to maximise their use. As a minimum we would like all our records to have been through the NBN Record Cleaner. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Local Authority is extremely supportive (financially and values the LERC) and is a key service user of LERC (including data provision to inform planning decisions). Also enables access to aerial photography and high detail mapping (OS MasterMap) that may be too expensive otherwise LERC has great and long standing relationships in the area with a wide variety of recorders, data users, organisations, etc. Local contacts, connections and support. Connections via LERC can lead to collaborative and partnership working. Can put people in touch - including putting a recorder or member of the public in touch with an expert, scheme or society or other resource Data is available and can be taken into account - use of LERC data services by and repeat 'custom' from a wide variety of users LERC constantly seeking to improve data quality - active validation and verification of data including use of tools such as NBN Record Cleaner as well as 'human' verifiers LERC constantly seeking to update data holdings and add to them LERC gives a face and coordination to local recording. Somewhere to go to with queries or for support - or resources (e.g. online or experts) LERC promoting, supporting and encouraging recording - e.g. training days and events LERC organising identification training - can enable training to occur locally that may otherwise only be in a more central location (e.g. from NSS), and which may have barriers to being able to get involved - e.g. transport costs and time, cost of training itself may be prohibitive. Good feedback at events themselves Digitisation of data sources into a database for inclusion in data searches - coordinated by LERC |
| SERVICE USER | Hosting an LERC to have access to their services and using LERC services to benefit as an NGO, associated benefits for public that this brings (e.g in response to planning applications). |
| SERVICE USER | Both the NBN and BSBI distribution maps are an essential tool in assessing habitats and in resolving some identification issues |
| SERVICE USER | I use LERC a lot to undertake data searches within a buffer zone of projects for which I am undertaking ecological assessments. They are quick, helpful and good value. |
| SERVICE USER | Not sure I can answer accurately as learning and use is ad hoc using largely NBN Gateway site as well as general searches (and Sitelink for designated site details). |
| SERVICE USER | Protected species records are useful |

| SERVICE USER | Our LERC is excellent. It provide a good service, managing and interpreting data and encouraging local people to take an |
|--------------|--|
| SERVICE USER | interest in species and in recording what is found where The staff are fantastic. Too early in our working relationship with our LRC to know. |
| SERVICE USER | Data is easy to get from NBN or from Centre direct. Turn around time is quick. |
| SERVICE USER | Same issues as previously outlined |
| SERVICE USER | Information available online about events & things to be involved with. |
| SERVICE USER | Training works well as organised in conjunction with LRC and to develop skills of recorders. Skills are applied to staff throughout the local authority who work outside and record wildlife- e.g. ecologists and countryside rangers |
| SERVICE USER | It is of interest to see how recording develops and evolves through a variety of service providers A continuous process of training sessions and self training avoids less careful recording and keeps recording practice up to date. |
| SERVICE USER | I use the local biological record centre for site specific data searches - they provide a good service. |
| SERVICE USER | People give freely of their time |
| SERVICE USER | I can usually find out about most national schemes |
| SERVICE USER | We access all our data from LERC - they provide reports on planning applications when required and also provide us with data sets to integrate directly to Council GIS. |
| SERVICE USER | Early training provided in the use of the NBN Gateway when it first came in was very useful. The same should be done for the new NBN Atlas. |
| SERVICE USER | More training opportunities and data management for all areas of Scotland |
| SERVICE USER | Data is provided from LERC in a timely manner. |
| SERVICE USER | local support |
| SERVICE USER | TomBio is a fantastic tool for use with biological records and QGIS |
| SERVICE USER | Availability of data sets for use with GIS software. |
| VERIFIER | Having people you can ask for second opinion on things - working with BRC to over see their taxon records |
| VERIFIER | Encouragement of recorders through giving them feedback on the value of their records. |
| VERIFIER | Being known in the field so that you tend to be approached early if a query in ID comes in. Knowing other experts elsewhere to get a 2nd opinion |
| VERIFIER | This is an unofficial role at present but is likely to be formalised as the new record centre gets up and running |
| VERIFIER | I liaise with my Local records centre. They send me photos usually for verifying I also identify specimens sent to me from Organisations/LRCs e.g. organisations - |
| VERIFIER | The number of invaluable on-line resources such as: - Biodiversity Heritage Library for entomological journals - Historic maps e.g. http://maps.nls.uk/geo/explore/#zoom=13⪫=51.4880&lon=0.0536&layers=1&b=1 - Geo-referencing e.g.http://www.bnhs.co.uk/focuson/grabagridref/html/index.htm |
| VERIFIER | All seems to be working well However, running specialist ID courses means that you can be over-used (asked to run the same course/talk by several different organisations in a year). For an amateur recorder (with a full-time job), this can actually reduce your own field time, as weekends can be taken-up with course prep and running, rather than getting out and recording yourself. |
| VERIFIER | The system of verification is getting better within most national organisations I deal with. The system of using expert ID help via the web is an outstanding leap forward and allows even the novice access to the best expertise but also allows the experts to be put to the best use. |
| VERIFIER | county Natural History Society has a superb supportive framework |
| VERIFIER | iRecord is really helping the verification and collation side of things. As a county recorder, I actively encourage recorders to submit their records there so that it is a central place to extract records from at the end of the year and also so the records are verified It is useful being a county recorder to find records from recorders that might otherwise be missed. It is also a useful way of enthusing non-specialists to learn more about your particular taxonomic group and to encourage recording. Being active at a local level is great for that. |
| VERIFIER | National and international societies and experts, field guides, atlases, reports. On-line recording systems, email and (to a lesser extent nowadays) phone and letter. Personal contact with experts in many fields, not just my own. |
| VERIFIER | Years of interest and experience in UK taxon |
| VERIFIER | Generally all are working well. Use of data management makes recording more effective. |
| VERIFIER | Our volunteers / recorders undergo a significant level of training so that we can 'pre-verify' a significant number of records. This means we don't experience an unmanageable backlog and that they can see their records through the database mapping function with a minimal time lag. |
| VERIFIER | Liaison with other recorders and botanists Involvement with other natural history organisations Opportunity to teach other people. |
| VERIFIER | Massive volunteer operation with high level of expertise. |
| VERIFIER | Being part of a well run and committed national recording scheme filled with enthusiasts aids motivation and enjoyment. |
| VERIFIER | All Working |
| VERIFIER | Availability of recording scheme specific spreadsheets for entering data (which I adapt for Scotland for taxon in collaboration with other specific spreadsheets for entering data (which I adapt for Scotland for taxon in collaboration with other specific spreadsheets for entering data (which I adapt for Scotland for taxon in collaboration with other specific spreadsheets for entering data (which I adapt for Scotland for taxon in collaboration with other specific spreadsheets for entering data (which I adapt for Scotland for taxon in collaboration with other specific spreadsheets for entering data (which I adapt for Scotland for taxon in collaboration with other specific spreadsheets for entering data (which I adapt for Scotland for taxon in collaboration with other specific spreadsheets for entering data (which I adapt for Scotland for taxon in collaboration with other specific spreadsheets). |
| VERIFIER | See previous answers - communication within and links to UK experts; I study Lepidoptera taxon s & taxon s |
| VERIFIER | Very good relationships with other neighbouring recorders, relevant LRC and National Society. |
| | 1 |

| VERIFIER | see my comments about access to specialist identification literature in the recording section I only do a small amount of verification for the local recording scheme who do the leg-work with managing the resulting records and passing on verifications |
|-----------------------|---|
| VERIFIER | NBN Record Cleaner is useful for initial screening of records Schemes and societies are very willing to help with verification queries. |
| VERIFIER | Dedicated County Moth Recorders and local Record Centre managers |
| VERIFIER | I get asked occasionally to identify difficult to ID photographs and specimens of taxon. I seldom get more than a few queries a year as the ocal group Manager is an expert naturalist and can verify most records himself. |
| VERIFIER | To have enthusiastic amateurs who wish to learn and spend time on workshops to improve their skills AND build their confidence |
| VERIFIER | These thing work OK |
| VERIFIER | Others are helpful and encouraging: not just in Britain but abroad. |
| VERIFIER | Online verification/checking of data entered |
| VERIFIER | Liaison with other local recorders works particularly well as we have a well-established system. Self verification works well for some difficult species as recorders become increasingly aware of what must be done to secure a record. This means a lot more records of aggregate taxa but that is preferable to questionable records to species level |
| VERIFIER | Scotland is a small place and I know most of the recording community for my groups I have a good local records centre |
| VERIFIER | iRecord is excellent for providing quick and easy access to data so they can be verified. The verification tools are also extremely helpful. |
| VERIFIER | The society for which I verify records has put a lot of effort into agreeing protocols to ensure that all verifiers are working to the same standard. (This was largely done by volunteers.) |
| VERIFIER | Building a team of 'hobby botanists' as well as more experienced people Training and mentorship, to encourage learning and the gaining of confidence through experience Working with schools to spark botanical interest in the young Building a wide-ranging and varied programme of botanical activities and encouraging participation Targeting data collection in areas hitherto under- or weakly-recorded. |
| VERIFIER | Availability of specialised knowledge and scientific papers on the internet. |
| VERIFIER | Well established recording and reporting systems run by the BTO & SOC. Prompt entry / return of survey results! |
| VERIFIER | Availability of distribution information available via NBN and other sources e.g. BSBI - Availability of on-line identification resources - Ability to consult other experts via on-line groups and social media - Willingness of some academic institutions to help with verification from specimens |
| VERIFIER | The NMRS has a good method of supporting and using county recorders, all records are sent to county recorders before being accepted into the national dataset. There are also safeguards to flag up potential misidentifications etc |
| VERIFIER | The support of the LERC is an essential part of the verification role that I perform on behalf of our group, and I would not be able to fulfil my requirements without it. More should be done to assist the verifiers. |
| VERIFIER | It is fantastic to see so much interest in natural history at the moment. Digital photography and social media plus other online resources have had a very positive impact on getting more people interested in wildlife and recording, while 'traditional' societies and book publishing are going strong in many cases as well. |
| VERIFIER | Websites and new references are continually improving our ability to identify and verify species. Social media is making communication easier but is not used comprehensively and there is a degree of an age gap. |
| VERIFIER | Most taxa groups use us on a regular basis and we co-ordinate many local initiatives. We state that all records entered into our main species database have been verified and validated either by us or by other experts we work closely with - as a LERC response |
| VERIFIER | Working closely with LERC's and local NGO's helps maintain accurate datasets, supports volunteer recorders identify difficult wildlife taxa. |
| VERIFIER | Community of experts ready and willing to help with difficult verifications Butterfly Conservation supports county recorders within a well organised structure. |
| VERIFIER | Being a verifier on iRecord is very straightforward. It is particularly useful that you are able to contact the recorder to query a record. |
| VERIFIER | Monthly list of all new records on Recorder circulated to verifiers to be checked |
| VERIFIER | Online (iRecord) verification. This works well Use of NBN record cleaner |
| VERIFIER | Growing network of local regular taxon recorders who are happy to share their records & have them checked - many take photos as a matter of course or if doubtful of ID & also share knowledge with one another so other more experienced recorders including neighbouring VC recorders also help novices, which makes it easier for me as verifier. Support from local BRC who send on records to the provider of the photos are also have regional interactive species maps on their website for at a glance local distribution checks. |
| VERIFIER | BirdTrack saves me time and effort by accumulating in a single archive (still needs verified, and out-of-area records screened out) - |
| VERIFIER | BirdTrack is a usable system that enables verification of records. BirdTrack has also enabled the volume of records I collect and look after a much easier job as it has built-in tools that help verification and easy data entry for data recorders. iRecord is also a system that has made wildlife recording from your phone an easy task and means more records available to harvest. |
| ROLE | WORKING LESS WELL |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | I'm afraid nothing is working particularly well. The lack of resources (particularly people) means there is insufficient time to put into any of these activities so either very little is achieved or quality is compromised. |
| COLLECTION | We don't have enough specimens databased or the resources to significantly address the backlog There are too many |
| COLLECTION | forms to fill in. Some of the equipment required is not particularly cheap, which sometimes makes it difficult to persuade people to keep a |
| CURATOR | collection. |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | Lack of financial support |
| COLLECTION | Taxonomic groups require different preservation techniques, eg drying for insects, skinning for mammals, alcohol for marine |

| | and knowledge required takes time and resources Training for curation is about acquiring knowledge over years. There is not enough time for looking at invertebrates as there are so many of them and so few curators who are interested in small animals. |
|-----------------------|--|
| COLLECTION CURATOR | See previous comments about obstacles to posting specimens to referees or donations to other reference collections: When refereeing, I get to keep the specimens so posting them back isn't a problem for me:-) If I were to do more of this for specialised groups, returning specimens would not be easy (costs and the difficulty in getting to post office in working hours) AND Managing my own records and extracting/reporting datasets from them. I do a lot of work on specimens that can take months if not years to conclusively identify. Increasing knowledge (mine) and taxonomic revisions result in many specimens being re-identified. Extracting and reporting retrospective records and re-identifications is not straightforward as recording packages don't support it well (I use Mapmate but cannot figure out how to synch records with other users or recorders using different recording packages) Incomplete or out-of-date species libraries in recording packages. Mapmate does not cover some groups that I work on and has limited capacity for updating taxonomic revisions Getting difficult specimens identified by referees: the Post Office is not always willing to send specimens in the post and has a bad record for damaging them. Couriers or larger, safer packaging are expensive. |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | Lack of local expertise to help identify species. Large collections needing specialist preservation and curation. A backlog of specimens for digitisation |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | Lack of funding for any activity, lack of staff, lack of continuity of knowledge |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | I have limited software training, and many partners do not know how to work with Access. I don't know how to use other relational database software. |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | I lack the time to take proper care of my collection. |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | Quite considerable cost and time resource is needed for this aspect of recording, e.g. for specimen storage boxes, as well as smaller ongoing costs for pins and chemicals I've had lots of support from various museums over the years, and it is worrying that support for museum natural history is in such decline While it is quite easy to get people interested in watching and photographing wildlife, it is a bit less easy to get them interested in recording, and a lot less easy to get them interested in specimens and microscopes! |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | Specialist curators (especially in natural history) in local museums are now an endangered species in their own right. The value of expertise in this field of work needs greater support from the natural history community outside of museums. |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | For me as a private individual the problem is housing the material safely. I was a professional curator for over and know the standards. It is difficult for an individual to meet these. The collections I used to curate are now only accessible with much advance preparation and difficulties surround access to equipment on site. Having my own reference material and equipment means a quicker and more convenient resolution of queries but involves much expense and space. Its a bit of a balancing act I have become aware of differing attitudes to voucher material, and records centres are not always the best locations for these as a result. I would say that the ideal would be for collections and record centres to be close by each other (as they once were in the natural sciences sections of local authority museums, even if not always well supported) and for curatorial standards to be part of the training of record centre staff. The collections themselves should be covered by firm collections care standards and appropriate disposal policies in the case of a centre folding. |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | "Submission of biological records to National Recording Schemes or other Data Providers" - this is a tricky one for us, due to the process of extracting records from our database to external data partners being very complicated. However, we are currently working with NBN to resolve Only a tiny percentage of our collection is data-based at the specimen level and we have no internal funding to help us with the digitisation process. So the rate at which we can share data is very slow. |
| COLLECTION CURATOR | Due to cutbacks, there are now considerably fewer natural history curators than previously. This means there is less cataloguing and digitisation of the natural history collections than a few years ago |
| DATA DEVELOPER | I would like to do a number of the activities i have not ticked above, but lack of time and resources prevent this, due to the time i have to spend on data management. |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Future loss of EU funding for projects following Brexit. EU funding has been a large factor in our development of new technologies and products. |
| DATA DEVELOPER | BTO data mostly not on NBN, so most bird data must be sourced separately and requires a separate SNH/BTO licence agreement Large amounts of data held up in recording scheme databases (including Indicia), not yet (if ever?) available on NBN. |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Schemes which only supply presence/ absence data or data at a low resolution are not helpful. Sometimes an absence of background information makes the record effectively useless. |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Our close working relationship with LERC ensure that data is processed and managed as required. |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Some datasets are not freely available, even to other NGOs where the data will be used for conservation purposes - eg. many of the BTOs datasets. |
| DATA DEVELOPER | Training and self-study, due to funding and time issues. e.g. Many of the GIS courses that would allow me to better provide enhancements to datasets are very expensive and not within the reach of a small company like ours. |
| DATA DEVELOPER | lack of sufficient funding |
| DATA PROVIDER | Invitation to community councils on to co-operate with crofting community and express awareness and knowledge of what we already have and what could improve status quo (example: plantation project, small woods in sheltered spots)/ Problematic: old crofters (who in their youth experienced abundance of wildlife and were hunter, fisher etc) seem not to have great concerns for situation in nature. Difficult to interfere on land they own Impact and disturbance through high touristic pressure in summer. Mobile homes driving freely through machair, dogs free etc. Reluctancy of community to put up signs giving advice on how to behave in breeding season |
| DATA PROVIDER | Most of the data provider process is very problematic - the amount of admin required to produce a dataset for a request - in the correct format is sometimes completely prohibitive and the process for collating and formatting data from our own systems and that of national schemes, iRecord, etc is so lengthy that we do not use a number fo datasets that we have access to in theory due to lack of time to reformat and access them. I would like to do less admin and train someone to support me but at present i do not have resources to do this. i also need more training and support to develop our own portal to provide data by this means to other staff within my NGO, but at present am unable to do this because i cannot find someone to help me. |
| DATA PROVIDER | MapMate is potentially a problem as it is growing older and less supported by Windows! |
| DATA PROVIDER | Lack of recognition of the value of these activities in making data available and opening it up to users. The use of OD as an excuse to ignore the need to fund data collection and support volunteer recorders Nationally designed online systems |

| the work that goes in to developing relationships with recorders and supporting ther work as a local level. This cannot be replaced by an atom college styres. No San disklic not but seed but national decomments seem to grow that the UR 6955 is still to be published. DATA PROVIDER Millie we have been uncested in bits for a number of recording projects, long term sustainable funding (like in everything) in the providers of the | | |
|--|---------------|---|
| DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER ATTHER PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER The his gateway is often not accessible to those with limited IT skills or access. The importance of sharing data is therefore not always appreciated by throse on the ground. DATA PROVIDER The lack of ability to upload images to local recording scheme. Concerned that RNA Has work make its oeasy to manage requests for higher-level access. Atlas users may not be aware that additional data is available, and if they are, we may have to record to sending out copies by email or not. Or. This raises issues of fol, data security and westion control/updating, and could be a significant vortical for many data provider in requests continue at their present rate. It's possible of course that requests will not continue at their present rate, but that would indicate that the wealth of data for held by MRI is no longer being used in the excellent that is currently. DATA PROVIDER DATA PR | | replaced by national online systems. NSS and LERCs no this well but national decision-makers seem to ignore it The fact |
| And PROVIDER The lack of ability to upload images to local recording scheme. Concerned that NRB Alias won't make it so easy to manage requests for higher level access. Allias users may not be aware that additional data is available, and if they are, we may have to resort to sending out copies by email or on CD. This work that additional data is available, and if they are, we may have to resort to sending out copies by email or on CD. This work that additional data is available, and if they are, we may have to resort to sending out copies by email or on CD. This work would indicate that the wealth of data held by Nilki is no longer being used to the extent that it is currently. DATA PROVIDER ADATA PROVIDER We don't want to have to directly service data requests - we want a culture and mechanism whereby all our data can be open and accessed by all on the NNI Atlas. We need to mobile data and on it to be open. Currently this often isn't the case. Sometimes LTRCs limit the period of time that we can hold their data, which DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER DIFFIGURE To get data updated and verified. DATA PROVIDER D | DATA PROVIDER | While we have been successful in bids for a number of recording projects, long term sustainable funding (like in everything!) |
| Concerned than NBM Affas won't make it so easy to manage requests for higher-level access. Affas users may not be aware that additional data is available to additional data is available to additional data is available to all they are were many have to resort to sending out copies by email or not. OThis roises issue of for, data security and version control/updating, and could be a significant workload for many data providers if requests confined at a west of the control to the extent that it is currently. DATA PROVIDER RESPONSING WINDOW AND PROVIDER AND PR | DATA PROVIDER | |
| that additional data is available, and if they are, we may have to resort to sending out copies by email or on CD. This raises issues of For, data security and version control/updating, and could be a significant workload or many data providers if requests continue at their present rate. It's possible of course that requests will not continue at their present rate, but that would indicate that the wealth of data held by 1881 is no longer being used to the extent that it is currently. DATA PROVIDER We don't want to have to directly service data requests - we want a culture and mechanism whereby all our data can be open and accessed by all on the NBN Adas. We need to mobilise data and for it to be open. Currently this often isn't the case. Sometime IEES limit the period of time that we can hold their data, which DATA PROVIDER LIMITED AND PROVIDER BE SHAPPE AND | DATA PROVIDER | The lack of ability to upload images to local recording scheme. |
| DATA PROVIDER OF A BROYDER O | DATA PROVIDER | that additional data is available, and if they are, we may have to resort to sending out copies by email or on CD. This raises issues of FoI, data security and version control/updating, and could be a significant workload for many data providers if requests continue at their present rate. It's possible of course that requests will not continue at their present rate, but that |
| DATA PROVIDER DATA P | DATA PROVIDER | Backlog of material to be digitised. low numbers of staff |
| DATA PROVIDER Data requests take a lot of time to process, in which we could be carrying out more useful data management activities. We mostly just respond to data requests received through our organization data unit email inbox. Requests received through the old halk gateway are rarely responded too as there are too many to keep on too pf. It would help explored too data when there is no higher resolution data available to anyone, it would also help if there was a warning on particularly restricted species datasets that members of the general public would not be able to request greater access is only given on a case by case basis but for conservation purposes only. DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER Assponding to data requests is tedious and time consuming. Making our data available to all those we would like to is not possible without a massive amount of resource to churn out all the required data in a myriad of formats. Lack of metadata/access controls on NBN atals limits the usefulness of data we can upload for metadata/access controls on NBN atals limits the usefulness of data as well as the work of the metadata and and and and and and and and and an | DATA PROVIDER | open and accessed by all on the NBN Atlas. We need to mobilise data and for it to be open. Currently this often isn't the |
| DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER And a PROVIDER DATA | DATA PROVIDER | I've not encountered any problems in this role |
| Data requests take a lot of time to process, in which we could be carrying out more useful data management activities. We mostly just respond to data request sceened through our organization data unit email inbox. Requests received through the old NRN gateway are rarely responded to os a there are too many to keep on too of it, would help if péople couldn't request higher resolution data when there is no higher resolution data vanished to anyone, it would also help if there was a warning on particularly restricted species datasets that members of the general public would not be able to request greater access, greater access is only given on a case by case basis but for conservation purposes only. DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER Assponding to data requests is tedious and time consuming. Making our data available to all those we would like to is not possible without a massive amount of resource to churn out all the required data in a myriad of formats. Lack of metadata/access controls on NRN atlas limits the usefulness of data we can upload. On the whole the sharing of data via NRN secrets to be working smoothly, with support from BRC. Don't yet know how easy it will be to update for the atlas platform. DATA PROVIDER Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. reduced oroe income from some partners, withdrawal of sustainable LERC funding from Natural England Pressure to make all our data freely available with no restrictions on commercial use but with no alternative income stream identified or proposed DATA PROVIDER AND Atlas is preventing the previous dataflow models from working by the loss of access controls. Fuffilment of data requests and responding to requests for higher levels of access - we do not receive funding to fulfill data request, however we recognise the huge importance and benefits to conservation of doing so, so we rely heavily on third party portals that can automate this process for us. The NRN dataeway fulfilled this purpose but was recognised as b | DATA PROVIDER | Difficult to get data updated and verified. |
| DATA PROVIDER Training and self-study but his is part a funding issue and part a time less of access controls. PATA PROVIDER Training and self-study but his is part a funding issue and part a time less of access controls. PATA PROVIDER Training and self-study but his is part a funding issue and part a time lessue. Treduced core income from some partners, withdrawal of sustainable LERC funding from Natural England Pressure to make allow this out state from purposes towards the end of its life. The NN Atlas is preventing the previous dataflow models from working by and so cares so received by and so selection of the same took as the gate way and so currently were recognised the sheing of data requests received with no attendance of the partners with selection of the partners with no attendance of the partners of the partners with no attendance of the partners of the partners with no attendance of the partners of the partners of the partners with no attendance of the partners of the p | DATA PROVIDER | Fund raising is a problem. |
| Responding to data requests is tedious and time consuming. Making our data available to all those we would like to is not possible without a massive amount of resource to churn out all the required data in a myriad of formats. Lack of metadata/access controls on NBN atlas limits the usefulness of data we can upload. DATA PROVIDER Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. reduced core income from some partners, withdrawal of sustainable LERC funding from Natural England Pressure to make all our data freely available with no restrictions on commercial use but with no alternative income stream identified or proposed DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER ABN Atlas is preventing the previous dataflow models from working by the loss of access controls. Fulliment of data requests and responding to requests for higher levels of access - we do not receive funding to fulfill data request, however we recognise the huge importance and benefits to conservation of doing so, so we rely heavily on third party portals that can automate this process for us. The NBN Gatevay fulfilled this purpose to ware received and so currently perovide the same tools as the Gateway improvements in data sharing is difficult to come by. DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER Asharing of records collected professionally by consultants Removal of direct access to full resolution data sharing is difficult to come by. Sharing of records collected professionally by consultants Removal of direct access to full resolution data shared via NBN gateway by national schemes/societies Support for upkeep and development of software (Recorder 6) software is divining - Insecutive funding - Lack of capacity to achieve what could be achieved Fuffillment of requests for data from national partners has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing between national restorations of the NBN's data-sharing between | DATA PROVIDER | mostly just respond to data requests received through our organization data unit email inbox. Requests received through the old NBN gateway are rarely responded too as there are too many to keep on top of. It would help if people couldn't request higher resolution data when there is no higher resolution data available to anyone. It would also help if there was a warning on particularly restricted species datasets that members of the general public would not be able to request greater |
| DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER On the whole the sharing of data via NBN seems to be working smoothly, with support from BRC. Don't yet know how easy it will be to update for the atlas platform. DATA PROVIDER Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part is the sum tools as the Gateway and so currently protein that can automate this process for us. The NBN Gateway fulfilled this purpose but was recognised as being no longer fit for purpose towards the end of its life. The NBN Alta does not currently provide the same recognised as being no longer fit for purpose towards the end of its life. The NBN Alta does not currently provide the same colors and so currently we are unable to share our data with key users Fundraising and grant reporting - funding to support improvements in data sharing is difficult to come by. DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER Training and part r | DATA PROVIDER | Data flows - Verification - Workload - limited time to commit to data provision. |
| DATA PROVIDER Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. Part of the provided or income from some partners, withdrawal of sustainable LERC funding from Natural England Pressure to make all our data freely available with no restrictions on commercial use but with no alternative income stream identified on proposed DATA PROVIDER NRN Atlas is preventing the previous dataflow models from working by the loss of access controls. Fulfilment of data requests and responding to requests for higher levels of access - we do not receive funding to fulfill data request, however we recognise the huge importance and benefits to conservation of doing so, so we rely heavily on third party portals that can automate this process for us. The NBN Gateway fulfilled this purpose but was recognised as being no longer fit for purpose towards the end of its life. The NBN Atlas does not currently provide the same tools as the Gateway and so currently we are unable to share our data with key users Fundraising and grant reporting - funding to support improvements in data sharing is difficult to come by. DATA PROVIDER Lack of time and other resources. Sharing of records collected professionally by consultants - Removal of direct access to full resolution data shared via NBN gateway by national schemes/societies Support for upkeep and development of software (Recorder 6) software is dwindling Insecurity of funding - Lack of capacity to achieve what could be achieved Fulfilment of requests for data from national partners has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Administration of licences on any datasets we share through the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure is also complex, now that we have to apply one of the Cc or OSL licences. Our recent experience with preparing a majoration of the national partners and pressures ment gleenally stripuge to meet deadlines set for supply of data; some requests see | DATA PROVIDER | possible without a massive amount of resource to churn out all the required data in a myriad of formats. Lack of |
| reduced core income from some partners, withdrawal of sustainable LERC funding from Natural England Pressure to make all our data freely available with no restrictions on commercial use but with no alternative income stream identified or proposed DATA PROVIDER NBN Atlas is preventing the previous dataflow models from working by the loss of access controls. Fulfilment of data requests and responding to requests for higher levels of access we do not receive funding to fulfill data request, however we recognise the huge importance and benefits to conservation doing so, so we rely heavily on third party portals that can automate this process for us. The NBN Gateway fulfilled this purpose but was recognised as being no longer fit for purpose towards the end of its life. The NBN Atlas does not currently provide the same tools as the Gateway and so currently we are unable to share our data with key users Fundraising and grant reporting - funding to support improvements in data sharing is difficult to come by. DATA PROVIDER Lack of time and other resources. Sharing of records collected professionally by consultants Removal of direct access to full resolution data shared via NBN gateway by national schemes/societies Support for upkeep and development of software (Recorder 6) software is dwindling Insecurity of funding - Lack of capacity to achieve what could be achieved Fulfillment of requests for data from national partners has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing infrastructure is also complex, now that we have to apply one of the CC or OGL licences. Our recent experience with preparing a lizable complex, now that we have to apply one of the CC or OGL licences. Our recent experience with preparing a lizable complex, now that we have to apply one of the CC or OGL licences. Our recent experience with preparing a lizable infrastructure Administration of licences on any datasets | DATA PROVIDER | |
| DATA PROVIDER make all our data freely available with no restrictions on commercial use but with no alternative income stream identified or proposed NBN Atlas is preventing the previous dataflow models from working by the loss of access controls. Fulfilment of data requests and responding to requests for higher levels of access - we do not receive funding to fulfill data request, however we recognise the huge importance and benefits to conservation of doing so, so we rely heavily on third party portals that can automate this process for us. The NBN Gateway fulfilled they propso but was recognised as being no longer fit for purpose towards the end of its life. The NBN Atlas does not currently provide the same tools as the Gateway and so currently we are unable to share our data with key users Fundraising and grant reporting - funding to support improvements in data sharing is difficult to come by. DATA PROVIDER Lack of time and other resources. Sharing of records collected professionally by consultants Removal of direct access to full resolution data shared via NBN gateway by national schemes/societies Support for upkeep and development of software (Recorder 6) software is dwindling Insecurity of funding - Lack of capacity to achieve what could be achieved Fulfillment of requests for data from national partners has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing between national recording schemes and LERCs has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing between national recording schemes and LERCs has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing between national recording schemes and LERCs has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-shar | DATA PROVIDER | Training and self-study but this is part a funding issue and part a time issue. |
| Fulfilment of data requests and responding to requests for higher levels of access - we do not receive funding to fulfill data request, however we recognise the huge importance and benefits to conservation of doing so, so we rely heavily on third party portals that can automate this process for us. The NBN dateway fulfilled this purpose but was recognised as being no longer fit for purpose towards the end of its life. The NBN Atlas does not currently provide the same tools as the Gateway and so currently we are unable to share our data with key users Fundraising and grant reporting - funding to support improvements in data sharing is difficult to come by. DATA PROVIDER Lack of time and other resources. Sharing of records collected professionally by consultants Removal of direct access to full resolution data shared via NBN gateway by national schemes/societies Support for upkeep and development of software (Recorder 6) software is dwinding Insecurity of funding - Lack of capacity to achieve what could be achieved Fulfillment of requests for data from national partners has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing between national recording schemes and LERCs has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Administration of licences on any datasets we share through the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure is also complex, now that we have to apply one of the CC or OGL licences. Our recent experience with preparing a material dataset for sharing at original capture resolution on the NBN Atlas has shown that complying with the NBN's guidance on sharing historic data on the NBN Atlas is likely to be very time-consuming. DATA PROVIDER Time pressures mean I generally struggle to meet deadlines set for supply of data; some requests seem to assume I work on it full time, e.g., a deadline of 2 weeks for supplying all non- | DATA PROVIDER | make all our data freely available with no restrictions on commercial use but with no alternative income stream identified or |
| DATA PROVIDER Lack of time and other resources. Sharing of records collected professionally by consultants Removal of direct access to full resolution data shared via NBN gateway by national schemes/societies Support for upkeep and development of software (Recorder 6) software is dwindling Insecurity of funding Lack of capacity to achieve what could be achieved Fulfillment of requests for data from national partners has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing between national recording schemes and LERCs has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the value in of licences on any datasets we share through the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Administration of licences on any datasets we share through the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Administration of licences on any datasets we share through the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Administration of licences on any datasets we share through the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure is also complex, now that we have to apply one of the CC or OGL licences. Our recent experience with preparing a texton dataset for sharing at original capture resolution on the NBN data shown that complying with the NBN's guidance on sharing historic data on the NBN datas is likely to be very time-consuming. DATA PROVIDER DATA PROVIDER Can be difficult and time-consuming to navigate legislation, etc. and ensure compliance Constant worry about funding and sustainability DATA USER It is impossible to use downloaded data from the likes of NBN because of copyright issues! DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km accuracy is not adequate enough as it maybe | DATA PROVIDER | NBN Atlas is preventing the previous dataflow models from working by the loss of access controls. |
| Sharing of records collected professionally by consultants Removal of direct access to full resolution data shared via NBN gateway by national schemes/societies Support for upkeep and development of software (Recorder 6) software is dwindling Insecurity of funding - Lack of capacity to achieve what could be achieved Fulfillment of requests for data from national partners has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing between national recording schemes and LERCs has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Administration of licences on any datasets we share through the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure is also complex, now that we have to apply one of the CC or OGL licences. Our recent experience with preparing a taxon dataset for sharing at original capture resolution on the NBN Atlas has shown that complying with the NBN's guidance on sharing historic data on the NBN Atlas is likely to be very time-consuming. Time pressures mean I generally struggle to meet deadlines set for supply of data; some requests seem to assume I work on it full time, e.g. a deadline of 2 weeks for supplying all non-WeBS counts of SPA qualifying species from rear year period, mining this out of 250k records. DATA PROVIDER Can be difficult and time-consuming to navigate legislation, etc. and ensure compliance Constant worry about funding and sustainability DATA USER It is impossible to use downloaded data from the likes of NBN because of copyright issues! DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km accuracy is not adequate enough as it maybe for birds or mammals. Certai | DATA PROVIDER | request, however we recognise the huge importance and benefits to conservation of doing so, so we rely heavily on third party portals that can automate this process for us. The NBN Gateway fulfilled this purpose but was recognised as being no longer fit for purpose towards the end of its life. The NBN Atlas does not currently provide the same tools as the Gateway and so currently we are unable to share our data with key users Fundraising and grant reporting - funding to support |
| DATA PROVIDER gateway by national schemes/societies Support for upkeep and development of software (Recorder 6) software is dwindling Insecurity of funding Lack of capacity to achieve what could be achieved Fulfillment of requests for data from national partners has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing between national recording schemes and LERCs has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Administration of licences on any datasets we share through the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure is also complex, now that we have to apply one of the CC or OGL licences. Our recent experience with preparing a taxon dataset for sharing at original capture resolution on the NBN Atlas has shown that complying with the NBN's guidance on sharing historic data on the NBN Atlas is likely to be very time-consuming. Time pressures mean I generally struggle to meet deadlines set for supply of data; some requests seem to assume I work on it full time, e.g. a deadline of 2 weeks for supplying all non-WeBS counts of SPA qualifying species from request period, mining this out of 250k records. DATA PROVIDER Can be difficult and time-consuming to navigate legislation, etc. and ensure compliance Constant worry about funding and sustainability DATA USER It is impossible to use downloaded data from the likes of NBN because of copyright issues! DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km accuracy is not adequate enough as it maybe for birds or mammals. Certain data simply isn't recorded much, if at all (tends to be less well studied and recorded anywhere though). Consis | DATA PROVIDER | Lack of time and other resources. |
| Fulfillment of requests for data from national partners has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing between national recording schemes and LERCs has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Administration of licences on any datasets we share through the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure is also complex, now that we have to apply one of the CC or OGL licences. Our recent experience with preparing a dataset for sharing at original capture resolution on the NBN Atlas has shown that complying with the NBN's guidance on sharing historic data on the NBN Atlas is likely to be very time-consuming. Time pressures mean I generally struggle to meet deadlines set for supply of data; some requests seem to assume I work on it full time, e.g., a deadline of 2 weeks for supplying all non-WeBS counts of SPA qualifying species from seem of the consuming to part of the consuming to par | DATA PROVIDER | gateway by national schemes/societies Support for upkeep and development of software (Recorder 6) software is |
| Time pressures mean I generally struggle to meet deadlines set for supply of data; some requests seem to assume I work on it full time, e.g. a deadline of 2 weeks for supplying all non-WeBS counts of SPA qualifying species from year period, mining this out of 250k records. DATA PROVIDER Can be difficult and time-consuming to navigate legislation, etc. and ensure compliance Constant worry about funding and sustainability DATA USER It is impossible to use downloaded data from the likes of NBN because of copyright issues! DATA USER DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km accuracy is not adequate enough as it maybe for birds or mammals. DATA USER DATA USER Certain data simply isn't recorded much, if at all (tends to be less well studied and recorded anywhere though). Consistency of access across local records management and regional/ national management regimes. There are so many data sets out there, managed by different suppliers, that it can be difficult to understand the difference | DATA PROVIDER | Fulfillment of requests for data from national partners has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Similarly, data-sharing between national recording schemes and LERCs has been made significantly more difficult by the withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure Administration of licences on any datasets we share through the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure is also complex, now that we have to apply one of the CC or OGL licences. Our recent experience with preparing a dataset for sharing at original capture resolution on the NBN Atlas has shown that complying with the NBN's guidance on sharing historic data on the NBN Atlas is likely to be very time-consuming. |
| Can be difficult and time-consuming to navigate legislation, etc. and ensure compliance Constant worry about funding and sustainability DATA USER It is impossible to use downloaded data from the likes of NBN because of copyright issues! DATA USER huge gaps in data, badly written methodologies DATA USER it is currently very difficult to access and use data in a consistent format. DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km accuracy is not adequate enough as it maybe for birds or mammals. DATA USER Certain data simply isn't recorded much, if at all (tends to be less well studied and recorded anywhere though). Consistency of access across local records management and regional/ national management regimes. There are so many data sets out there, managed by different suppliers, that it can be difficult to understand the difference | DATA PROVIDER | it full time, e.g. a deadline of 2 weeks for supplying all non-WeBS counts of SPA qualifying species from area for a |
| DATA USER huge gaps in data, badly written methodologies it is currently very difficult to access and use data in a consistent format. DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km accuracy is not adequate enough as it maybe for birds or mammals. DATA USER Certain data simply isn't recorded much, if at all (tends to be less well studied and recorded anywhere though). Consistency of access across local records management and regional/ national management regimes. There are so many data sets out there, managed by different suppliers, that it can be difficult to understand the difference | DATA PROVIDER | Can be difficult and time-consuming to navigate legislation, etc. and ensure compliance Constant worry about funding |
| DATA USER DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km accuracy is not adequate enough as it maybe for birds or mammals. DATA USER Certain data simply isn't recorded much, if at all (tends to be less well studied and recorded anywhere though). Consistency of access across local records management and regional/ national management regimes. There are so many data sets out there, managed by different suppliers, that it can be difficult to understand the difference | DATA USER | It is impossible to use downloaded data from the likes of NBN because of copyright issues! |
| DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km accuracy is not adequate enough as it maybe for birds or mammals. DATA USER Certain data simply isn't recorded much, if at all (tends to be less well studied and recorded anywhere though). Consistency of access across local records management and regional/ national management regimes. There are so many data sets out there, managed by different suppliers, that it can be difficult to understand the difference | DATA USER | huge gaps in data, badly written methodologies |
| DATA USER Limited availability of comprehensive and up to date biological data for this area Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km accuracy is not adequate enough as it maybe for birds or mammals. DATA USER Certain data simply isn't recorded much, if at all (tends to be less well studied and recorded anywhere though). Consistency of access across local records management and regional/ national management regimes. There are so many data sets out there, managed by different suppliers, that it can be difficult to understand the difference | DATA USER | it is currently very difficult to access and use data in a consistent format. |
| DATA USER Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km accuracy is not adequate enough as it maybe for birds or mammals. Certain data simply isn't recorded much, if at all (tends to be less well studied and recorded anywhere though). Consistency of access across local records management and regional/ national management regimes. There are so many data sets out there, managed by different suppliers, that it can be difficult to understand the difference | | |
| DATA USER Certain data simply isn't recorded much, if at all (tends to be less well studied and recorded anywhere though). Consistency of access across local records management and regional/ national management regimes. There are so many data sets out there, managed by different suppliers, that it can be difficult to understand the difference | | Some organisations do not share their data to the same level as others. When searching for invertebrate data a 1km |
| There are so many data sets out there, managed by different suppliers, that it can be difficult to understand the difference | DATA USER | Certain data simply isn't recorded much, if at all (tends to be less well studied and recorded anywhere though). Consistency |
| | DATA USER | |

| DATA USER The number of poor quality and inaccurate datasets on the NBN Gateway It appears that many organisations, wth SNH sadly being the prime example, do not make biological records roost records are a case in point. So much of the work I do in consultancy relates to bat mitigation and it is i know that bat roosts have been recorded nearby by SNH (or their batworkers), but the records are not pube either on NBN or SNHi. I appreciate that there may be DPA concerns about the identification of specific hour roosts, but surely this is not insurmountable Another issue is that a phenomenal amount of biological data support of planning applications, but virtually none of this becomes publicly available either due to spurious planning authorities about 'protected species locations' or commercial confidentiality I know that there is other data not held by the records centre, and I know that some of the organisations the do not make full use of the centre. DATA USER DATA | infuriating to olicly available uses with bat a is collected in a concerns of at I work with, alough you may mat from the concern is |
|--|--|
| DATA USER | infuriating to olicly available uses with bat a is collected in a concerns of at I work with, alough you may mat from the concern is |
| know that bat roosts have been recorded nearby by SNH (or their batworkers), but the records are not pube either on NBN or SNHi. I appreciate that there may be DPA concerns about the identification of specific hour roosts, but surely this is not insurmountable Another issue is that a phenomenal amount of biological data support of planning applications, but virtually none of this becomes publicly available either due to spurious planning authorities about 'protected species locations' or commercial confidentiality I know that there is other data not held by the records centre, and I know that some of the organisations the do not make full use of the centre. DATA USER DATA USER DATA USER DATA USER DATA USER DATA USER LERC. Large Excel spreadsheets are time consuming to go through, so highlighting the species of interest or organisation important. There are too many different ways to record biological data - i.e. bumblebee conservation may record it on the might not be on the NBN gateway People should also be aware that a lack of presence does not mean a are too many ecological reports that don't use reliable data. There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Sheld by SNH and the SIRI at the national Museum of Scotland. Both are happy to share data but it is not in a | olicly available uses with bat a is collected in a concerns of at I work with, alough you may mat from the concern is |
| either on NBN or SNHi. I appreciate that there may be DPA concerns about the identification of specific hour roosts, but surely this is not insurmountable Another issue is that a phenomenal amount of biological data support of planning applications, but virtually none of this becomes publicly available either due to spurious planning authorities about 'protected species locations' or commercial confidentiality I know that there is other data not held by the records centre, and I know that some of the organisations the do not make full use of the centre. DATA USER DATA USER DATA USER DATA USER DATA USER LERC. Large Excel spreadsheets are time consuming to go through, so highlighting the species of interest or organisation important. There are too many different ways to record biological data - i.e. bumblebee conservation may record it on a might not be on the NBN gateway People should also be aware that a lack of presence does not mean a are too many ecological reports that don't use reliable data. There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Sheld by SNH and the SIRI at the national Museum of Scotland. Both are happy to share data but it is not in a | ases with bat a is collected in a concerns of at I work with, a lough you may mat from the concern is |
| support of planning applications, but virtually none of this becomes publicly available either due to spurious planning authorities about 'protected species locations' or commercial confidentiality I know that there is other data not held by the records centre, and I know that some of the organisations the do not make full use of the centre. DATA USER DAT | at I work with, lough you may mat from the concern is |
| planning authorities about 'protected species locations' or commercial confidentiality I know that there is other data not held by the records centre, and I know that some of the organisations that do not make full use of the centre. DATA USER DAT | at I work with, lough you may mat from the concern is |
| DATA USER I know that there is other data not held by the records centre, and I know that some of the organisations the do not make full use of the centre. accessing data from national datasets -particularly NGOs who tend to be very protective or the data even the have contributed to it (or even helped financially to them collecting it) Presentation of the data collated during desk studies is problematic unless it is received in a good visual form LERC. Large Excel spreadsheets are time consuming to go through, so highlighting the species of interest or organization. There are too many different ways to record biological data - i.e. bumblebee conservation may record it on a might not be on the NBN gateway People should also be aware that a lack of presence does not mean a are too many ecological reports that don't use reliable data. There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Sheld by SNH and the SIRI at the national Museum of Scotland. Both are happy to share data but it is not in a | nough you may mat from the concern is |
| do not make full use of the centre. accessing data from national datasets -particularly NGOs who tend to be very protective or the data even the have contributed to it (or even helped financially to them collecting it) Presentation of the data collated during desk studies is problematic unless it is received in a good visual form LERC. Large Excel spreadsheets are time consuming to go through, so highlighting the species of interest or or important. There are too many different ways to record biological data - i.e. bumblebee conservation may record it on a might not be on the NBN gateway People should also be aware that a lack of presence does not mean a are too many ecological reports that don't use reliable data. There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Sheld by SNH and the SIRI at the national Museum of Scotland. Both are happy to share data but it is not in a | nough you may mat from the concern is |
| have contributed to it (or even helped financially to them collecting it) Presentation of the data collated during desk studies is problematic unless it is received in a good visual form LERC. Large Excel spreadsheets are time consuming to go through, so highlighting the species of interest or of important. There are too many different ways to record biological data - i.e. bumblebee conservation may record it on a might not be on the NBN gateway People should also be aware that a lack of presence does not mean a are too many ecological reports that don't use reliable data. There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Sheld by SNH and the SIRI at the national Museum of Scotland. Both are happy to share data but it is not in a | mat from the concern is |
| DATA USER | their site but it |
| DATA USER LERC. Large Excel spreadsheets are time consuming to go through, so highlighting the species of interest or dimportant. There are too many different ways to record biological data - i.e. bumblebee conservation may record it on a might not be on the NBN gateway People should also be aware that a lack of presence does not mean a are too many ecological reports that don't use reliable data. There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Sheld by SNH and the SIRI at the national Museum of Scotland. Both are happy to share data but it is not in a | their site but it |
| important. There are too many different ways to record biological data - i.e. bumblebee conservation may record it on a might not be on the NBN gateway People should also be aware that a lack of presence does not mean a are too many ecological reports that don't use reliable data. There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Sheld by SNH and the SIRI at the national Museum of Scotland. Both are happy to share data but it is not in a | their site but it |
| DATA USER might not be on the NBN gateway People should also be aware that a lack of presence does not mean a are too many ecological reports that don't use reliable data. There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Sheld by SNH and the SIRI at the national Museum of Scotland. Both are happy to share data but it is not in a | |
| are too many ecological reports that don't use reliable data. There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Sheld by SNH and the SIRI at the national Museum of Scotland. Both are happy to share data but it is not in a | |
| There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Shara LISER There are a lot of data sources that are not readily accessible. Two that spring to mind are the Invertebrate Shara LISER DATA LISER | bsence. There |
| held by SNH and the SIRI at the national Museum of Scotland. Both are happy to share data but it is not in a | Site Register |
| DATA USER | |
| extracted easily. There is also a lot of information in, for example, SNH local office files and where it is difficult | |
| anything exists or not. Digitisation of such material would be a great help but is also likely to be difficult and Data is still very fragmented and there is lots of possibilities for confusion over different accuracy of recording | |
| DATA USER what species are actually found on a site and which have been recorded from the adjacent 'square') | ig details (e.g. |
| We provide ecological advice to Local Authorities outside of our own LRC area. Some of these districts do no | t currently fund |
| DATA USER their LRCs which means their data is not available to us. This is partly due to a lack of recognition of the value of the data o | |
| provided by the LRCs but also due to lack of funding within the districts after heavy cuts in funding from cen The tool is not particularly user friendly. The map is too small, the area search tab is poor (it takes you prefe | |
| international locations over LIK if they share the same name) and there is too much focus on the scientific n | • |
| DATA USER common name (species are listed alphabetically by their scientific name, for example). There is also not eno | ugh data on |
| there. | Al 1: 1- 1:66: - 1: |
| DATA USER Data supplied through the NBN is patchy because not all recording schemes etc. share their records with it. A to have confidence in those data and their reliability. | Also, it is difficult |
| DATA USER At times slow processing/no service on NBN Gateway. | |
| none is particularly working less well than the others, although accessing an downloading record could be cu | ustomized to |
| DATA USER avoid self-curation of the record in case someone needs "easy to explore" records. often I need to select and | d filter the |
| records that I need and dismiss most of what I have downloaded. | |
| DATA USER Lack of easily accessible, robust data with good coverage. | |
| DATA USER We need less focus on data and more focus on more strategic end products. Particularly looking at natural hasset for wider improvement for health, tourism etc. | eritage as an |
| DATA USER NBN datasets are often far from complete and/or too restricted. | |
| Some of the data on the NBN, particularly the invertebrate records supplied by Natural England, are so supe | |
| DATA USER worthless - species name, a 10km grid ref and a year I am finding iRecord unwilling to allow full access to site I help to manage. Unless there is a suspicion that the landowner wishes to destroy their biodiversity, I t | |
| unethical to deny a landowner access to records for their own site. | HIHK IL IS |
| DATA USER Access to data is often too limited and data holders are unwilling/unable to provide better access. | |
| There's never enough time and each are (data curation, data publishing, data user) requires different specific | c and detailed |
| DATA USER skills. | |
| DATA USER There is a lot of duplicated records in the public datasets For example, one original field record may have this (varying degrees of mapping resolution) arising from different organisations and literature sources | 10+ records of |
| DATA USER Nothing really that has not been written above. | |
| lack of detail in some cases, lack of data and recording is the main problem. If none has recorded it or submi | itted it then you |
| can't just look it up online, it will not exist online yet. | |
| DATA USER Not all recording schemes and Lercs contribute [to NBN] so several places have to be searched in order to lo | cate records. |
| DATA USER Same as before - flawed databases are the main problem | |
| DATA USER The actual workings of NBN Gateway is poor. It is not simple or easy to find and collate data sets for use. | |
| DATA USER Records are often historical and there is little information on the reliability of records. | |
| DATA USER Can be out of date. | |
| DATA USER finding what data is available | - |
| DATA USER Huge variation in number of records, access to information depending which area you are in Scotland. | |
| Aberdeen/Aberdeenshire good records, Angus very poor, old records for some species/habitats. | |
| DATA USER Large databases take a while to load on old/slow computers Too many acronyms - can be confusing! | |
| DATA USER Quality of some of the data held by the LRC | |
| DATA USER It all works well, apart from some inaccurate data on the NBN that hasn't been verified. But this is minimal. | |
| BTO data mostly not on NBN, so most bird data must be sourced separately and requires a separate SNH/BT | |
| agreement Large amounts of data held up in recording scheme databases (including Indicia), not yet (if ev DATA USER NBN Extreme clunkiness of Indicia - we can negotiate access on a species-by-species basis, but very time-c | • |
| concerned Difficulty of setting up alerts for new records of concern, such as invasive species reported from | _ |
| which a rapid response is required When downloading data from NBN, NBN Gateway lacks an a facility to | |

| | Scotland' or multiple vice counties (e.g. Perthshire or Lothians, which are each 3 vice counties). This means we have to request a custom download from NBN. It's unclear whether NBN custom downloads will still be possible with NBN Atlas, or how we'll cope if not I have only very limited experience of trying NBN Atlas so far, and although it looks impressive I am concerned by the number of problems encountered. |
|-----------|---|
| DATA USER | I can't think of anything problematic, however I sometimes see consultants' reports citing absence of species when it is not necessarily an absence of species, but an absence of recorders. That's more to do with how records are used though, not their availability etc. |
| DATA USER | Discovery of safeguarded species records and the precise gps locations of protected species can be difficult to achieve. |
| DATA USER | Often the NBN website doesn't work when trying to access distribution records, may be the lack of internet speed. |
| DATA USER | Lack of local biological/geological record centre |
| DATA USER | The system we have established is effective. |
| DATA USER | 1) Licensing of data is overly restrictive - 2) There's no single mechanism for requesting data (you have to go to multiple organisations, make multiple requests, play by multiple sets of rules) - 3) Data on the NBN are often not up to data or the definitive version (this is not a criticism of NBN) - 4) The quality of data is unclear or poor - 5) Many datasets are not publicly discoverable or usable |
| DATA USER | From what I've seen of the new 'NBN Atlas' so far, it is not as user-friendly as the NBN Gateway, and is missing a lot of the data. The EasyMaps service is also being discontinued, which will leave a lot of holes in our website! On a local level, we have used our own Group's data to publish several Atlases of different taxonomic groups, and these have been a great success. |
| DATA USER | Tend not to use NBN records as sometimes difficult to interpret and question reliability of records. |
| DATA USER | Accuracy of identifications, lack of verifiable records. |
| DATA USER | The lack of an up-to-date seabird census is a big problem, as it means we have no recent baseline to compare recent trends to, and no holistic picture of the national trends |
| DATA USER | Since not all data is collected in the same place (i.e. every organisation/ record centres etc. holding their own datasets), it can be time consuming to get the full pictures and collate all available data on a particular species etc. Data from consultancies or other private sector companies is unattainable even though it could sometimes be helpful. |
| DATA USER | Lack of open data or records published at low resolution Access to papers in academic journals - on-line costs are prohibitive and there is no major reference library within reasonable distance Costs of travel etc. limit the ability to attend conferences, training courses and workshops etc. |
| DATA USER | INCONSISTENT COVERAGE AND DIFFERENT APPROACHES ACROSS THE COUNTRY |
| DATA USER | Better user interfaces to interrogate and present data |
| DATA USER | as above - NBN is a great boon but constant issue with all data provided is ensuring its quality. |
| DATA USER | Training and self-study, due to funding and time issues. e.g. Many of the GIS courses that would allow me to better provide enhancements to datasets are very expensive and not within the reach of a small company like ours. |
| DATA USER | It is important to be able to have a search function that is not restricted in terms of search terms. The full range of data present in the original submission plus any additional information added subsequently should be available to use as search terms. It is currently not possible to do this using iRecord. If the search options available are restricted then the usefulness of the platform is dramatically reduced. |
| DATA USER | Sometimes datasets are not available - not digitised or not shared with LERC - which means you may put effort into arranging a new survey of a site that is not really necessary There is large variation in the way datasets are structured, which can make life difficult Sometimes information is withheld by the data provider or is missing e.g. no recorder names or poor spatial information, which may mean it is difficult to get a record verified by an expect. |
| DATA USER | lack of funds |
| DATA USER | A lack of data for certain local authority areas makes supplying biodiversity evidence to the planning process much less effective. This lack of data also make quantitative prioritisation of action on species and habitats less effective. These issues are being targeted by a temporary funded project across area extension of LERC. |
| DATA USER | Not enough time to analyse data and implement the results |
| DATA USER | Some national schemes/organisations do not submit their information to local records centres or NBN. This means there are gaps in our knowledge and decisions are made in the absence of complete, up to date information. To some extent this applies to individuals not submitting records to local or national centres/schemes as well. For commercial reasons many records are not submitted. |
| DATA USER | Data and reports are found in various sources but it can be time- consuming to find all of these and then extract the relevant information. There is also the issue that some data gathered for development proposals may be considered as confidential or commercially sensitive and thus is not readily available. In addition data presented for development proposals in EIAs may not be made available to Records Centres as a matter of course thus useful data is 'lost' or the impact of proposals cannot be put into a proper context. |
| DATA USER | Discovery of available biological records, datasets or data products - Understanding, negotiating and complying with licence conditions and access arrangements - Accessing, downloading, formatting and validating biological records, datasets or data products Currently the multitude of organisations and portals collecting biological data creates huge variation in routes for accessing data, data formats and license conditions. As a national organisation we find this presents a significant barrier to using the data as we work across a national extent, and therefore often have to seek data from many different sources. In some cases it becomes impossible to use data from certain sources due to the time required to seek access to it and format it to match the format of other datasets |
| DATA USER | As a taxon recorder, I am also a data user as I need to refer to national datasets to support my species identifications. There is currently no single point of reference I can use to find out if a taxon species has been recorded in my area, as records are spread across different national recording scheme databases. Due to data flow issues, my local environmental record centre doesn't hold a complete local taxon records dataset either There is also no mechanism for providing feedback on record quality on the NBN; as a result there are lots of duff records on the NBN and it's difficult to know whether I can have confidence in the data. |
| DATA USER | Issues with some LERC species maps that link to NBN Gateway due to taxonomic name changes, so only most recent |

| records since name change occurred are displayed - this seems to be beyond. Is compared in some of their best to update maps, but with small number of affair with large workfood, it may be search anoths before these appear. However, these are usually more reliable than Net maps that contain some erroneous detaces to currently don't have a map that contain some erroneous detaces to currently don't have a map that contain some erroneous detaces to currently don't have been a map to the provided of the map that contain some erroneous detaces to currently don't have been a map to the provided of the map that the contain some provided in 2018 are contained to the contained and the contained that the provided in 2018 are contained to the contained and the contained that the contained are contained to the contained that the contained are contained to the contained that the containe | _ | |
|--|----------------|---|
| Can be time consuming to format records obtained into a format compatible with LERC detabases (Indising particularly about other detabases as opposed to assall records from recorders). Means fewer updates are requested than would be if could be quickly added to the database. Another form recorders). Means fewer updates are requested than would be if could be quickly added to the database. Another form recorders with the consumination of the format the data to be compatible with our own data holdings to may not be updated very regularly Negotiating licence and access conditions can be trickly and often negotiate to be negotiated separately (when process possibly already gone through by other LERC) Anticipated problems with NBN Atlas if data shared at less than full resolution way to get full access is through involvable negotiation. More time consuming and presence for the data provider (you po a well as for the LIRC. Interpretation of CC-IN-NY licence that LERCs are also not able to use data under this licence without specific permission but this might not be the internation of the data provider. The open availability of biological records to support science to inform nature conservation remains patchy multiple sources and large variations in the extent to which data are peaky available for reuse Many data sets lack data successfully also evaluations of the data provider and provider and provider and provider and provider and providers are provided as a provider and providers. The NBN Board structure and governance as a whole needs some thought. This his mist we was a deliver. FACILITATOR FACILITATOR We only can operate at the capacity of our volunteers. This limits what we can deliver. FACILITATOR | | to update maps, but with small number of staff with large workload, it may be several months before these appear. However, these are usually more reliable than NBN maps that contain some erroneous datasets (& currently don't have most up-to-date LERC or Butterfly Conservation data). There are also issues with National Moth Recording Scheme maps: MothsCount website says 'We are currently unable to display the NMRS provisional macro-moth distribution maps using webservices due to technological incompatibility with our website and the new NBN Gateway. We are working on a solution to this and will let you know when this problem is resolved.' These are only provisional maps though, as updated maps will only be made publically available when national atlas is published in 2018. It was time-consuming to find useful records from NBN Gateway datasets among several erroneous ones & also to avoid duplication of records already in LERC database. It can also be difficult to track down records from other sources not submitted directly to me or LERC & make contact with original recorders, though Butterfly Conservation sorted & forwarded iRecord & outstanding RiS data to VC taxon recorders this year to aid verification for inclusion in national taxon atlas. |
| DATA USER | DATA USER | Downloaded data needs processed/formatted for integration with other records, e.g. from e-bird. |
| Sources and large variations in the extent to which data are openly available for re-use Many data sets lack data describing observation effort, thus making spatial presence and abundance data difficult to interpret describing observation effort, thus making spatial presence and abundance data difficult to interpret successfully at local and regional levels - Lack of recognition for the value of this work. FACILITATOR The NBN Board structure and governance as a whole needs some thought. This has not been reviewed in some time and along with the membership review which has just taken place; it would be timely to look at restructuring the Board to make this as effective and inclusive as possible Where to submit your record is not immediately clear to some - best route(s) vary with taxon group and location of recorder - this inhibits data flow - Lack of transparency in the data flow - do we always know where data collected goes? Whos shares with whom and how? Not knowing this either inhibits data submission or leads to duplicated efficialing many times - Verification process - not enough verification capacity to ensure the smooth and swift flow of records onwards. INNS data are not always speedily available - some gets held up by the verification process - Deal suser have to seek data from many sources in order to be sure they are aware of all possible databates - this is a waste of time and resources. InNS data are not always speedily available - some gets held up by the verification process - Deal suser have to seek data from many sources in order to be sure they are aware of all possible databates - this is a waste of time and resources. InNS data data shore and the standard of the complexity of the data flow. Local resources are also spread to othiny Digitisation & mobilisation for historic datasets is underfunded yet these are very valuable resources National data sharing is possible and achieved in the complexity of the data flow. Local resources are also spread to other thing Digitisation | DATA USER | about other databases as opposed to usual records from recorders). Means fewer updates are requested than would be if could be quickly added to the database. Knock on effect of less time to look for other data sources Can be difficult and time consuming to discover available data. Once permissions are granted for use of data for LERC business, often time consuming to then format the data to be compatible with our own data holdings so may not be updated very regularly Negotiating licence and access conditions can be tricky and often need to be negotiated separately (when process possibly already gone through by other LERC) Anticipated problems with NBN Atlas - if data shared at less than full resolution, only way to get full access is through individual negotiation. More time consuming and pressure for the data provider / group as well as for the LERC. Interpretation of CC-BY-NY licence that LERCs are also not able to use data under this licence without specific permission but this might not be the intention of the data provider |
| FACILITATOR We only can operate at the capacity of our volunteers. This limits what we can deliver. The NBN Board structure and governance as a whole needs some thought. This has not been reviewed in some time and along with the membership review which has just taken place, it would be timely to look at restructuring the Board to make this as effective and inclusives as possible. Where to submit your record is not immediately clear to some - best route(s) vary with taxon group and location of record - this inhibits data flow - clack of transparency in the data flow - do we always know where data collected goes? Whos shares with whom and how? Not knowing this either inhibits data submission or leads to duplicated effort (shaing many times) - Verification process - not enough verification capacity to ensure the smooth and swift flow of cords onwards - INNS data are not always speedily available - some gets held up by the verification process - not always sources in order to be sure they are aware of all possible datasets - this is a waste of time and resources - Lords services through LEKS are variable for non-existent). Data management is at local level is variable and where it relies on volunteers is at risk from change. There is duplication of effort in creating and maintaining separate local data bases, and this adds to the complexity of the data flow. Local resources are also spread too think). Digitisation & mad where it relies on volunteers is underfunded yet these are very valuable resources National data sharing is possible and achievable (ie NBN Gateway/Aldas) but the technology & its support is currently underfunded Current funding models makes organisations protective of 'their' data - a data hoard not a treasure trove! FACILITATOR FACILITATOR FACILITATOR Insufficient funding to undertake all the monitoring that would be unlikely to be able to secure more funding without it being for a specific project. We have maybe 10 years of funding left for the government of the provisor of the provi | DATA USER | sources and large variations in the extent to which data are openly available for re-use Many data sets lack data describing observation effort, thus making spatial presence and abundance data difficult to interpret. |
| The NBN Board structure and governance as a whole needs some thought. This has not been reviewed in some time and along with the membership review which has just taken place, it would be timely to look at restructuring the Board to make this as effective and inclusives as possible. Where to submit your record is not immediately clear to some - best route(s) vary with taxon group and location of recorder - this inhibits data flow - do we always know where data collected goes? Whos shares with whom and how? Not knowing this either inhibits data submission or leads to duplicated effort (shaing many times) - Verification process - not enough verification capacity to ensure the smooth and swift flow of restor shared save with whom and how? Not knowing this either inhibits data submission or leads to duplicated effort (shaing many times) - Verification process - Pota to enough verification capacity to ensure the smooth and swift flow or data flows a ren of always speedily available - some gets held up by the verification process - Data users have to see data from many sources in order to be sure they are aware of all possible datasets - this is a waste of time and resources - Local services through LERCs are variable (or non-existent). Data management is at local level is variable and where it relies on volunteers is at critical terms of the complexity of the data flow. Local resources are also spread too thinly. Digitisation & mobilisation of historic datasets is underfunded yet these are very valuable resources. National data sharing is possible and achievable lie NBN Gateway/datas) but the technology & its support is currently underfunded - Current funding models makes organisations protective of 'their' data - a data hoard not a treasure trove! FACILITATOR PRODER Insufficient funding to undertake all the monitoring that would be unlikely to be able to secure more funding without it being for a specific project. We have maybe 10 years of funding left for the support sharing and provided funding to it over the | FACILITATOR | 1 |
| FACILITATOR along with the membership review which has just taken place, it would be timely to look at restructuring the Board to make this as effective and inclusive as possible Where to submit your record is not immediately clear to some - best route(s) vary with taxon group and location of recorder - this inhibits data flow - Lack of transparency in the data flow - dow always know where data collected goes? Whos shares with whom and how? Not knowing this either inhibits data submission of leads to duplicated effort (shaing many times) - Verification process - not enough verification capacity to ensure the smooth and swift flow of records onwards - INNS data are not always speedily available - some gets held up by the verification process - Data bears who to seek data from many sources in order to be sure they are aware of all possible datasets - this is a waste of time and resources - Local services through LRCRs are variable (or non-existent). Data management is at local level is variable on volunteers is at risk from change. There is duplication of effort in creating and maintaining separate local data bases, and this adds to the complexity of the data flow. Local resources are also spread too thinty. Deligitation do where it relies on volunteers is underfunded yet these are very valuable resources National data sharing is possible and achievable (ie NBN Gateway/Atlas) but the technology & its support is currently underfunded Current funding models makes organisations protective of their data - a data hoard not a treasure trovo? FACILITATOR Paciple are keen to provide a view and input but less keen to do actually be hands on and do any work eg attend meetings, write a strategy. FACILITATOR lack of sufficient funding to undertake all the monitoring that would be ideally useful. FUNDER | FACILITATOR | We only can operate at the capacity of our volunteers. This limits what we can deliver. |
| - this inhibits data flow- Lack of transparency in the data flow- do we always know where data collected goes? Whos shares with whom and how? Not knowing this either inhibits data submission or leads to duplicated effort (shaing many times) - Verification process - not enough verification capacity to ensure the smooth and swift flow of records onwards - INNS data are not always speedily available - some gets held up by the verification process - Data users have to seek data from many sources in order to be sure they are aware of all possible datasets: this is a waste of time and resources - Local services through LERCs are variable (or non-existent). Data management is at local level is variable and where it relies on volunteers is at risk from change. There is duplication of effort in creating and maintaining separas, and this adds to the complexity of the data flow. Local resources are also spread too thinly Digitisation & mobilisation of historic datasets is underfunded yet these are very valuable resources National data sharing is possible and achievable (ie NBN Gateway/Atlas) but the technology & its support is currently underfunded Current funding models makes organisations protective of their data - a data hoard not a treasure trove! FACILITATOR FACILITATOR FINDER Insufficient funding to undertake all the monitoring that would be ideally useful. FUNDER Annual funding Cycle increase workload. We have a finite pot of money to provide funding from and would be unlikely to be able to secure more funding without it being for a specific project. We have maybe 10 years of funding left for the long of the provide funding to it over the years. A lack of buy-in from funders is a major issue. FUNDER FOR the service for some years, and by supporting maintenance/development of NBN Gateway, and one NBN Atlas. In 2016/17 SNH contributed 353 K NBN Membership, Etj. LEJS K Core Support/Development on NBN NBN's former Chief Ex | FACILITATOR | along with the membership review which has just taken place, it would be timely to look at restructuring the Board to make this as effective and inclusive as possible |
| People are keen to provide a view and input but less keen to do actually be hands on and do any work eg attend meetings, write a strategy. FACILITATOR lack of sufficient funds, national support FUNDER Insufficient funding to undertake all the monitoring that would be ideally useful. FUNDER Annual funding Cycle increase workload. We have a finite pot of money to provide funding from and would be unlikely to be able to secure more funding without it being for a specific project. We have maybe 10 years of funding left for the plant provided funding to it over the years. A lack of buy-in from funders is a major issue. SNH has been a major funder of NBN for many years, through its membership fee, through secondment of NBN's former Chief Executive for some years, and by supporting maintenance/development of NBN Gateway, and now NBN Atlas. In 2016/17 SNH contributed £35K NBN Membership, £12.5K Core Support/Development of NBN Gateway, and now NBN Atlas. In 2016/17 SNH contributed £35K NBN Membership, £12.5K Core Support/Development of NBN Gateway (a 25% increase over the previous FY), £25K to NBN Atlas, ± £15K to support the NBN Scottish Liaison Officer - a total of £87.5K in 2017/18 the Support/Development component will transfer to NBN Atlas, but is expected to increase to £37.6K before falling back to "£25K in each of the following two years This is a substantial financial commitment to maintain at a time when SNH's own budget is, in common with other public sector bodies, experiencing continuing year-on-year cutbacks, and this level of support may not be sustainable in the long term. FUNDER FUNDER FOR many funders (SNH included now) funding is secured on an annual basis resulting in uncertainty and time going into chasing funding, this situation is not sustainable in the long-term FUNDER The current HLF funding is unlikely to continue in future, with consequent increase in the contribution expected. This comes at a time of budget gaps in the Local Authority which require cuts in spending, rather | FACILITATOR | - this inhibits data flow - Lack of transparency in the data flow - do we always know where data collected goes? Whos shares with whom and how? Not knowing this either inhibits data submission or leads to duplicated effort (shaing many times) - Verification process - not enough verification capacity to ensure the smooth and swift flow of records onwards - INNS data are not always speedily available - some gets held up by the verification process - Data users have to seek data from many sources in order to be sure they are aware of all possible datasets - this is a waste of time and resources - Local services through LERCs are variable (or non-existent). Data management is at local level is variable and where it relies on volunteers is at risk from change. There is duplication of effort in creating and maintaining separate local data bases, and this adds to the complexity of the data flow. Local resources are also spread too thinly Digitisation & mobilisation of historic datasets is underfunded yet these are very valuable resources National data sharing is possible and achievable (ie NBN Gateway/Atlas) but the technology & its support is currently underfunded Current funding models makes organisations |
| FACILITATOR lack of sufficient funds, national support lack of sufficient funding to undertake all the monitoring that would be ideally useful. FUNDER Insufficient funding Cycle increase workload. We have a finite pot of money to provide funding from and would be unlikely to be able to secure more funding without it being for a specific project. We have maybe 10 years of funding left for the pregional or national organisations in the future As a funder to SBIF, it is disheartening that only SNH, the NBN and BRISC have provided funding to it over the years. A lack of buy-in from funders is a major issue. SNH has been a major funder of NBN for many years, through its membership fee, through secondment of NBNs former Chief Executive for some years, and by supporting maintenance/development of NBN Gateway, and now NBN Atlas. In 2016/17 SNH contributed £35K NBN Membership, £12.5K Core Support/Development of NBN Gateway (a 25% increase over the previous FY), £25K to NBN Atlas, + £15K to support the NBN Scottish Liaison Officer - a total of £87.5K in 2017/18 the Support/Development component will transfer to NBN Atlas, but is expected to increase to £37.6K before falling back to "£25K in each of the following two years This is a substantial financial commitment to maintain at a time when SNH's own budget is, in common with other public sector bodies, experiencing continuing year-on-year cutbacks, and this level of support may not be sustainable in the long term. FUNDER | FACILITATOR | People are keen to provide a view and input but less keen to do actually be hands on and do any work eg attend meetings, |
| FUNDER Annual funding Cycle increase workload. We have a finite pot of money to provide funding from and would be unlikely to be able to secure more funding without it being for a specific project. We have maybe 10 years of funding left for the regional or national organisations in the future As a funder to SBIF, it is disheartening that only SNH, the NBN and BRISC have provided funding to it over the years. A lack of buy-in from funders is a major issue. SNH has been a major funder of NBN for many years, through its membership fee, through secondment of NBN's former Chief Executive for some years, and by supporting maintenance/development of NBN Gateway, and now NBN Atlas. In 2016/17 SNH contributed £35K NBN Membership, £12.5K Core Support/Development of NBN Gateway (a 25% increase over the previous FY), £25K to NBN Atlas, +£15K to support the NBN Scottish Liaison Officer - a total of £87.5K In 2017/18 the Support/Development component will transfer to NBN Atlas, but is expected to increase to £37.6K before falling back to *£25K in each of the following two years This is a substantial financial commitment to maintain at a time when SNH's own budget is, in common with other public sector bodies, experiencing continuing year-on-year cutbacks, and this level of support may not be sustainable in the long term. FUNDER Red more promotion FUNDER The current HLF funding is unlikely to continue in future, with consequent increase in the contribution expected. This comes at a time of budget gaps in the Local Authority which require cuts in spending, rather than spending increases. GROUP OPERATOR BRC not getting up to date maps out and not uploading data to NBN more regularly training - Biggest problem for me is furthering my own skills as i have limited CPD opportunities - many training courses seem to be based a long way from area. Too much admin often means i am tied to a desk while volunteers go out and do the recording, this means i am losing practical skills through lack of practice See answer | FACILITATOR | |
| We have a finite pot of money to provide funding from and would be unlikely to be able to secure more funding without it being for a specific project. We have maybe 10 years of funding left for the being for a specific project. We have maybe 10 years of funding left for the regional or national organisations in the future As a funder to SBIF, it is disheartening that only SNH, the NBN and BRISC have provided funding to it over the years. A lack of buy-in from funders is a major issue. SNH has been a major funder of NBN for many years, through its membership fee, through secondment of NBN's former Chief Executive for some years, and by supporting maintenance/development of NBN Gateway, and now NBN Atlas. In 2016/17 SNH contributed £35K NBN Membership, £12.5K Core Support/Development of NBN Gateway, (a 25% increase over the previous FY), £25K to NBN Atlas, + £15K to support the NBN Scottish Liaison Officer - a total of £87.5K In 2017/18 the Support/Development component will transfer to NBN Atlas, but is expected to increase to £37.6K before falling back to ~£25K in each of the following two years This is a substantial financial commitment to maintain at a time when SNH's own budget is, in common with other public sector bodies, experiencing continuing year-on-year cutbacks, and this level of support may not be sustainable in the long term. FUNDER FOR many funders (SNH included now) funding is secured on an annual basis resulting in uncertainty and time going into chasing funding, this situation is not sustainable in the long-term The current HLF funding is unlikely to continue in future, with consequent increase in the contribution expected. This comes at a time of budget gaps in the Local Authority which require cuts in spending, rather than spending increases. GROUP OPERATOR BRC not getting up to date maps out and not uploading data to NBN more regularly training - Biggest problem for me is furthering my own skills as i have limited CPD opportunities - many training courses seem to be based a | FUNDER | Insufficient funding to undertake all the monitoring that would be ideally useful. |
| being for a specific project. We have maybe 10 years of funding left for the regional or national organisations in the future As a funder to SBIF, it is disheartening that only SNH, the NBN and BRISC have provided funding to it over the years. A lack of buy-in from funders is a major issue. SNH has been a major funder of NBN for many years, through its membership fee, through secondment of NBN's former Chief Executive for some years, and by supporting maintenance/development of NBN Gateway, and now NBN Atlas. In 2016/17 SNH contributed £35K NBN Membership, £12.5K Core Support/Development of NBN Gateway (a 25% increase over the previous FY), £25K to NBN Atlas, ±£15K to support the NBN Scottish Liaison Officer - a total of £87.5K in 2017/18 the Support/Development component will transfer to NBN Atlas, but is expected to increase to £37.6K before falling back to ~£25K in each of the following two years This is a substantial financial commitment to maintain at a time when SNH's own budget is, in common with other public sector bodies, experiencing continuing year-on-year cutbacks, and this level of support may not be sustainable in the long term. FUNDER POR many funders (SNH included now) funding is secured on an annual basis resulting in uncertainty and time going into chasing funding, this situation is not sustainable in the long-term The current HLF funding is unlikely to continue in future, with consequent increase in the contribution expected. This comes at a time of budget gaps in the Local Authority which require cuts in spending, rather than spending increases. GROUP OPERATOR BRC not getting up to date maps out and not uploading data to NBN more regularly training - Biggest problem for me is furthering my own skills as i have limited CPD opportunities - many training courses seem to be based a long way from | FUNDER | Annual funding Cycle increase workload. |
| Chief Executive for some years, and by supporting maintenance/development of NBN Gateway, and now NBN Atlas. In 2016/17 SNH contributed £35K NBN Membership, £12.5K Core Support/Development of NBN Gateway (a 25% increase over the previous FY), £25K to NBN Atlas, + £15K to support the NBN Scottish Liaison Officer - a total of £87.5K In 2017/18 the Support/Development component will transfer to NBN Atlas, but is expected to increase to £37.6K before falling back to °£25K in each of the following two years This is a substantial financial commitment to maintain at a time when SNH's own budget is, in common with other public sector bodies, experiencing continuing year-on-year cutbacks, and this level of support may not be sustainable in the long term. FUNDER PUNDER PONDER The current HLF funding is unlikely to continue in future, with consequent increase in the contribution expected. This comes at a time of budget gaps in the Local Authority which require cuts in spending, rather than spending increases. GROUP OPERATOR BRC not getting up to date maps out and not uploading data to NBN more regularly training - Biggest problem for me is furthering my own skills as i have limited CPD opportunities - many training courses seem to be based a long way from area. Too much admin often means i am tied to a desk while volunteers go out and do the recording, this means i am losing practical skills through lack of practice See answers to previous section re personal limitations causes by health and age. Nothing else is really anything like as significant: My increasingly poor makes microscopy and fine manipulation of specimens increasingly | FUNDER | being for a specific project. We have maybe 10 years of funding left for the total perhaps this could be taken on by regional or national organisations in the future As a funder to SBIF, it is disheartening that only SNH, the NBN and BRISC have provided funding to it over the years. A lack of buy-in from funders is a major issue. |
| FUNDER For many funders (SNH included now) funding is secured on an annual basis resulting in uncertainty and time going into chasing funding, this situation is not sustainable in the long-term FUNDER FUNDER The current HLF funding is unlikely to continue in future, with consequent increase in the contribution expected. This comes at a time of budget gaps in the Local Authority which require cuts in spending, rather than spending increases. BRC not getting up to date maps out and not uploading data to NBN more regularly training - Biggest problem for me is furthering my own skills as i have limited CPD opportunities - many training courses seem to be based a long way from area. Too much admin often means i am tied to a desk while volunteers go out and do the recording, this means i am losing practical skills through lack of practice See answers to previous section re personal limitations causes by health and age. Nothing else is really anything like as significant: My increasingly poor makes microscopy and fine manipulation of specimens increasingly | FUNDER | Chief Executive for some years, and by supporting maintenance/development of NBN Gateway, and now NBN Atlas. In 2016/17 SNH contributed £35K NBN Membership, £12.5K Core Support/Development of NBN Gateway (a 25% increase over the previous FY), £25K to NBN Atlas, + £15K to support the NBN Scottish Liaison Officer - a total of £87.5K In 2017/18 the Support/Development component will transfer to NBN Atlas, but is expected to increase to £37.6K before falling back to ~£25K in each of the following two years This is a substantial financial commitment to maintain at a time when SNH's own budget is, in common with other public sector bodies, experiencing continuing year-on-year cutbacks, and this level of |
| Chasing funding, this situation is not sustainable in the long-term The current HLF funding is unlikely to continue in future, with consequent increase in the contribution expected. This comes at a time of budget gaps in the Local Authority which require cuts in spending, rather than spending increases. GROUP OPERATOR BRC not getting up to date maps out and not uploading data to NBN more regularly training - Biggest problem for me is furthering my own skills as i have limited CPD opportunities - many training courses seem to be based a long way from area. Too much admin often means i am tied to a desk while volunteers go out and do the recording, this means i am losing practical skills through lack of practice See answers to previous section re personal limitations causes by health and age. Nothing else is really anything like as significant: My increasingly poor makes microscopy and fine manipulation of specimens increasingly | FUNDER | need more promotion |
| at a time of budget gaps in the Local Authority which require cuts in spending, rather than spending increases. GROUP OPERATOR BRC not getting up to date maps out and not uploading data to NBN more regularly training - Biggest problem for me is furthering my own skills as i have limited CPD opportunities - many training courses seem to be based a long way from area on the coording, this means i am losing practical skills through lack of practice See answers to previous section re personal limitations causes by health and age. Nothing else is really anything like as significant: My increasingly poor makes microscopy and fine manipulation of specimens increasingly | FUNDER | chasing funding, this situation is not sustainable in the long-term |
| training - Biggest problem for me is furthering my own skills as i have limited CPD opportunities - many training courses seem to be based a long way from area. Too much admin often means i am tied to a desk while volunteers go out and do the recording, this means i am losing practical skills through lack of practice See answers to previous section re personal limitations causes by health and age. Nothing else is really anything like as significant: My increasingly poor makes microscopy and fine manipulation of specimens increasingly | FUNDER | |
| GROUP OPERATOR seem to be based a long way from area do the recording, this means i am losing practical skills through lack of practice See answers to previous section re personal limitations causes by health and age. Nothing else is really anything like as significant: My increasingly poor makes microscopy and fine manipulation of specimens increasingly | GROUP OPERATOR | BRC not getting up to date maps out and not uploading data to NBN more regularly |
| GROUP OPERATOR significant: My increasingly poor makes microscopy and fine manipulation of specimens increasingly | GROUP OPERATOR | seem to be based a long way from area. Too much admin often means i am tied to a desk while volunteers go out and |
| | GROUP OPERATOR | significant: My increasingly poor makes microscopy and fine manipulation of specimens increasingly |

| | but there is not a lot that can be done about this. For me though this is definitely the greatest problem / challenge that I |
|----------------------------|---|
| | face. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Lack of time to give sufficient focus on the subject - we run Facebook pages, give space on the generic website, run events and link surveying into specific projects (for which we need to find funding). We also publish newsletters, postcards and posters to publicise the species we need help with. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | It's hard to train casual volunteers as the data we collect is quite scientific and very specific. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | We would like to be able to have a base where we could hold a stock of recording equipment e.g. bat detectors, mammal traps, collecting tubes, ID books etc. which we could loan out to members, or use for Group surveys. BUT we don't have any premises, or any money and everything is done by volunteers The only grants we have had are for uploading data to the NBN Gateway - for which we are very grateful to leastly we would like to have a paid database manager who could also manage the equipment loans etc and maybe organise training courses etc. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | As above really - the activities are pretty self contained, and so not reliant on people either above or below us in the chain - so no particular problems to report. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Lack of support from the site managers and active refusal to engage and shared records with the recording group. After years in some cases voluntary accreditation (source of the state of |
| GROUP OPERATOR | lack of an up-to-date national seabird census is a real problem. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Fund raising is always a problem. It is time consuming and we're too small to be able to administer large grants and we are ineligible for some sources of funding (no charitable status) Problems with the NBn Gateway and the Atlas are causing major problems with data validation and verification Difficult to recruit new recorders and struggle to make visiting recorders/academic/ surveyors aware of our existence. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Recruiting new people to take on roles within the recording groups is always difficult. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Detection of duplicate data is challenging, as is verification across a wide range of taxonomic groups. Frustratingly difficult to get our system to talk to those of other schemes. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Nothing to add to my reply for the verifier role. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Maintaining interest and supporting activities in terms of time. |
| GROUP OPERATOR | It is sometimes difficult to imbue members with the confidence to submit their sightings and to have them scrutinized. People see experts in the group and think "I'll never be as good as them". The idea that experts are occasionally wrong and accept that as part of the territory is hard to get across in this age of black and white information I used in a distant past to accept most of what people sent me, entering it on to Recorder with the provisos which were built into the system: - 1 - certain identity - 2 - needs checking - 3 -most probably rubbish (I'm afraid i can't remember the exact wording of these caveats) This meant that everybody's info 'got a go' and the category 2 information might later have provided a source of extra data after subsequent discoveries. 2 & 3 categories did not appear on outputs to enquirers or on maps (These categories did not export with their when data was extracted later on and caused a certain amount of havoc for a while) - |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Lack of funding to carry out the activities national bodies expect which would otherwise be paid Expectation from national bodies that recorders are mostly concerned with meeting the body's needs, which is not the case at all Expectation form national bodies that recorders' voluntary time and effort is endless Lack of national recognition for the successful local dynamics of data flow, cooperation and joint efforts between recorders, groups, LERC and partners |
| GROUP OPERATOR | Process of finding funds is rather slow and a lot of the admin and planning work rests on rather few shoulders. |
| RECORDER | Lack of funding for training and lack of people to deliver the training |
| RECORDER | Networking with other researchers working on my field is something that I would like to develop. Also I would be grateful If could be notified for other groups working on the same field. |
| RECORDER | Uploading data to sites such as NBN, I didn't tick it because I don't do it due to issues in the past with records not being uploaded or not being uploaded in a timely fashion. Checking distributions could be helpful but the website frequently crashes and is hard to use Submitting spreadsheet to |
| RECORDER RECORDER | local recorder is straightforward, but infrequent, so information is never considered to be up to date. I find i-spot very difficult to use |
| RECORDER | More training courses for invertebrate identification in Scotland would be useful Attending such sessions in England is |
| RECORDER | costly Recording schemes are very much south England based, little leadership in Scotland Little visible interest in invertebrate recording from NGOs, including SNH, and little evidence of taxonomic expertise in SNH Funding restrictions means it is difficult to obtain paid work, most of which goes to large agencies. |
| RECORDER | Sometimes promotion of specific species recording schemes is not so good. |
| RECORDER | Not being able to manage our own data base and having to rely on the BRC |
| RECORDER | Picking up equipment and being tied to specific recording times makes recording a little more difficult I am willing to go to some trouble to record as part of a particular survey but probably would not undertake a more onerous survey more than once. |
| | |
| RECORDER | Getting access to data once it enters an Indicia online recording scheme can be difficult, currently access to records is limited in county, due to lack of resources to develop existing data sharing functionality. Access to records submitted in offline formats and in some national schemes is also difficult. |
| RECORDER | in county, due to lack of resources to develop existing data sharing functionality. Access to records submitted in offline formats and in some national schemes is also difficult. Getting my records onto the NBN and publically available. This should be streamlined through verification processes such as irecord yet it seems to take forever. |
| | in county, due to lack of resources to develop existing data sharing functionality. Access to records submitted in offline formats and in some national schemes is also difficult. Getting my records onto the NBN and publically available. This should be streamlined through verification processes such as irecord yet it seems to take forever. Submission of records remains my biggest bottleneck. I create very high quality records with a lot of associated habitat data etc. which takes too long to input Also internet speeds and data limits restricting upload of photographs. |
| RECORDER | in county, due to lack of resources to develop existing data sharing functionality. Access to records submitted in offline formats and in some national schemes is also difficult. Getting my records onto the NBN and publically available. This should be streamlined through verification processes such as irecord yet it seems to take forever. Submission of records remains my biggest bottleneck. I create very high quality records with a lot of associated habitat data etc. which takes too long to input Also internet speeds and data limits restricting upload of photographs. See above: Not sure if anything is working well because there is no active LERC in county information to LERC Centre but it is a slow and time-consuming process which is hard to justify with |
| RECORDER RECORDER | in county, due to lack of resources to develop existing data sharing functionality. Access to records submitted in offline formats and in some national schemes is also difficult. Getting my records onto the NBN and publically available. This should be streamlined through verification processes such as irecord yet it seems to take forever. Submission of records remains my biggest bottleneck. I create very high quality records with a lot of associated habitat data etc. which takes too long to input Also internet speeds and data limits restricting upload of photographs. See above: Not sure if anything is working well because there is no active LERC in county information to LERC Centre but it is a slow and time-consuming process which is hard to justify with a heavy workload in which biodiversity is only a small part. |
| RECORDER RECORDER RECORDER | in county, due to lack of resources to develop existing data sharing functionality. Access to records submitted in offline formats and in some national schemes is also difficult. Getting my records onto the NBN and publically available. This should be streamlined through verification processes such as irecord yet it seems to take forever. Submission of records remains my biggest bottleneck. I create very high quality records with a lot of associated habitat data etc. which takes too long to input Also internet speeds and data limits restricting upload of photographs. See above: Not sure if anything is working well because there is no active LERC in county information to LERC Centre but it is a slow and time-consuming process which is hard to justify with |

| RECORDER | Training and mentoring others is absolutely crucial to get more people involved but I have only such time and I need to make a living as a priority. More funding by Govt/Lottery for training/mentoring would be appreciated. |
|----------|--|
| RECORDER | I have trouble knowing which data should be given to which organization and who shares data with who. For instance I tend to hand in casual sightings to my local record center and more structured surveys to the organisations who run them such as BTO and BCT but some things are less clear. For example I am a member of organisation and record pecies but as they make money out of records I don't know if they share that data with NBN/local records centers who also make money from the records. It is too much trouble to send in records twice so I tend to just pick one organization or another. |
| RECORDER | Accessible training for the next generation of recorders |
| RECORDER | collection for national datasets can be problematic as even though you collect the data in the correct way competing |
| RECORDER | projects want you to change your ways of recording to fit with their particular aims It is very difficult to submit and use records as a commercial organisation without going directly through the LERC and |
| RECORDER | paying a fee. |
| RECORDER | The transfer of data from recorders to the NBN has always seemed to be confusing. As a recorder I submit records to the active recording schemes for taxon but also to the LERC local Records Centre. I have to assume that all of these records are then passed to the NBN but I know from experience that this is not the case. This potentially results in the duplication of records. I realise that for invertebrates this is perhaps inevitable since there are liable to be difficulties with the verification of records. It is also difficult to find out who is also collecting taxon records in Scotland and who to go to for assistance and advice. I am sure that this is even more relevant for those starting out recording. |
| RECORDER | Submission of records to local record centre has proved unsatisfactory as my records have not appeared yet on their database This hobby/interest is now almost wholly funded from personal income/pension e.g. travel expenses, overnight accommodation, collecting equipment, identification books, computer hardware & software, training events and conferences, and especially lack of work space and storage facilities, etc. |
| RECORDER | Gaining access to low costs training in software and data analysis. A a community led research group we have no direct access to academic libraries etc. |
| RECORDER | WE are what you would call enthusiastic and developing citizen scientists. However, you need to make it easy for citizen scientists. The world of records seems very complex. We want to know that if we submit a record of a bird or a moth for example to irecord that this information will be cascaded down or along to other data bases for specific species. We don't want to keep submitting records to lots of places. We in addition to submitting records to irecord we submit records to RSPB GardenWatch, Butterfly Count and monthly records to the Bee Conservation Trust. |
| RECORDER | Time - lack of. |
| RECORDER | Generally I'm happy with all the systems as they are Sometimes it can be hard to attract course tutors to run events (particularly if they live some distance away). Many will do this for free, but given the time, effort and expenses they incur, it would be good to help fund these events (allowing their expertise to be spread to new recorders). |
| RECORDER | Still some areas where the ID material is poor e.g. insect ID keys |
| RECORDER | Internet search engines don't understand binomial names - so if you search for "Bellis perennis" in Google, you'll get Bellis perennis first, followed by all the Bellis and all the perennis. Usually this isn't a problem but if you search Google Images for something obscure it's not obvious when Google has broadened the search Flickr also contains a lot of useful photos, but they're not easy to search for and generally presented without names so no use to me. |
| RECORDER | In order to record insects I need permission to collect, equipment, modern useable keys, access to a reliable reference collection and/or help from experts with tricky identifications as I build up my own expertise, and finally a destination for my records. To be able to upload largish numbers of records at a time is essential I firmly believe that keys should be available online as "living" documents that can be updated when valid feedback is provided or new species are added to the UK list. Checking species that are new to me against reliably-named specimens is time consuming so having a reference collection that is not too far away is helpful. Sadly what we're seeing is the effective closure of collections and the non-replacement of staff when they retire or worse are made redundant I provide all the above at no cost to the "system". I expect my records to be made freely available to non-commercial and academic users. |
| RECORDER | 1. Not all organisations which collect and/or collate data seem to share that data with the relevant Local Record Centres or the NBN and I feel strongly that this is something we must pursue and encourage to be able to move forwards in the knowledge that we are all working towards the same aims 2. The proliferation of recording areas concerns me greatly. We now have data being sent to many individual recording schemes (local, regional and national) as well as to the NBN directly and to Local Record Centres (plus others I am sure). This makes things very difficult for recorders who have to keep track of where they have sent records and where they have not and it certainly does not help data duplication and I assume changes as it goes along different lines. I strongly feel that Local Record Centres should be the main local collection/collation points for ALL records, that LRCs should go to lengths to ensure that all data (following validation/verification) is uploaded to the NBN, and that those wishing to access this data should obtain it from either the relevant LRC or LRCs or from the NBN. Fragmentation of the recording effort and complication of data paths is hampering the development of the recording base and hindering further positive evolution of the recording community and how it uses |
| RECORDER | Proliferation of recording schemes means it can be problematic deciding where to send data to reduce problems of duplication (e.g. do you send your taxon results to taxon recording scheme only or in addition send to county recorder, local records centre, etc, etc). Also, some recording schemes are better than others at forwarding data to other providers again complicating process Quite a lot of variation in how different taxonomic groups work with recording community. Some are very active and offer lots of opportunities to learn through courses and field trips and others act more as just a talking shop. Would be good to see more of the former Museum reference collections are increasingly becoming limited to major cities only meaning access less easy for some or just simply costly |
| RECORDER | Access to some sites is getting difficult but also permission to collect axon - essential for accurate identification of species which often need microscopy. Some organisations./ landowners are becoming paranoid about collecting because of constraints on collecting edible species (especially commercially). Unfortunately there is a great deal of ill-informed misinformation. Others see it as an opportunity to make money and we have been asked upwards of £100 to foray some dishes. We decline to do so! |
| RECORDER | commitment and willingness to participate |
| RECORDER | Lack of issue- free online recording software |
| RECORDER | Submitting records via Mapmate and continually having problems synchronising with county recorder. |

| RECORDER | It is not always clear whether records are passed further up or down the recording hierarchy and so whether or not records should be submitted to different schemes. |
|----------|---|
| RECORDER | As a recorder, I collect in various counties and countries, across a range of taxonomic groups. I want to share my records with LRCs, NBN, county recorders, recording schemes etc. but this would be incredibly time consuming so it puts me off sharing records. As a result, I tend to just share records with national schemes rather than at the local level so that I do not have to send out hundreds of emails a year. This is my biggest issue with recording in the UK; there are far too many levels and it is over-complicated by the sheer number of organisations/groups at both local and national levels For some citizen science projects, it is not clear where the data collected ends up. Also, those data collected are not always very reliable so this needs to be considered before they are uploaded to the NBN, for example. The 'Great British Bee Count' is one example of a citizen science project, which is great for public engagement, but not so great in terms of data reliability. As a person who wants to use and collect data that is useful for the purpose of conservation I find it frustrating that many of |
| RECORDER | the present recording organisations do not collect quantitative data presence or absence is pretty valueless. The BSBI is particularly bad at this. We frequently count rare plants by walking round their sites clicking a GPS each time we find one. The files created in this way are very robust and can of course be plotted onto maps There needs to be a standardised format n some means for storing them |
| RECORDER | Development data is not shared readily and not collected efficiently |
| RECORDER | More advanced search options in NBN to search for certain groups e.g. protected species by area (preferably site boundary rather than 1km square Access to reference collections - National Museums Scotland allows access to collections for reference use, but the very limited number of staff mean this is only available for certain taxonomic groups at certain times A lack of recording groups for many taxa including taxon as a whole. This inhibits the collection and sharing of records and expertise Sharing of biological records from ecological consultancies, government organisations and NGOs/recording groups. For example some taxonomic recording schemes do not share their data with NBN, which makes searching for certain groups more onerous Periods when NBN gateway is very slow or stops processing requests. |
| RECORDER | Limited funds to collect data is a constraint. Confidentiality issues associated with sharing data records. |
| RECORDER | Not found any problems in current searches but use is relatively low to date. |
| RECORDER | participating as an active member of citizen science projects or recording activities have been a bit difficult because there are so many of them and often because one, I don't have much time and two, I don't have self transportation to get there easily. |
| RECORDER | Getting sufficient reliable data to make an informed decision; Better maintenance of NBN so that there are far less 'down' days, Better data management of NBN with far less duplicated records |
| RECORDER | Data sharing with local record centre (LERC). Terms of sharing offered are unfair. |
| RECORDER | The potential of the Internet and online resources is only at a fraction of its potential. Recording apps are improving but cover limited taxa. Habitat - related cross-taxa recording apps and resources are virtually non-existent. E. G. An NVC identification app would be great to guide recording. |
| RECORDER | The endless insecurity on funding is debilitating and the risk of loss of staff is significant. |
| RECORDER | Introduction and increasing use of iRecord makes my role as recorder/verifier more complex, time-consuming and stressful than it was, but it does have its plus points and should improve over time, I hope Loss of base county records by previous recorder makes me feel uneasy. |
| RECORDER | My time to process the records and my lack of computer skills. |
| RECORDER | The data we record is requested in far too many different ways. For some species groups organisations want detail that I would only be able to provide if I were an expert, and casual observations of presence absence don't seem to be sufficient. Even is some groups I am familiar with, I cannot just report seeing species x at site y. I have to register a site - BTO Bird track has gone down this sort of route meaning I no longer use it for most casual observations. |
| RECORDER | Gaining the skills and experience locally - but it all takes time and commitment, I suppose. |
| RECORDER | There is always the tension between the ways in which records get picked up by the local groups and get onto the NBN gateway. Frustrating as I can see that many of my records have never made it onto the national database despite being submitted to the local recorders. |
| RECORDER | Finding time to do it. |
| RECORDER | Inconsistent recording criteria between local and national schemes and different record input systems can mean entering the same data more than once in different systems Communication and data-sharing between county recorders, LRCs and national schemes can be slow, incomplete or even completely absent. Again this may mean submitting the same records more than once and may lead to duplicate records in datasets Many online data submission systems do not accept spreadsheets. Manual entry can be very time-consuming, especially for recorders with lots of records for multiple taxonomic groups. |
| RECORDER | It's working I'm having fun with this. It would stop working if I couldn't ask for help with ids. |
| RECORDER | There is nothing problematic other than finding time to actually get out and do survey work Fife Nature is TOP CLASS |
| RECORDER | I would like to know what records are useful? e.g. is it really worth sending mammal records of common species . to the Local Records Centre? - Knowing which recording scheme to use - e.g I will now record Bird records on Bird Track and will not submit these to the local records centre |
| RECORDER | Time taken to submit records |
| RECORDER | Reference material is hard to obtain in area. There are no natural history museums closer than photographs on reliable web sites are almost as good. |
| RECORDER | Data management because not very computer literate Also with a moth trap catch of 20+ species a night it is much quicker to write them down than search through a computer spread sheet. |
| RECORDER | Grid ref can be an issue for recorders |
| RECORDER | When I send email records to the council about alien species I rarely get any response so am tend to be less enthusiastic about sending additional ones. |
| RECORDER | Although I am grateful that local councils apparently support and foster all kinds of different ecological, environmental and biological initiatives - studies, groups and activities - I find dealing with "the council" challenging. As with all British public institutions, the overwhelming attitude emanating from Jo Council-employee is one of suspicion and distrust. The default position of many on the council is to assume a person contacting them has fallen behind in payments of some sort, is some |

| | kind of threat to vulnerable people, needs or wants a benefit, or represents a trendy-lefty viewpoint that is incompatible with council systems. I fall into none of these categories and would prefer it if interface with the environmental arm was separate from general council matters. |
|----------|---|
| RECORDER | Data sharing has improved significantly with the advent of iRecord, but more needs to be done to develop a robust data sharing network that ensures all data gets where it is needed. |
| RECORDER | There is never enough time / money to support in all the of the ways that we would like. In particular, we feel that supported regular staff time is vital in fully involving volunteers over time. |
| RECORDER | Perhaps too many access points for recording - would like a one stop shop which means that local records are used locally. |
| RECORDER | I am the only active vc recorder in county () So, another vc recorder, for county would be very helpful. |
| RECORDER | Lack of funding for individuals Funding is only available to organisations |
| RECORDER | Exchange of data between different recording databases and organisations. to many barriers in the way. |
| RECORDER | The National Databases are sometimes a let-down - this applies to the publically available sites such as NBN and GBIF but also my own County records I find it sometimes difficult to interrogate individual records or Datasets - owing to the sites slowness on the Web, NBN specially, being slow or unavailable some of the time - I find some records, many even, are not shown on the National Databases - suggesting that some collectors or collators of records are not submitting to the National databases. |
| RECORDER | Too many routes with which to send in records. Amongst some of these routes there is the bear minimum amount of detail to produce a record but insufficient to inspire confidence in the recorder. In several cases some of the smaller routes to do provide contact information to allow the county recorder/verifier to send any queries to the recorder. |
| RECORDER | I have a lot of record of species and habitats not submitted as there is no easy way to submit these records to NBN. |
| RECORDER | Lack of clarity on which recording schemes will accept iRecord data. |
| RECORDER | Training or self-study (sometimes) |
| RECORDER | Data from the BTO is very out of date on the NBN Not all local recorders are making full use of iRecord. |
| RECORDER | Getting casual records verified on iRecord. Our organisation has a bespoke form on there that volunteers use to record wildlife sightings, but of ∎000 records over the last 4 years, only around ∎00 have been verified. |
| RECORDER | Different schemes, people, and projects use different species checklists. |
| RECORDER | I have no issues It seems strange that commercial companies can access data and use the information to support commercial gain whilst record centres can struggle to finance themselves. It is however good that the data is being used to inform planning decisions and I would not want to reduce the use of data. |
| RECORDER | National to Local Recording transfer of data |
| RECORDER | Not all recording schemes or LERCs contributing to NBN so often distribution is unclear as several sources have to be consulted for a clear picture of species distribution Lack of funding |
| RECORDER | more, or even some, funding / employment opportunities more streamlined data entry - |
| RECORDER | There can be a lack of change from one survey to the next and from year to year so boredom can be an issue. |
| RECORDER | The centralised LERC database is THE main problem. It does NOT reflect the "cleaned" databases managed for by strong this has led to immense frustration now going on for over 12 years In essence the LERC database (taxon & species in particular) is full of errors and erroneous records - they have been offered the cleaned datasets but are unwilling to over write their datasets therefore what they share with others is NOT a reflection of the verified records frustrating to say the least. |
| RECORDER | I find IT recording systems complicated. I'm not a technophobe, just inept when it comes to navigating systems online. |
| RECORDER | some taxons are not verified frequently enough on iRecord |
| RECORDER | It would be advantageous to have a local recording centre as relying on a single individual, no matter how efficient and reliable, is "putting all our eggs in one basket". |
| RECORDER | It can at times be difficult to identify resources to help with the identification of certain species groups. Online resources are sometimes of dubious accuracy and reliability Trying to find local support can be difficult for the 'enthusiastic amateur' with limited skills On-line feedback varies hugely between different species and groups. Submission of records to eg irecord is easy, but if records are not checked or verified for months/years this can be demoralising or demotivating (ie whats the point of recording if no-one is interested in the record) |
| RECORDER | Training or self-study to increase your own skills as a Recorder or Data Collector. |
| RECORDER | Co-operation at an organisational level between the voluntary recording community and conservation bodies is not always as effective positive as it should be. There is more rivalry and less mutual understanding than there should be. I'd like to see voluntary recording serving the needs of conservation better and conservation bodies being more directly supportive of recording generally. |
| RECORDER | We have begun recording data digitally on GIS but this needs to developed internally for sharing amongst other users - i.e. local recorder at Local Records Centre. |
| RECORDER | Managing my own records and extracting/reporting datasets from them. I do a lot of work on specimens that can take months if not years to conclusively identify. Increasing knowledge (mine) and taxonomic revisions result in many specimens being re-identified. Extracting and reporting retrospective records and re-identifications is not straightforward as recording packages don't support it well (I use Mapmate but cannot figure out how to synch records with other users or recorders using different recording packages) Incomplete or out-of-date species libraries in recording packages. Mapmate does not cover some groups that I work on and has limited capacity for updating taxonomic revisions Getting difficult specimens identified by referees: the Post Office is not always willing to send specimens in the post and has a bad record for damaging them. Couriers or larger, safer packaging are expensive. |
| RECORDER | The percentage of records that are verified after submission to iRecord is very small. This is de-motivating for recorders when they do not see their records moving on to the NBN Gateway From a personal point of view it is often tempting to send records to multiple schemes in the hope that they will be used by someone. E.g. for invasive species I have found that sending the record directly to the landowner or manager has been the only way to ensure any action is taken It is also unclear which organisations share their records with others and also which use records from others |
| RECORDER | Main issue (for me personally) is lack of funding for local events (eg training as mentioned in 2/19) Record Centre is a |

| | vital focus point but again the problem of funding, getting tougher as grant aid is being cut with more organisations having to compete for a diminishing pot. This results with projects not being able to go ahead (eg to engage and inform the general public). Loca Authority money is also likely to vanish for anything other than what is perceived as important to the Council Tax Payers. A circular problem, if events and publicity cannot be funded to inform and educate the public, then they will not see the value of biological recording, so they will not vote to fund it! I live in a rural county with only a couple of populated centres roughly in the centre with miles to the far west (populated centres) and about miles to the east so recording in the less known areas is difficult, time consuming and costly (travelling etc). |
|----------|---|
| RECORDER | Data management of our own records. We are currently in need of a bespoke database, which is proving hard to find/create, or to find time to create. |
| RECORDER | clunky search engines, tedious and time consuming data submission forms, duplication of information across different organisations and requests to supply data that is already available elsewhere! Too many 'apps' requiring different platforms or access to internet in the field where it isn't available. 'Apps' that are inflexible about ad-hoc locations. |
| RECORDER | Being able to upload a photograph online of the recording would be advantageous for referring back. |
| RECORDER | Knowing who to send an ad hoc record to - there are so many and you do not know if they communicate with each other. In my village, we have a village page linked to iRecord; we are in the local Recording Group Area and they have their own collection; I have an app that links to the Society and then there is NBN It is also insulting to send in a record of a brown hare and be told that this is a species which is difficult to identify so I need to have it assessed! |
| RECORDER | Sometimes transport for volunteers is an issue. |
| RECORDER | training courses sometimes not local and difficult to travel to by public transport - |
| RECORDER | There are too many different organisations and different places to record the data - difficult to figure out who to give your data to sometimes. e.g. irecord, ispot etc. |
| RECORDER | Sharing of mapping data. Sometimes distribution maps not available on particular websites (not linked to NBN for some reason) |
| RECORDER | sharing of data from organisations such as SNH & SEPA |
| RECORDER | Data flows - in particular with advent of online recording and app, there are so many more data out there and it is an increasingly difficult burden on data collation time/resources. Also, for lots of recorders, despite best intentions, recording schemes, records centres, etc., cannot enact smooth data flow pathways so recorders are sometimes being asked for same data from multiple sources and sometimes data that goes to one source is "lost" in that it is not integrated into the recognised and validated regional datasets, |
| RECORDER | I tried I-spot but couldn't work with it. There is often a long time lapse between supplying photo & specimens and getting ID confirmed. Sometimes I think some recorders are not really doing anything with the records I provide as and just rely on their own research/finds |
| RECORDER | Use of reference materials to check distribution of species. This does not apply to gaining access to similar recourses in Region. |
| RECORDER | As ever the long term funding of volunteer support officers is an issue as it funding the data mobilisation. The BDS has an unpaid volunteer Country Recorder but the number of records coming in has now increased massively over the last 10 years and this creates a capacity issue. Likewise with the data flow is mow run out and data mobilisation is reliant on one expert volunteer who cannot go on doing it for ever. The data flow is working pretty well but the speed at which records can be mobilised will be hampered by a reduced capacity to do so A one stop shop for all biological recording voluntary activities based regionally would be a useful focus. The data flow is provide this to an extent but does not have the capacity to deliver volunteer support to a number of new recorders. Many natural history organisations are struggling with an aging membership and a difficulty in getting new office bearers, so finding a way to support these organisations or finding a new way to make them sustainable is something that needs to be addressed. |
| RECORDER | I do not have any particular difficulties at my level of recording as an individual member of the public. |
| RECORDER | Far too many competing routes for submitting records (mostly online now, but the few remaining paper ones aren't without problems too). Hardly any collect all info in the most useful format; even the best of them are unnecessarily clunky; the worst are awful. Some don't even work with some common web browsers. (If Amazon & Tesco had such poorly-designed online forms, they'd never sell a single product!) Even after a record has been submitted, there are often very long delays before records submitted to some schemes are published on NBN - if ever (some just seem to disappear forever) Difficult or impossible for contributors to most schemes to select & view their own records after submission, much less edit them (the BTO is an exception). Even scheme verifiers can find it difficult to manage records in iRecord (e.g. to delete an erroneous record), even if they are alerted to a problem immediately. A few records submitted to iRecord seen to become genuinely lost - I don't just mean they don't reach NBN, they are lost from Indicia. |
| RECORDER | Introduce systematics to University courses so the amateurs can call on this expertise in their quest to identify |
| RECORDER | Some databases are quite difficult to use but premisation does give us support when we get into difficulty. |
| RECORDER | Living in a remote mountainous area it is not always possible to get out when needed. I am also increasingly concerned about sporting estate muir burning methods and unregulated fencing which makes walking and species recording difficult. Also the mass slaughter of species such as mountain hare in my area. |
| RECORDER | I'm afraid I am only involved in activities that I either do through my profession, or that fit in well. There are other activities which I cannot participate so easily because usually their timing coincides with when I am busiest in my work - I don't think that can be changed. |
| RECORDER | More promotion / coverage - More on outcomes of what is recorded / done - answering the so what question |
| RECORDER | Lack of funding for record keeping / collating for recording group. |
| RECORDER | The thing that doesn't work well is the identification and assessment of records from schemes that are outwith the National Moth Recording Scheme as detailed above: My primary interests are moth recording and bird recording and the collection and management of these records by the Butterfly Conservation National Moth Recording Scheme (BC NMRS) and the British Trust for Ornithology/Scottish Ornithologists Club respectively works well. I'm the taxon recorder for VC and the only difficulty I have is in identifying and obtaining moth records that have been submitted to other recording schemes and various on-line applications e.g. iRecord/iSpot and my own local Record Group. These records tend to be casual records often from non-specialists, however they can be very valuable as they may come from visitors to areas that moth recorders with traps might not visit. In county this is very much the case where |

| | there are very few resident recorders. Whilst BS NMRS do their best to repatriate records that they have identified from these various schemes it is often difficult to assess the records as the records contact details may not be readily accessible and the requirement for an acceptable record may have not been met. Most moth-ers who operate traps as residents or visitors to county, do submit their records to the CMR but I'm sure there are many good records don't get. There is a limit to the time most CMRs will devote to "mining" all these schemes, and dealing with the problems of assessing these records. |
|----------|--|
| RECORDER | Beewalk website I find to be a bit counterintuitive. |
| RECORDER | I haven't had access to reference material since I retired, so I'm not always able to submit a record in case my ID was wrong. I dislike killing things and often a photo isn't enough for someone else to ID a specimen What is working less well for me nowadays is the tempting training sessions that are on offer that I can't get to. I think this is more my problem than the organisers', however. There are events not too far away, that I miss due to poor time management I like to keep my own equipment, and I am currently using a TrophyCam with a busted hinge because replacement hinges aren't sold; but mostly I would like to find out more about how this camera actually works, with questions that practical training doesn't seem to address Most of my records are for plants or animals I wasn't expecting to see, hence, common species don't get into my records very often. |
| RECORDER | I need some individual training on things as simple as loading photographs but such skills seem to be assumed these days |
| RECORDER | Access to records from many parts of Scotland is not at capture level and is only presence or absence making them of limited use. |
| RECORDER | As I said above I have become less active due to other commitments as well as creeping age! |
| RECORDER | Generally I find what I am looking for For submitting records the calgories system works well for me. |
| RECORDER | It can be difficult to find relevant resources; much of what's available has a N American bias. I am gradually building up a list of worthwhile UK resources but it would be good if a fuller list were available centrally for all to use. |
| RECORDER | Deciding which record centre to submit records to. |
| RECORDER | Nothing in particular apart from my own ignorance. |
| RECORDER | online form not very user friendly & sometimes act oddly eg Capcha verification |
| RECORDER | no comments one way or the other |
| RECORDER | There are a lot of venues through which to send data. However, not all of these seem to flow through to where the data needs to be For example, one may submit data to ARC via NARRS or their "record pool" - but that data does not seem to go anywhere but ARC. It receives no local verification prior to reaching NBN for example, and can if generated by novice recorders provide data which are not valuable Or one may also submit to Froglife where there is equally poor data flow - and data are not fed back to the local records centres where it would be of more value Thus, accessing these data actually proves exceptionally problematic, or impossible. |
| RECORDER | It would be good if all data collectors and schemes used irecord. |
| RECORDER | growth in the number of online recording/observation websites and apps not affiliated to a recording scheme and verification/validation of data and repatriation of local data Lack of local expertise |
| RECORDER | Quality of cetacean methods vary and standardisation is a greater issue due to wide range of stakeholders that collect these data for different purposes. Data access can also be problematic due to issues concerning IPRs, commercial value of data, etc. |
| RECORDER | NBN should act as an umbrella and final place for records but its quality control is so poor that its record sets are hopelessly unreliable and I avoid it The proliferation of digital recording schemes and apps is very confusing for a recorder who tries to collate data sets RECORDER is far too clunky and full to be usable by normal recorders |
| RECORDER | The flow of data from recorders to the people who need them isn't clear. In fact it appears to confused, disjointed and inefficient. I often don't know who to supply my data to and who will eventually have access to them when I do (I want maximum exposure of the records I collect) Related to this is the lack of visibility of my (and others) records. When I submit them to a scheme I expect them to make their way on to the NBN (at a good resolution) so that I can see the contributions I'm making and see the distribution of things I'm interested in. |
| RECORDER | as above, but my biggest issue is just sitting down and making the time to sort out my records and submit them regularly. |
| RECORDER | It would be a help if formal training days in the area of Scotland did not need travelling for more than 1-2 hours to reach the site. |
| RECORDER | It can be difficult to submit records whilst out on site. I have started using apps to help with this. Would be good if there was an app from our local record centre. |
| RECORDER | Some LBRCs and individual recording schemes do not provide a useful professional and/or efficient service. Some rely on individuals/volunteers who so not have the time/resources to provide a professional service NBN is notoriously cumbersome, user unfriendly and unresponsive. Increasingly it has become necessary, albeit more time consuming, to approach individual organizations directly, which negates the purpose of having NBN in the first place The recent requirement to obtain individual permissions from data providers has made the process even less efficient. Data providers should make their data (unless confidential) freely available as a principle or at the very least specify what purposes the data can and cannot be used for. For queries/liaison, it should be possible to open communication directly with the data provider rather than anonymously via NBN as a middle man. NBN unnecessarily complicates and delays the process On mammal recording scheme I provided data to was very slow in uploading data (e.g. it took at least six months for data to appear on their web site). A query about whether my data had been received was dealt with in a pretty unprofessional manner and as a consequence I stopped providing data to this scheme, which is not in the spirit of biological recording I am also aware that, sadly, some schemes do not co-operate and share data between themselves. Such parochialism is not in the spirit of biological recording wither and harms rather than benefits it At the other extreme, the BTO's very successful and widely shared Birdtrack recording system is being heavily promoted with (as yet) no scientifically demonstrated benefit, a fact indirectly acknowledged by the need to conduct further research into how this data set can be used. The system can be hugely beneficial if it can be demonstrated to be scientifically valid and could be another example of how to collect and freely share large volumes of biological data. |
| RECORDER | Having got used to using the NBN Gateway, the new 'Atlas' website seems much more difficult to use, at least for the kinds of things I want to do Just getting out and about to record in a large and sparsely-populated area with poor infrastructure is becoming increasingly expensive. It would be nice if some grants were available to assist with travelling expenses It would help individual recorders if the local group was able to loan out equipment such as bat detectors, microscopes, trail |

| | cameras etc, but there is no money for this kind of thing, and nowhere to store stuff since we have no premises (other than people's houses). |
|----------|---|
| RECORDER | Sometimes there are several different organisations or groups that cover the same or similar subjects, and it becomes difficult to know which to join or assist with. There is usually no obvious statement of how the different bodies collaborate or share data. There is also a general worry that contributing to some data records may make data available to members of the public who would use the data for bad purposes e.g. badger baiters. |
| RECORDER | Reporting to national schemes for individual organisations but would also like to enter ad hoc records can be collated nationally - unsure that this happens |
| RECORDER | we have limited staff and so the sample sizes can be kept small |
| RECORDER | Knowing how and where data is used e.g. sending bird records to a local bird report - how are those records captured elsewhere, are they being used elsewhere? |
| RECORDER | I am aware I will not be getting to others that might come across this species. I ask all the current surveyors each year if they know of anyone else and this grows slowly. |
| RECORDER | Training in identification is too infrequent and I can't often attend by the time I find out about it. |
| RECORDER | as a beginner in taxon, it would be good there was a local taxon group to exchange experiences with |
| RECORDER | Lack of co-ordination, access to data, knowing where data goes |
| RECORDER | JNCC Seabird Monitoring Programme information is woefully out of date. The publically available online database on population size has not been updated since Oct 2010. It is difficult to access data on seabird breeding success, or European Seabirds at Sea data. Clearly this monitoring programme, which is of vital importance, is under-resourced |
| RECORDER | It is a challenge to widen the number of people recording data, while keeping a close eye on the quality and accuracy of the records. Since the system is dependent on volunteers, a priority is training and mentorship Also, time is taken up checking and validating the records submitted, to ensure 'clean' data-sets. |
| RECORDER | Recording ad-hoc data |
| RECORDER | It is sometimes unclear to which organisation casual records should be sent, either because a sighting is in a certain geographical area, or to avoid duplication of records, or out of ignorance that the organisation even exists It can be disheartening if records don't appear (even provisionally) on the species distribution maps on an organisation's website, though it's understandable if they are short-staffed Sometimes I experience difficulties with a reporting website (e.g. selection maps are blank or form an incomplete mosaic; drop down species selection lists are also sometimes blank); I haven't discovered the cause, it may well be my computer but it's still frustrating when it occurs. |
| RECORDER | Wildlife records for geographical areas (of Scotland) without organised local recording schemes and where the taxonomic |
| RECORDER | group is also not covered by a national recording scheme, leading to 'gaps' in recording coverage. There is not an emphasis on submitting recordings individually as there is usually a general species list collated and submitted. I do not have much experience of submitting my own records and am therefore not very confident about doing so. However, I would be able to get advice from members of the groups on this. |
| RECORDER | national scheme changed their computer recording system last year and I had problems with it, resolved by those at HQ, but it is too soon to say whether it will be easy this year or not (no taxon seen so far) |
| RECORDER | Lack of continuity in species dictionaries. Shortage of joined up thinking. Shortage of taxonomists. |
| RECORDER | Lack of co-ordination and in some cases even active disengagement with regards record sharing Different recording systems/spreadsheets |
| RECORDER | It all works pretty smoothly. |
| RECORDER | Data Management |
| RECORDER | Accessing up to date data from NBN and making sure RSPB datasets and those of other organisations are accessible to everyone, as well as being up to date. |
| RECORDER | Too many different systems for different taxa. For example, I rarely pass on my butterfly records as I can't add them to BirdTrack, but I do add some dragonfly records. So some of my data is being lost in terms of its broader use. I don't have time to use multiple systems, and only use BirdTrack. |
| RECORDER | Works not well: if you want the data to be available for multiple users, you have to submit data to various schemes as not all organisations freely exchange data between each other |
| RECORDER | Access to records on the NBN Gateway has been problematical over the last few years Serious reservations about AoLS and whether it meets the needs of amateur recorders and recording groups - Working in an under-recorded areas and finding that access to data is either restricted, only available at low resolution and repeatedly having to ask every time I wish to access a restricted dataset. We need an open data policy - Access to scientific journals for amateur recorders is prohibitively expensive (c. £35 per download) which limits access to information on identification, distribution, taxonomy etc. |
| RECORDER | As a county recorder I am sent data from some online sites such as irecord. there seems to be proliferation of casual recording sites with different standards and some of this data may not get back to me or the national scheme |
| RECORDER | As a casual, ad-hoc general, member of the public recorder it is not always clear where best to submit mixed records to obtain maximum value for minimum effort. Many people have never thought of submitting records from their gardens or surrounding and have no idea how useful that would be. |
| RECORDER | The lack of (up to date) identification literature for some taxonomic groups- particularly for arthropod groups The unclear connections between recording organisations- between schemes, groups, and ultimately iRecord and NBN atlas. |
| RECORDER | Communication is sometimes difficult- finding your nearest ringing trainer or county moth recorder for example. |
| RECORDER | Data management of own records - getting them from notebook to computer more efficiently! Using BirdTrack will help greatly with this, once I start using it. |
| RECORDER | Data flow to national schemes and other interested parties is still not ideal, and the switch from NBN Gateway to NBN Atlas will make dataflow almost impossible to achieve whilst keeping data safe from misuse |
| RECORDER | Across the UKOTs, there is no consistency in any monitoring programs, data collection or curation approach. |
| RECORDER | Collating records from citizen science and judging their worth (and reliability) is an increasing problem. Getting more people to record what they see is great, but there comes a point when the data being generated is of little or no use, and it takes a long time to sift through the chaff to find the wheat. |
| RECORDER | It's frustrating to submit records and not then see dots appear on maps. Not everyone is picking up records from IRecord, and I don't have time to submit my records in a number of formats to a number of people across taxonomic groups and |

| | geographic areas. |
|----------|--|
| RECORDER | Ensuring open access to data - Identifying opportunities for data integration and/or interrogation both reduce value add from data collected |
| RECORDER | The increasing popularity of photography can make it harder to get across the message that natural history, recording and conservation sometimes still requires specimens to be retained and studied. The loss of museum funding makes this situation worse, resulting in people becoming less familiar with the value of specimens, and less able to find out how to get involved at that level Access to land for recording, especially when specimens are required, seems to be getting more difficult - permission often has to be applied for in advance, assuming that a landowner can be found, which makes it difficult to take advantage of unplanned spare time Online technologies such as iRecord are making it easier to share data, but there is still a lack of consensus between recording schemes and records centres as to how data can be shared and stored. |
| RECORDER | Converting interested people into hard core taxonomists or specialist recorders is problematic despite the amazing reference material now available as literature and on the web and despite the great concern in young generations for the health of the environment. As a museum curator, getting across the need to take a small number of samples to enable accurate identification of species eg of invertebrate is a difficult message There is now huge confusion in amateur recorders as to how best to report data to ensure it is used most effectively. National recording initiatives do not seem to have appreciated the role that LERCs perform in getting data to local decision makers and that free access to all data threatens this supply of information and although a laudable aim there needs to be a system put in place to fill the gap of LERCs if they are unable to survive financially as a result. |
| RECORDER | Fragmentation - data held in multiple places - supply of grid references in Lat Long format from irecord apps |
| RECORDER | The least successful area for me is concerned with keeping abreast of national schemes and their requirements. I would prefer to submit my records in one lump and they often span across a dozen national schemes. |
| RECORDER | It is important to be able to have a search function that is not restricted in terms of search terms. The full range of data present in the original submission plus any additional information added subsequently should be available to use as search terms. It is currently not possible to do this using iRecord. If the search options available are restricted then the usefulness of the platform is dramatically reduced. |
| RECORDER | There is a lack of one-to-one mentoring for new/ developing botanical recorders. However, there are opportunities to engage with experts in group outings/ workshops. The vice-county recorder network is ageing and not all VCR are au fait with the technology Data entry is a time consuming task I started using BirdTrack, but found it quicker to type up in Excel There is also a need to keep a track of where you send records, to avoid duplication. |
| RECORDER | Local - confusion among recorders now as to where to send data because of conflicting messages from national data custodians. Lack of funding streams. Reliant on data enquiries to sustain income (because of lack of funding) yet national bodies insist on making that data freely available to the detriment of that income, whilst not identifying alternative income streams Regional - Lack of enthusiasm to work at a regional level due to lack of funds National - Poor data flow advice with little agreement among all concerned. Emphasis on data use by national bodies with little regard to how data is actually used locally and regionally. This also impacts on funding streams for data gathering, collation, management and dissemination potentially jeopardising mobilisation of data. Too much data appears to be used once, eg for national atlases or DEFRA projects, and sitting in data warehouses with unrealistic access for critical users such as planners, LA ecologists and conservation managers, developers and project managers. Too much data is being 'grabbed' by one off schemes and national bodies resourced sufficiently to produce apps that would appeal to recorders who largely are unaware their data is not used efficiently in the way they expected Despite much recent insistence, from Natural England to change LERC structures, governance, change data systems and prioritise data capture for their own needs, to the detriment of local wishes, as a requirement for funding via annual service agreements they stopped all agreements and such funding This meant LERCs were/are susceptible to increased jeopardy and increased costs to enable them to readjust structures etc to other user needs |
| RECORDER | Data services such as NBN wanting to publish everything open source with no return to recorders Data services such as irecord expecting all data to be good quality and expect volunteer verifiers to check data day in day out and expect no return |
| RECORDER | Information on species distribution and records is not always up to date and of more concern is that some schemes, organisations (including national) and recorders do not make their information publicly available. |
| RECORDER | reaching more people to submit records - PR - on site advertising - working with boat based potential contributors |
| RECORDER | The main issue is finding volunteers who are reliable and willing to participate for more than the short-term WeBS counts and despite the increasing popularity of birdwatching find it difficult to find volunteers willing to commit to counting a site one day a month. |
| RECORDER | It can be an expensive hobby and although moth traps and generators are available to borrow from Butterfly Conservation, it would be good to be able to access "Citizen Science" funding for personal purchases. Maybe that already exists, in which case the details should be easier to find. |
| RECORDER | Viewing records for specific species or groups is a rather long-winded process currently (via iRecord) Lack of funding for scheme organisers to run identification training workshops. We want them to be free to encourage more new recorders, but cost are unavoidably incurred by us as the organisers. |
| RECORDER | Finding time to do some of the recording (also weather issues when doing transect) - Lack of active BRCs for some areas for which I have data. |
| RECORDER | Records not always being verified on online systems |
| RECORDER | Data flow can be confusing. Locally many recording groups are now using iRecord to capture data and share it with the local environmental record centre. However it's not always easy to find out if iRecord data is being picked up and used by national schemes and societies, or if you should submit the records via another route. More transparency around data flows and verification would be helpful. |
| RECORDER | Issues with some LERC species maps that link to NBN Gateway due to taxonomic name changes, so only most recent records since name change occurred are displayed - this seems to be beyond LERC 's control. LERC also do their best to update maps, but with small number of staff with large workload, it may be several months before these appear. However, these are usually more reliable than NBN maps that contain some erroneous datasets (& currently don't have most up-to-date LERC or Butterfly Conservation data). There are also issues with National Moth Recording Scheme maps: MothsCount website says 'We are currently unable to display the NMRS provisional macro-moth distribution maps using webservices due to technological incompatibility with our website and the new NBN Gateway. We are working on a solution to this and will let you know when this problem is resolved.' These are only provisional maps though, as updated |

| | maps will only be made publically available when national atlas is published in 2018. |
|---------------------------|--|
| RECORDER | A) Too many routes of data transfer. It's confusing - especially for beginners but not only. I'd support moving to centralise to one national biodiversity records portal - though the recording landscape is probably already too fractured?? This makes data verification a lot more complicated than it could be B) Projects that are not run by "amateur" idealists are usually too short-term these days. That is not in keeping with the very nature of biodiversity research or conservation needs. This needs changing. The problem is down to funding cycles - so alternatives have to be developed C) Budgets to help with travel costs etc for volunteers seem to be a ubiquitous issue in the nature conservation world D) Access to more advanced courses on free species ID for volunteers seems to be very much missing E) We have to rely on too many low skilled volunteers. Too few ecologically trained professionals and specialists seem to be able to have time to volunteer their skills in the NGO conservation field outside of their work place. Is there a way to get specialists more involved with volunteers? - They are needed to guide projects. I've straddled both worlds in area and found the separation of the two "tribes" concerning F) Too many biodiversity duties are pushed from local authorities onto the shoulders of "cost free" volunteers as they keep getting rid of biodiversity staff, like ranger services and biodiversity officers. Not sure how that could get addressed from our end. |
| RECORDER | No problems encountered so far. |
| RECORDER | I do not have any problems with what I do just now in relation to my own records, though I would like more biological recording apps. |
| RECORDER | Aware that despite working in the sector, I don't hear about all of the different schemes looking for records Can be difficult to know where is best to submit data to. Don't want to cause duplication, but at the same time want it to be available for local decision making as well as specific study or research. Not always clear if I submit records via one survey or to one scheme, if it will all be made available to the LERC or NBN Atlas |
| RECORDER | Can't think of anything specific. |
| RECORDER | No current problems. |
| RECORDER SCHEME OPERATOR | Not every birder is using the same system for keeping their records - there are multiple online systems for instance. Many birders are also technophobes too (much like a lot of naturalists too, probably) which also adds to the issues. See answers for 'data recorder' section: There is never enough time / money to support in all the of the ways that we would |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | like. In particular, we feel that supported regular staff time is vital in fully involving volunteers over time. Receiving and passing on records is complicated and difficult because some people or records centres do not allow us to |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | release the records they give us. Managing historic data supplied by individuals/record centres under license is problematic as we are limited to how we can use the data (e.g. they aren't open). One example of this is us not being able to transfer our data management software of |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | choice (iRecord) as it's an open platform. We would like to organise effective local networks for volunteers participating in the scheme, while taking into account data |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | protection issues. Obtaining funding to maintain long term recording of the UK Seabird Monitoring Programme and periodic seabird census |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | lack of funds available for all concerned Pressure from national bodies to conform to their need first rather than the needs of recorders and local data managers |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | Issues with computer literacy and use of the recording website. |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | Recruiting, supporting, training and mentoring your Scheme's Recorders and Verifiers - volunteers now have many more options for participating in citizen science than ever before, so extra effort is required to recruit them and keep them engaged in our projects. However funding to support and encourage volunteers is extremely difficult to come by Fundraising and grant reporting - funding for biodiversity monitoring is under continual squeeze. |
| SCHEME OPERATOR | The way the recording scheme I'm involved with is set up, local coordinators all hold a local 'master copy' of the database. The national scheme then takes a copy each year, to be combined into one national dataset I've found it quite challenging at times administering my own database system (I currently use MapMate) locally, as it can be difficult to access technical support when things go wrong, or if you're trying to undertake some complex data analysis. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Data management in order to provide services is very problematic and takes up far too much time, affecting the amount of development we can do. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Capacity to undertake continual organisational and personal development when staff capacity is limited through funding pressures. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | See previous sections: While we have been successful in bids for a number of recording projects, long term sustainable funding (like in everything!) is needed. Having sufficient staff resources would overcome most issues. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | With a large and diverse range of users across the whole of the UK and beyond, it is impossible to create something that every single person is happy with. We need to prioritise and work for the majority, even though this will not please everyone. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | We are not well known outside the biodiversity recording sector. Users of the NBN Gateway have not always made the link to the NBN there is an expectation that we should be delivering more than we do. It is not widely understood that we are a small team with no guaranteed funding. Many members do not contribute financially but expect services for a reduced cost There is still a lack of trust by some users based on misinformation from years ago - a feeling that we are going to sell their data and make it available at higher resolutions without permission. The NBN Atlas should help to cement the links and overcome old obstacles There is still a reluctance by large conservation organisations to share data at all. eg WWT, Woodland Trust Many users eg academics and consultants are not contributing to the NBN but are significant users. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Training and self-study. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Support for Recorder 6 limited. Database has many flaws/ problems. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | lack of funds |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Public sector cuts have squeezed our income in recent years. This has not really hampered our capacity to fulfill our aims, but more senior officer time is spent on negotiating funding than is sustainable in the long term. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Lack of a common standard in the formatting and components of records The same (or slightly altered) records arriving from more than one source, thus increasing the risk of duplication in our database |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Financial constraints on development of services. Uncertainty over the sustainability of funding to enable good long term planning. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Technology is the key barrier as is uncertainty of funding I think there are also knowledge gaps (mainly around data |

| | management) to provide the best possible services to data users too. |
|------------------|--|
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Insufficient capacity to make use of all available tools (e.g. web services) - Short-term funding agreements carry high overheads and breed continual uncertainty - Inconsistency of approaches to using available data (e.g. data not used to screen forestry grant applications - why not) - Engagement by some Local Authorities and not others - some use data widely in planning process, others not at all |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | The withdrawal of access controls from the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure introduces some problems: As a data provider, we no longer have a straightforward mechanism for sharing high resolution data with national partners such as the Environment Agency As a data user, we no longer have a straightforward mechanism for accessing high resolution data from the national recording schemes, for use in our data request services The lack of a long-term plan for supporting Recorder6 is a risk for us, as it forms the basis of our core systems. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | I receive wildlife records in various formats, some of which are hand written and subject to interpretation As part of a organisation our ability to encourage recorders is limited due to a lack of a dedicated website (not permitted). The also has rules with regards to security and the Recorder 6 software package is no longer customisable by us due to this issue. We now have to rely on the organisation or the Recorder software. The same is true for the NBN Record Cleaner. |
| SERVICE PROVIDER | Though some time is spent on all of the services selected above, often it is not as much as we would like (e.g. development and continual improvement of services; technical development; showcasing services) as time resource is very tight. Often things get to the ideas stage but do not progress to action due to time Again with time and money tight, the inclination is often to make do with what we have because it is doing the job, even if we know there will be more innovative ways to do things. Though technical development would pay off in the long-term, the short-term disruption and time and expense required can often be a barrier Money is increasingly tight for everyone so there will be need to develop and provide additional services. However, it can be hard to find the time for innovation and with small organisations, knowhow may not be in-house to know how to take it to fruition Engaging new audiences can be challenging, particularly younger people. Expert recorders are aging and there is a need for succession planning Ensuring compliance can be very time consuming. With changing portals and licencing (e.g. NBN Atlas and Open Data) and rights to records staying with the original recorder, it can be difficult to ensure that an LERC has all the necessary permissions. When originally incorporated into the LERC database, they will have had the correct permissions to use as required, but agreements may not have covered all eventualities (crystal balls would be very useful!). This can be a barrier to sharing data and extremely time consuming to sort out Often rely on forums for technical queries that cannot be resolved in house. Can be incredibly useful, but at other times, questions won't be answered - others have their own things to get on with! Though if it was a piece of work or project, if expertise was required, an expert (e.g. one of the Recorder experts) could be contracted in, if it is purely a query or check, that isn't really feasible. |
| SERVICE USER | Data availability is problematic and not as good as it should be due to lack of LERC resources and lack of training available to us as a service user and to the LERC as a web developer |
| SERVICE USER | Very limited data coverage for this area Very limited budget to access LERC services. |
| SERVICE USER | Obtaining 'inverse' data, i.e. species per site rather than sites per species. |
| SERVICE USER | Lack of data submission to LERC by key organisations e.g. SNH. |
| SERVICE USER | Its very expensive for small consultancies The data provided is sparse, unverified and often out of date, and doesn't cover key groups of species. |
| SERVICE USER | Records are often historical |
| SERVICE USER | Funding is insecure and we are lucky that our staff have not moved on I think we need to develop additional services so that new income streams can be developed to make the centre more robust. This requires investment which is hard to source at this time It would help if there was a consistent policy across Scotland so that our LERC was seen to be part of a coherent push to provide similar service across the country This would also make it easier to get local authorities to treat LERCs as service providers and therefore worthy of support. |
| SERVICE USER | Too early in our working relationship with our LRC to know. |
| SERVICE USER | I suppose a one stop shop with all data housed with whole country coverage would be easier but that would require common standards and independent funding of records centres covering all of Scotland that are currently reliant on income from commercial activity (i.e. selling data to developers and consultants). |
| SERVICE USER | Same |
| SERVICE USER | Most events are far away. In the big cities or London, not practical, or financially sensible to travel to. |
| SERVICE USER | Increasing number of services and training events that require to be paid for is a concern for volunteers. It might lead to loss of recorders, especially those on lower incomes. |
| SERVICE USER | As not all data collectors share their data, there are unnecessary gaps in data provision. |
| SERVICE USER | Some of the people who come to courses are clearly consultants who see it as free training and never submit records |
| SERVICE USER | lack of easily available local information Lack of data for more specialised taxonomic groups |
| SERVICE USER | Imminent demise of the Gateway and EasyMaps. |
| SERVICE USER | Some areas of Scotland do not seem to be covered with dedicated data recording at present. This makes it difficult to submit records relating to those areas, and is not conducive to encouraging public participation in recording. |
| SERVICE USER | NBN Gateway often was slow or did not work (pink squares!) so could not access information. |
| SERVICE USER | national support, lack of funds |
| SERVICE USER | A lack of data for certain local authority areas makes supplying biodiversity evidence to the planning process much less effective. This lack of data also make quantitative prioritisation of action on species and habitats less effective. These issues are being targeted by a temporary funded project across area area. (a) to create a records centre as an extension of LERC. |
| SERVICE USER | NBN Atlas is preventing data flow |
| VERIFIER | not being able to see up to date maps |
| VERIFIER | Recording equipment (moth traps, generators, batteries microscopes, dissection materials) are expensive and require constant maintenance and upgrade Equipment (static bat detectors and moth traps) get stolen and the cost of this has to |

| | be borne by the recorder as there is no insurance scheme which would pay out for such losses of unattended equipment Lack of time for verification of records, particularly if dissection is needed to confirm ID of specimen. |
|----------|--|
| VERIFIER | Some of the species I work with a very unknown so can be difficult to get accurate descriptions/evidence to compare ID |
| VERNITER | components |
| VERIFIER | I am not aware of a standard specification/qualification etc for recorders and I imagine that this will vary enormously between taxonomic groups. For taxon there is no formal 'County Recorder' system and am acting in a voluntary capacity for the record centre. Some sort of central guidance would help. |
| | Not easy to use museum reference material as there is no reference collection in local museums Most local county/city |
| VERIFIER | museums do not make/store collections any more because of financial constraints or lack of qualified curatorial manpower. They pass on their biological collections to the National Museums I have to liaise or go to the National Museums of Scotland in Edinburgh to get a good reference collection. |
| VERIFIER | Finding the source of some records. There are numerous records held by NBN, LRCs, NGO's, Recorders and schemes which lack vouchers or the source of the data is unknown, meaning that verification is difficult to impossible. |
| VERIFIER | Same answer in 2/19 |
| VERIFIER | Specialist ID e.g. requiring a specimen, genitalia preparation etc. is still a weakness with invertebrate ID. Will need development of better methodologies and better dissemination of how to do it along with the availability of equipment. |
| VERIFIER | My increasingly poor makes microscopy and fine manipulation of specimens increasingly difficult. Genitalia dissection and other delicate procedures are now beyond me. I am seriously frustrated by my limitations but there is not a lot that can be done about this. For me though this is definitely the greatest problem / challenge that I face. |
| VERIFIER | There are so many places where records could be stored, so it is difficult to know how much searching to do to find records for your county. Once you find the records, it takes time to sort their format and verify them. The more systems they are held in, the more complicated the process of amalgamating them it. |
| VERIFIER | There are problems with iRecord in my area; some have been addressed but it's complicated I'm still recording on a county AND a vice-county basis - that's complicated too. With iRecord, I will not see some records of interest to me. The recorders in my local history society differ in their approach to vice-county and county records The records submitted via iRecord are to some extent more casual than previously; this bias will tend to ignore difficult and scarcer species. |
| VERIFIER | unskilled recorders jumping to conclusions about species identification, ie mink v otter Takes time to talk to the recorder about the sighting and details. |
| VERIFIER | A standard format for submission to recorders that is used by all to submit records would make verifying more efficient. |
| VERIFIER | Work needed on our database to flag up unusual sightings. Time required to incorporate verification with other tasks. |
| VERIFIER | People in this role are elderly and not being replaced by younger volunteers as they retire. Little and decreasing levels of expenses support available against increasing costs. |
| VERIFIER | Too many differing recording schemes/methods, some with no opportunity to effectively contact the original recorder. Recorders who are perhaps not sure of how to submit records in a format that eases the task of the county recorder as a substantial amount of reformatting is often required, A single scheme could arguably address this last point. |
| VERIFIER | Records submitted using recorders' own spreadsheets Getting data submissions from some recorders Other online recording systems: - iSpot isn't a recording system, but some recorders believe that it is Butterfly Conservation's own recording system. |
| VERIFIER | Getting access to training courses as I am so far from where most of them occur. Funding attendance of those courses. |
| VERIFIER | Lack of funding |
| VERIFIER | As before LERC |
| VERIFIER | Scarcity of local recorders in my patch. Poor access arrangements to collections in Museum. Low scientific value of the records I am being asked to verify (There is an inbuilt conundrum here - if the record is of something common and expected, you are more likely to accept it than if it is out of the ordinary and potentially more valuable) I find it very difficult and frustrating to learn and remember how to use some of the less intuitive recording software, especially when handbooks or instructions are mainly on-line and drafted in a language that assumes you are on top of the jargon, or when the relevant information is not all held in one place |
| VERIFIER | When refereeing, I get to keep the specimens so posting them back isn't a problem for me:-) If I were to do more of this for specialised groups, returning specimens would not be easy (costs and the difficulty in getting to post office in working hours) |
| VERIFIER | Often verifier time constraints are a barrier to getting larger datasets verified iRecord is not a reliable alternative due to lack of verification for certain groups in certain locations. Records submitted to ispot have insufficient data and cause more work for county recorders (as do some other online |
| VERIFIER | methods of recording) |
| VERIFIER | The verification works well for me as on the most part it is done by someone else. |
| VERIFIER | No problems just the inability to get publicity for texon even though we have made some spectacular new finds. Have a dedicated science correspondent for the national newspapers to publicise how important records are in our understanding of shifts in climate |
| VERIFIER | The ability to easily to identify and recover records from other schemes - is a problem. Nobody withholds records but you can spend an awful lot of time getting to such records and assessing them. |
| VERIFIER | The time to set up training and mentoring events is a bit of an issue as, by definition, it takes place in an already busy field season |
| VERIFIER | While the local network of regular recorders works well, the systems for picking up ad hoc records are a nightmare. There is duplication from systems such as iRecord and data from the local biological records centre. There are too many variations in how data from online resources arrives with me - all systems for example should use a common personal name interface. Some records arrive without personal contact details so that it is impossible to contact the recorder. Some systems do not require recorders to submit a place name, and a grid ref. is deemed to be sufficient. The combination of various issues makes verification extremely difficult such that it becomes an exercise in confirming conventional wisdom. If I cannot follow up a sighting of a rare species then the record is rejected even if it is plausible - |
| VERIFIER | The proliferation of digital recording 'schemes'. I can't find all the relevant records and they often are not in a standard format and are missing data. |
| VERIFIER | confused/broken data flows and a plethora of divergent recording systems makes it hard to access all the data out there and prevent duplication of verification There could be an improvement in/refinement of some of the verification rules in iRecord. |

| VERIFIER | There are not enough verifiers in the species group I work on taxon so large areas of the country do not have a verifier. Even where there are verifiers, people sometimes have to wait a long time before their record gets verified (including by me! - We are all busy people) Slow broadband speed has been a significant barrier to efficient verification, especially where photos are involved - they took ages to appear on screen. But a new local service provider has recently become available and it's amazing how this has improved the speed at which I am able to verify! |
|----------|---|
| VERIFIER | (see answers to same question in previous section): It is a challenge to widen the number of people recording data, while keeping a close eye on the quality and accuracy of the records. Since the system is dependent on volunteers, a priority is training and mentorship Also, time is taken up checking and validating the records submitted, to ensure 'clean' data-sets. |
| VERIFIER | As a verifier on iRecord I am asked to verify identifications which have no evidence. This is nonsense. NBN is filling up with incorrect identifications from Seasearch divers, government scientists and contractors. Incorrect records distort mapped information and are hard to remove from NBN. I have flagged likely incorrect records in the past but I need to easily see the source of any outlying or suspicious records. Also in this day of species splitting with DNA evidence there are cases where all records of a species must be flagged as aggregate species. A simple example is the common grey sea slug, Aeolidia papillosa, which is now known to be two species. Most of the records of A. papillosa from shores in the UK and Ireland are probably Aeolidia filomenae. See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aeolidia_filomenae for a reference to the DNA study. In fact it is not certain how to identify the two species any more and more research is needed to discover reliable external characters for identification and habitat preferences of these two species. |
| VERIFIER | Bird recorder - fewer people are submitting written evidence to substantiate their records |
| VERIFIER | People undertaking to do surveys but not carrying them out or, carrying them out & not submitting the data. |
| VERIFIER | Open data policy for biological records - Can be difficult to find a verifier for more obscure taxa |
| VERIFIER | lack of local recorders. records that do not get sent to the national scheme People that do not know of the national scheme or recorders |
| VERIFIER | Verification of Citizen Science records and judging their worth (and reliability) is an increasing problem. Getting more people to record what they see is great, but there comes a point when the data being generated is of little or no use, and it takes a long time to sift through the chaff to find the wheat. And there is often a lot of chaff. |
| VERIFIER | The high degree of interest and the funded projects that have focused on encouraging new recorders are welcome, but have resulted in large numbers of relatively inexperienced recorders wanting to get involved, and often relying on a small pool of more experienced people to provide or check identifications, verify records, and provide mentoring and tuition. This is rewarding but time is finite and it is not always possible to keep up with demand The sheer volume of information and the number of people with which to engage can be overwhelming. Online systems are getting better but are still problematic in some areas and insufficiently resourced As a verifier I still have to spend lots of time managing and collating data that arrives in different formats from different places, with mismatches in species names being especially problematic. |
| VERIFIER | It is difficult to get across to younger people the need for accurate verification/identification and the limitations of photography Finding time to check other people's records if increasingly difficult. |
| VERIFIER | The local BSBI recorders insistence plant records should go to them and not to the LERC yet as they are involved in the new national atlas. Since local planners and ecologists need relevant data much quicker than the BSBI recorders are able to do and they need it in the formats they use, not simply as 1km resolution maps The same recorders also suggest they are the only such experts able to verify plant data As a LERC response |
| VERIFIER | Data services like NBN that rely heavily on volunteer recording community to provide high quality data free and open-source without enough support |
| VERIFIER | There are many on-line recording methods - iRecord, Facebook groups, other Apps and so on. There is no formal way of gathering records from these schemes. Records can be uploaded with recorder aliases that are effectively anonymous and so the recorder cannot be contacted for verification purposes. Many good county records are ignored or lost as a result. |
| VERIFIER | See section B (3/19): Viewing records for specific species or groups is a rather long-winded process currently (via iRecord) Lack of funding for scheme organisers to run identification training workshops. We want them to be free to encourage more new recorders, but costs are unavoidably incurred by us as the organisers. |
| VERIFIER | No issues for the ones I verify. |
| VERIFIER | Online verification rules are sometimes out of date or based on incomplete data. |
| VERIFIER | Issues with some LERC species maps that link to NBN Gateway due to taxonomic name changes, so only most recent records since name change occurred are displayed - this seems to be beyond LERC 's control. LERC also do their best to update maps, but with small number of staff with large workload, it may be several months before these appear. However, these are usually more reliable than NBN maps that contain some erroneous datasets (& currently don't have most up-to-date LERC or Butterfly Conservation data). There are also issues with National Moth Recording Scheme maps: MothsCount website says 'We are currently unable to display the NMRS provisional macro-moth distribution maps using web services due to technological incompatibility with our website and the new NBN Gateway. We are working on a solution to this and will let you know when this problem is resolved.' These are only provisional maps though, as updated maps will only be made publically available when national atlas is published in 2018. It was time-consuming to find useful records from NBN Gateway datasets among several erroneous ones & also to avoid duplication of records already in LERC database. It can also be difficult to track down records from other sources not submitted directly to me or LERC & make contact with original recorders, though Butterfly Conservation sorted & forwarded iRecord & outstanding RiS data to VC taxon recorders this year to aid verification for inclusion in national taxon atlas. |
| VERIFIER | Records requiring descriptions normally need followed up; many are not submitting, those that are generally need chased; many observers believe once posted on social media that is the end of the recording process, or at least that is the end of their interest in it ad hoc records can be found all over social media and I do not have time to systematically gather all of them e-bird is taking off and requires work converting from American species names |
| | |

APPENDIX 6: List of priorities for SBIF attention < back to contents>

All respondents were asked the following question:

Given that the SBIF Review could potentially identify and facilitate many key improvements across our biological recording network, what would be your top three priorities for earliest or greatest attention?

All responses are presented below classified by the following broad themes:

- ACCESS TO EIA DATA
- ACCESS TO EXPERTS AND OTHER RESOURCES
- AN IMPROVED NATIONAL TO LOCAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE
- FULL COVERAGE OF SCOTLAND
- FUNCTIONALITY AND EASE OF USE OF ONLINE TOOLS
- IMPROVE RECORDING OF FFFORT AND ABSENCE
- IMPROVED COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION, REDUCED DUPLICATION
- IMPROVED DATA AVAILABILITY
- IMPROVED DATA QUALITY
- OPEN DATA
- OTHER
- OUTREACH, NETWORKING, TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING
- PROMOTION OF THE VALUE OF BIODIVERSITY DATA AND RECORDING
- RECOGNITION AND FEEDBACK
- RECORDING OF PRIORITY OR UNDER-RECORDED SITES OR SPECIES
- STANDARDISATION. CONSOLIDATION OR CENTRALISATION
- SUFFICIENT SUSTAINABLE RESOURCING
- USE OF BIODIVERSITY DATA FOR DECISION-MAKING
- VERIFICATION

THEME: ACCESS TO EIA DATA

A lot of data is collected by developers but not publically available to other developers.

Encourage local authorities to make all ecological data submitted in support of planning applications publicly available so Scheme Recorders can get data into databases.

Engage commercial developers to share their biological data, especially from EIAs, by showing them the benefits that can be gained (e.g. from adjacent developments, case studies, etc.). Developers are not necessarily opposed to data sharing but need to be proactively approached.

Get all development data collected as part of the planning process

Involving consultants more in this process - getting them engaged and getting commercially collected data into the system

Making the data which comes out of post construction monitoring more accessible -so it is actually possible to look at impacts of constructions

THEME: ACCESS TO EXPERTS AND OTHER RESOURCES

Access to local experts and equipment

Assisting volunteers in accessing sites in the field

Improve access to identification materials, etc.

Increasing up to date ID resources (keys etc).

THEME: AN IMPROVED NATIONAL TO LOCAL DATA INFRASTRUCTURE

A distinction or separate platform for historical pre-1990 records

A new system for data management. Recorder 6 is an old bit of software that is STILL seen as the best product for the job. This needs a replacement.

Ability for the single system to cascade records down to species specific systems

Address data management at local level

An easier way to link charges of data supply for commercial use to revenue going back to the running of record centres e.g. a levy on all planning applications being paid to ERCs.

Avoid the need for records to be entered through different systems for different taxa $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

Better organisation of the records and the community without the need for so many questionnaires!

Clear structure so we know who is doing what

Clearer use of data for commercial purposes.

Coordinating or overarching structure for Scotland

Create an SBIF for England

Create one place where people can get records.

Creation of new or strengthening of existing local or regional biological data centres

Develop and propose an integrated national to local structure to deliver SBIFs aims.

Development of strategic level products

Elimination of parallel systems and partial datasets, so that there is a single, effective, 'go to' resource for all biological records - e.g. NBN Atlas

enable easier system where our data can be loaded onto national marine plan interactive map.

Establish a network of regional centres to support biological recording (paid, not voluntary)

Get broad agreement on this structure from stakeholders.

Having a National Data base recording system for all taxa

lobby for funding to have a central database staffed by paid professionals

More efficient Local Records Centres

More joined up systems - fewer, bigger, better!

national recording centre with local backup

National web site

One online location which provides a portal to data discovery and data download

Promoting the Local Environmental Record Centre network and their ability to support recording

Provide support and training and national processes for data flow and verification through the BRC, NBN and national schemes and LERCs

provision of a professional (i.e. funded) national verification service

Rationalisation of funding and support for smaller groups

Recorder6: Develop a plan for continued development / support for the Recorder6 software, or a suitable successor capable of managing the big, structured data-holdings held by LERCs and national schemes.

Replace NBN by an efficient and responsive Scottish data portal

Resolve conflict over LERC funding versus desire for free access to environmental data

Securing the network of LRCs

Set up funded and staffed data hubs in areas which currently don't have them.

Simplify the many diverse recording systems in place

Simplifying the system (I know that's the whole point)

Single repository for all records

Support and enhance the role of existing LRC's

THEME: CLARITY ON, AND IMPROVEMENT OF, DATA FLOWS

A single place or single method to input records

A structured mechanism for getting records validated and onto the NBN Gateway not dependent on one person.

Access controls on NBN Atlas

Access controls: Review the situation regarding provision of access controls on the NBN's data-sharing infrastructure.

Accreditation for users to quickly gain access sensitive records

Agree a coherent data flow

All recorders to support key online recording scheme (iRecord would be my preference)

Better promotion of national monitoring schemes (again, following the BTO's lead with birds)

Building consensus over data flows

Clarify data pathways for recorders / data collectors.

Clear and specific data flows (current model is vague and general). May need to be per taxon group; one size fits all may not work.

Consolidation of LRCs as the main recording points for receipt of records.

Consolidation of records from multiple sources

Convincing organisations, businesses and individuals to submit and share data.

Cross referencing system so casual records are directed to the appropriate organisation; avoid duplication and missed records.

data access controls on NBN Atlas

Data exchange between online databases

Data flow between organisations.

Data flow between organisations/schemes

Data flows

Data sharing (helping mobilise data from collection to data partners)

Ease of gathering, verifying and passing records onto the relevant recording scheme.

easier collection channels - so many option - confusing

Easier submission of data for commercial consultancies.

Easy access to others information

Encourage use of iRecord

Encouraging submissions to be made using email or apps.

Ensuring that records are passed on to all levels of the system

Ensuring that records can be held and made available at varying resolutions for security purposes

Getting verifier data on to maps asap

I'm confused by all the options available for recording - which ones are connected to each other, which are "best" etc

Improving data flow pathways between LRC and various recording schemes and NBN.

Improving mechanisms for data submission and flow

Lists of recognised and reputable recording schemes, how to access them etc

Moves to ensure that all data received by LRCs, once validated and verified, is quickly uploaded to the NBN.

Much faster and more transparent pathway for processing, verifying and publishing these data

one-stop, easy submission of records, my records then forwarded to LERC, Recording Scheme etc

Promote national schemes as the preferred route for submitting records to the local recorder/verifier who can provide feedback

Promote NBN Atlas (Scotland) as the tool for all data sharing

Promoting good recording practice and data flow without adding to the confusion by creating new recording websites.

Promotion of the numerous methods that can be used to record and send records

Provide a clear data path for recorders related to all the different ways data can be submitted to a plethora of schemes

Providing a mechanism for sharing sensitive records with key users

Proving a single access point for biological data with a standard data format and licence conditions

Rationalise data flow and somehow bring together all the various schemes for appropriate verification

Reduce the number of differing recording schemes.

Simplified mechanisms for transferring information to Record Centres

Simplify data flows

Simplifying the data flow pathway so everybody knows where to send data to make it most effective.

Since feeding all data into a central, accessible location is probably unachievable, it would be good to at least provide links to other potential sources of additional data on any individual species to ensure no available data source is missed

Sorting out access/data request/editing controls/sensitivity issues on the NBN atlas.

Speed up the process of making records available to the public

Streamlining data flow processes to minimise duplication and create consequent savings in resources

Streamlining of data entry for different groups

THEME: FULL COVERAGE OF SCOTLAND

a Biodiversity Records Centre for Tayside

A local records centre

all regions should have biological recording centres

Biological recording support for all local areas

Ensure that all local areas have an established LRC

Ensuring baseline LERC resourcing/coverage is consistent across Scotland

Establish a comprehensive recording system for Scotland so that all areas are covered

Establishment of a record centre or similar in Dundee / Angus

Even coverage of Scotland by a network of local biological record centres

Even provision of biological records centres across Scotland

Full coverage of PROPERLY FUNDED LERC s across Scotland

Full geographic coverage of Scotland

Full national coverage by LERCs

Get all regions on a standardised recording system

Get Scotland coverage with sustainable data management

Good LERC coverage with a focus on securing comprehensive, up to date data sets for all areas

Improve record keeping and storage of information in Angus area

local records centre in all areas

Local Records Centre provision across all of Scotland

Provision and support for a recording network that adequately covers the whole of Scotland and all practicable taxonomic groups.

Provision of the kind of service provided by LERCs (including data searches and recorder support, etc.) for all areas in Scotland

Support a LRC network across Scotland as the hub of local and national recording

Support the establishment of a network of LERCs across the Country $\,$

Support the existing Local Record Centres to expand where they reasonably could - eg NESBReC could be expanded to include Angus. Fife could be expanded to include Perth & Kinross and Dundee. Our Cities are very important too.

Uniform coverage of Local Record Centres across scotland

THEME: FUNCTIONALITY AND EASE OF USE OF ONLINE TOOLS A level within the system to make it accessible to citizen scientists A single portal accessible by one click of a button!! A sophisticated database for personal use. A workable national online platform where recorders can easily view the product of their efforts A workable, reliable simple NBN Gateway replacement. Probably an upgraded NBN Gateway Ability to add images to local recording forum Ability to enter data for all geographic areas of Scotland App development Better links to GIS data for reports investigations etc Better maintenance of NBN so that there are far less 'down' days Creating of a useable website to input data Creating of a useable website to interrogate data Detailed interrogation of individual records Development of key tools (iRecord) Digital reference collection ease of consulting / retrieving data Ease of display ease of entry of data easier method of submitted records easily useable cloud/web based records submission or recording tools Easy access online recording forms Easy recording for non-specialists Easy to enter records Ensure everyone has access to a simple online submission tool, wherever they are give volunteer recording more security when it comes to data management Have tick charts for the public I'd like printed detailed instructions on how to use the iRecord website that I can refer to each time I use it. Improve access to data by online customization Improve search functions Improve the iRecord website software. Improved search facilities in NBN gateway e.g. legally protected species Improving ease of data input online Improving system for dataset submission and management wrt NBN Atlas Improving the interface for data submission and retrieval Intuitive reference facility Keep it simple as far as technology goes keep the IT simple Lobby to make Atlas useful with access to record details maintain interactive mapping with pinpoint records of species Make download of data for specific areas easier. Make it easier to add records Make it easy for these recorders to submit to NBN or other national database Make upload easier and quicker Making it easier to submit records eg online Making sure the NBN Atlas is fit-for-purpose. More/better online platforms for submitting data (following the BTO's lead in this area) Need for a user-friendly and accessible tool for harnessing data Online portal(s) accepting spreadsheets Online version of Recorder 6 phone apps Provide easy-to-use offline database templates for a variety of recording schemes provide free online tools for recorders

Simplify NBN. Have all records in one place, freely accessible, but it needs to be more user and recorder friendly.

Up to date and easy to access & filter datasets

Up to date and easy to access maps

Update methods to incorporate modern technology

updating the SMP database to allow submission of bulk data (in an appropriate format)

user friendly online access to data

user friendly online data entry

Wider spread of hand-held electronic data recording devices and software for use in the field

THEME: IMPROVE RECORDING OF EFFORT AND ABSENCE

address distribution bias in atlases caused by lack of data or at least identify these areas. (here be dragons)

clear indication of how the records were collected so results can be compared meaningfully

Developing the systematic recording of recording effort as well as records

THEME: IMPROVED COORDINATION AND INTEGRATION, REDUCED DUPLICATION

A nationally co-ordinated network of local centres

Amalgamation of disparate schemes into one portal

Better communication between local and national levels

Better coordination of data input to NBN to avoid duplication of effort

Better data management of NBN with far less duplicated records

Better integration between datasets at local and national level and between organisations

Better networking between data collecting organisations

Better networking, less egos

Bringing independent data aggregation and supply organisations together to work with, not against, each other

Business cases to ensure there are no duplication of work

Consistent recording platforms

Coordinate all the different recording groups/schemes to ensure all data makes its way onto the system, not just sitting in notebooks & on personal/group computers.

Co-ordination between data holding groups to prevent duplication of work

Coordination between different groups and also national areas

Data integration

Data integration, e.g. BirdTrack/e-bird

Dealing with the plethora of recording systems to ensure that national scheme recorders can easily access records

Discourage proliferation of many online recording systems (including my own organisation's mational scheme

Ensuring local groups work together to collect all data and have a system to feed into the national data base

Exchange of records between different recording schemes/software/organisations.

Get all recorders participating in iRecord

Greater co-operation

Greater co-operation between national and local groups

Greater integration of different databases

Greater integration of small group interests

Improve effectiveness of working relationship between recording community and conservation authorities

Improve the present recording centres, making them available to accept and distribute records.

Integrated one stop shop for records

Join up the recording community more, especially LERCs and recording schemes.

Manage duplicate records better: identify original source and which are duplicates

Many fewer, but much better, routes for submitting records

more joined up working between organisations

More open communication to allow sharing of ideas

Note data samples that may be duplicates

 $\label{lem:condition} \textbf{Reduce duplication of entry (e.g. 1 \mbox{'m recording on Bird Track so will not record duplicate information)}$

reduce the number of reporting sites/systems

Remove preconceived ideas that scheme, recorders and verifiers have of systems other than their own.

simplify processes

technological incompatibility between NBN & other organisations' websites

THEME: IMPROVED DATA AVAILABILITY

Access to all records where consented

Better online access to records for recorders

Close up data gaps

Communicate what is available

Comprehensive recording of private findings where consented

conversion of paper / personal historical data (as much as can be found) and provided online

Co-ordinate effort to audit the currency of data, address gaps in data coverage and develop guidance on a structured approach to ensure nation-wide coverage for all taxon groups

data accessibility

data sharing

Data sharing capability

Data to be accessible

difficulty of finding all datasets of interest to county recorders/verifiers

Digitisation of paper datasets

ease of access

Ease of data access

Encourage SNH, SEPA, Forestry Commission to submit biological data into LERCS

Ensure that LRCs and recording schemes submit their records to the NBN

Ensuring that organisations that receive funding from public bodies like SNH make their data available to LERCs and NBN Atlas as standard.

Ensuring that records from all recording agencies are submitted to NBN and updated frequently.

Get affiliated organisations to make data available at capture level

Get up to date BTO data onto the NBN

Getting data more accessible across all the organisations that provide data

Greater ease of data availability

Improve access to vouchers, datasets

Improve availability of data through the NBN

Improving the accessibility and awareness of the data

Incorporate habitat areas and not just focus on point data

Increase data accessibility

Increased access to datasets e.g. from ecological consultancies, government organisations and recording groups

Increasing capacity for users to get and utilise data

Maintain publically available seabird monitoring database up to date - data available within a year of submission

Make all seabird data available for direct public download - colony counts, breeding success and European Seabirds at Sea data

Make data more easily available

More datasets

More freely available datasets

more records added to NBN Gateway

Need for more data to be publicly available

THEME: IMPROVED DATA QUALITY

An online database where wrong records can be publicised and corrected.

as above [establishment of a record centre or similar in Dundee / Angus] - this is vitally important. Current data is exceedingly historic and inaccurate

Data collection at higher resolution

Data quality

Data to be of a high quality

erroneous/doubtful records in datasets accessible via NBN

Getting data more up to date

How data is used to analyse trends

Improve the accuracy of non-sensitive records, focus on lepidoptera

improved location resolution of records

Inaccuracy of **LERC** databases (at least for the Taxa I deal with)

Improving base line data

Linking these datasets to NBN leads to erroneous records populating some maps

Look at how to link records with specimens.

More use of photographic evidence for records

Provide opportunity to indicate if a physical specimen linked to a record is available.

Quality control of data sets

Regular updating of maps showing presence of species

Retain metadata (e.g. source of data such as citation, voucher, image)

Some level of quality assurance that any source used is reliable and accepted as such for records.

updating the SMP database to include recent data

THEME: OPEN DATA

A review of open data which gives full and proper consideration to the sustainability of LERCs and holdings of privately collected and owned data.

Accessible data, freely available

All records made Open Access

Central data storage and open access

Encourage more people to make their records available without restrictions.

Encourage open data sharing

Establishment of open data principles across the biological recording network

Figuring out a way that certain organisations can continue to make money despite their data being open thereby encouraging them to add their data to the NBN atlas - it will only be useful if all the necessary data is up there.

Free, open, on-line access to all records.

How to make open data work

OD - investigating an appropriate way for it to operate within the sector

Open access

Open access of all data (with the usual provisos)

Open data

Open data culture

Preventing misuse of data in commercial activities

THEME: OTHER

a new national seabird census

Awareness of preciousness of what we still have (celebrating with / through arts and other not scientific channels)

 $Consider\ how\ data\ is\ used\ to\ make\ meaningful\ NON-ENVIRONMENTAL\ decisions\ in\ the\ public\ sector$

Fewer acronyms

I feel this document seems to be preoccupied with collecting data for academic use I want it for practical use

I would love for scientists to stop collecting 'specimens' by killing insects and sticking pins in them. Record without killing please.

increase taxonomic diversity

Keep listening to recorders

local knowledge and application

More emphasis on the marine environment

Seek the opinions of county recorders. Do not assume that they are represented by local biological record centres

Single point of contact

Speed of response

THEME: OUTREACH, NETWORKING, TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

A handbook on how to do biological recording .

Aiming to reach small communities and community councils

availability of keys

Awareness raising

Better publicity on recording schemes.

Bring on board more verifiers, training as required.

centralised publicity for highlighting what training events are available across Scotland (at all levels)

Community peer to peer development to develop enthusiasm and knowledge of citizen scientists

Creation/strengthening of a network of local wildlife rangers and biodiversity teams

Developing new recorders

Ease of finding groups or websites which could assist in identifying species etc.

Educate people in the UKOTs to value accurate data collection, storage and management

educating children

Education

Education - species identification

emphasis on citizen science

Enabling verifiers to help document data quality, but without swamping them or taking them for granted

Encourage involvement by communicating volunteer opportunities for citizen science e.g BTO Garden Birdwatch

Encourage more Universities to offer courses in Botany

Encourage people to get involved in data collection

Encourage people to specialise, take part in surveys and not be too generalist

Encourage Recorders to become ID course trainers

Encourage support for recording community from academics and consultants

Encouraging more record from new groups

Encouraging more volunteers

Engaging with young people

Enhancing the capability of the verification network either through training or recruiting new verifiers

Ensure that LERCs can play an active role in encouraging local recording, managing local data and interpreting this data to local people, groups and businesses

Get better support to the volunteers

Getting more people to submit their data for recording

Getting the word out more for others to be involved

Getting young people recording

Greatly increase the number of monitors to speed up recordings

Guidance on where to find information re identification, recording

I'd like to continue recording scientifically accurate data but I do not use social media and don't particularly want to "join in" socially, so need to be kept in the loop by email

Identification Courses

Increase identification training.

Increase public awareness of, and engagement in, simple surveys to expand the recording network.

Increase taxonomic expertise across Scotland

Increase the LERC's capability to conduct public recording events

Increased access to courses run by experts

increased and improved access to training to increase numbers of data collectors

Increased availability of training for some niche or specialist spcies

Increased networking opportunities for recorders

Increased training courses for taxonomic specialists

Increasing taxonomic expertise (particularly among younger age groups).

Investment in professional naturalists to provide local support to volunteers

Involve community in supported projects to increase biodiversity (e.g. plantations etc.)

Involve more volunteers by training skilled biologists in management.

Involve retired people in management of above.

Involvement of schools and universities

linking researchers with recorders

Local training to ensure recorded data is correct.

More local identification courses

More local opportunities to improve recording skills in the field

More training days

More training sessions

More volunteer support

Networking for researchers

Online resources for species ID

Paying more attention to developing skills over time, rather than focusing on bringing in more and more novices

Printed floras for Ireland's counties

Promote data collection among the public

provide easy access to learning about wildlife identification

Provide free training to local communities across the country.

Publicity of rare species in the media

Raising environmental awareness in the general public.

share with the wider public the wonder that is beneath their feet

Support a structured, ongoing identification and recording scheme for volunteers to develop expertise

Support for people who want to get involved

Support for taxonomic training schemes

Support local ID courses

supporting dissemination of out of print identification literature

Taxonomic training programmes for all to produce future expertise

Train and encourage more recorders of plant, fungi, and animal species

Training

Training

Training

Training

training and support for biological recorders to improve skills

training course eg as available from WLTrust

Training courses in identification of species

Training for use of new NBN Living Atlas (e.g gis visualisations for habitat and species "stepping stones" planning)

Training for volunteers, mainly in botanical identification and experience

Training if you want less usual taxa recorded

Training in using resources and record submission methods such as iRecord for amateur recorders.

training on suitable collection options. often an add on at events - perhaps a whole training session

Training opportunities (e.g. free/affordable 1-day workshops or field trips) in identification and recording techniques.

Training resources (in some species groups there appears to be no 'next generation' of fieldworkers)

Training surveyors in standard methods

Volunteer engagement

Wider engagement - making it easier to submit records & get involved in surveys

THEME: PROMOTION OF THE VALUE OF BIODIVERSITY DATA AND RECORDING

Provide greater public awareness of your work and value of recording at local levels

Be more publicly involved in discussions about recording

Greater priority on and interest in biodiversity recording among local authorities, Government and private companies leading to increased employment opportunities

Greater promotion and awareness raising of biological recording.

Improve recognition by national & local government and attract better funding

increase awareness of wildlife recording to the general public

Promote the services and value of the NBN Trust in supporting data in the UK

Promoting the importance of local recording as key for decision makers

Promoting value of, resourcing and access to physical collections with government

Promotion

Promotion of the importance of recording

Raise the profile of the recording community in the public and political eye

Recognition by local and Scottish governments of the importance of biological data

Scottish Government recognition of the importance of biodiversity data

to see governments valuing and supporting biological recording and setting national priorities we can all work towards to best support our common environmental goals

Wider appreciation that biological recording is important and that its results are meaningful and relevant to communities

THEME: RECOGNITION AND FEEDBACK

Acknowledging and celebrating role of LERCs

Celebrate the work of all recorders better

Datasets copyrighted to recorders

Encourage and value the enormous contribution of volunteers of all levels of ability/experience

Feedback on trends and information species context at a local level

Good feedback and recognition

Greater feedback on how data is used - I get lots from BirdTrack eg - just the opening screen can be inspiring

provide support for LERCs and local groups that acknowledge their role

Recognition of verification processes

Rewarding data collectors and recorders

THEME: RECORDING OF PRIORITY OR UNDER-RECORDED SITES OR SPECIES

forming specialist working groups to examine the status of specific taxonomic groups

Increase data for under-recorded areas and taxa

Prioritising collection of further data

system to prioritise recording of species that are struggling

THEME: STANDARDISATION, CONSOLIDATION OR CENTRALISATION

Avoid proliferation of recording methods

centralise records

collating records from across years to show changes in distribution and abundance

Common format for submitting records.

Condense the number of different places to record your data - keep it simple.

consistent approaches and services across Scotland with clear information on roles of all stakeholders

consistent record keeping and access to data throughout Scotland

Data to be collected in a consistent manner

Ensuring that data searches are standardized and proportionate

Establish standardised software

Everyone using the same species checklist, which is kept up to date.

Focus on institutions rather than individual recording

Have ONE recording scheme

maintaining up to date, online species checklists & libraries

Promote the standardisation of data entry processes to ensure maximum data quality is held.

Reduce the number of Recording Centres

Robust records all available in one place.

similar methods for collation of records

Single high level records system

Standard query service

Standardisation of approach in recording

Standardisation of useful and effective methods, and easy access to what these should be

Standardise recording methods

standardise recording schemes data input

Standardise sharing of data collected

Standardised recording criteria and clear, unambiguous data flow pathway to assist in data mobilisation

Streamlining of all the different recording schemes to a smaller number

supporting development/introduction of standard standalone recording software

Use of standard methods

THEME: SUFFICIENT SUSTAINABLE RESOURCING

Adequate funding

Agreement on priority needs and work on sourcing funding for delivery

 $Completing \ the \ network \ of \ LERCs \ in \ Scotland \ and \ ensuring \ that \ funding \ for \ LERCs \ is \ secure \ and \ sustainable.$

Core government funding for all LERCs so that all can provide completely open data to all

Encourage funding for Records Centres

Ensure LRCs are adequately funded on a long term basis (to avoid the managers spending time on funding applications)

Ensure that funding for biological recording and data provision is secure and sustainable.

Ensuring appropriate funding of the organisations involved

Financial support

Fund jobs for longer so staff are consistent & have experience

Fund training courses

Funding for BSBI

Funding for data collection

funding for data providers

Funding for education for recorders.

Funding for events/workshops to encourage more recording

Funding for groups to organise specific area recording days.

Funding for individual groups to manage records.

funding for local training events to improve skills

Funding for more conservation projects to be secured

funding for recorders or for people wanting to do specific research

Funding for recorders/LERC volunteers

Funding for recording schemes and local records centre

Funding for volunteers

Funding support for these centres

funding to ensure projects have a data collection/survey aspect

Funding to groups to provide training of recorders.

Funding to support recording locally

Get agreement on the minimum service that a LERC should provide and secure funding for this

Identify a business model to ensure sustainability into the future.

identify sustainable funds

If there was some money to pay a volunteer for processing data then some of the time pressures may be relieved; we have huge volunteer effort on recording generating many 10's of thousands of records annually but close to zero effort on assisting with managing those records

Improve iRecord through extra resource

increase money available to employ people to keep records checked and up to date

Increased funding for reference collection curation and retention of museum staff

Information on access to funding - put pressure on SNH to open grant system again.

iRecord: Continued investment in the iRecord system, with a particular focus on transparency around data flow and verification; so users can understand what's happening to their data and where it's going.

LERC funding over the long-term. How do we continue this in the face of budget cuts?

Make some arrangement whereby large organisations (Govt. Departments, local Authorities, statutory bodies etc.) which use biological data, make a contribution towards the cost of collecting and managing it.

More funding

More resources (money)!

More secure longer term financial base.

More support for Indicia websites and online recording

Move away from short-term funding agreements

Need for local authorities to provide funding for record centres

Promoting value of and resourcing of data submission with government

Provide central or local locations for paid verifiers to determine tricky species by dissection.

Provide funding for database development and management

Resource the Local Records Centres appropriately

Returning a portion of the funds generated from the use of biological data to scheme organsiers and the data sharing platform

Secure funding for that structure.

Secure future funding for the existing Local Record Centres.

Secure long-term funding to maintain the Seabird Monitoring Programme with provision for periodic (15 years) whole-colony census

Secure, properly funded bases for regional data management with appropriate staff

Seriously look into funding the biological recording infrastructure through a levy imposed on commercial developments in return for free access to data

Small grants for recording groups to buy equipment for lending out to members, or using at public events e.g. BioBlitzes

Some help with expenses.

Stop undermining LERC business models

Support for LRCs

Support LERC's more so that they can support their local recording community and local wildlife

Support local recorders and groups, and recording schemes more to help local conservation projects

Sustainability of service

Sustainable funding for LRCs

Sustainable funding for the kind of services provided by LERCs and for recording (short-term and uncertain funding is problematic)

Sustainable funding model

Sustainable funding systems

Volunteers reimbursement

We have data which we collect but do not have resources to input into record centres. Resources need to collate all data.

THEME: USE OF BIODIVERSITY DATA FOR DECISION-MAKING

as above [Establishment of a record centre or similar in Dundee / Angus]- and impacts on development decisions!

Ensure end-users such as Forestry Commission, SGRPID and Local Authorities use the data to inform development

local authorities should have to update their records regularly

Monitoring of use of biological data in decision making

Planners in local authorities to insist on consultants contacting LERCs for data for all relevant planning applications

Scottish Government policy that relevant data, where available, should be used in all relevant decision-making.

Strengthen the duty on public and private organisations to use biological data in decision making

Use monitoring to support data gathering to build evidence for urban greening where funds are lacking for developers/councils to get this. It is important to provide operational evidence for wider implementation of these measures in terrestrial and coastal areas.

THEME: VERIFICATION

Assistance for LRCs in validation/verification of records received.

Automation of verification

availability of reference collections or experts happy to check difficult identifications

circulating a list of verifiers for specific taxonomic groups

Data validation/verification/avoidance of duplication.

Data verification

Encourage new identification methods and improve access to ID material through the web

Ensuring all new data submitted to NBN is validated/verified

Ensuring records are appropriately verified.

Ensuring validation of records (Many records in some areas are submitted but not checked).

Get the verification discussion underway

good verification

Increase verification

List of experts to act as verifiers of records

New ideas for promoting recording of description species; many are photographed, there may be easier ways to submit, I suspect paperwork puts some people off

Remove the reliance on volunteer verification and provide a funded scheme of approved professionals

Sound validation of records

Support verifiers more so that they can help improve the quality of data on NBN

Verification

Verification of existing data

END OF APPENDIX 6 < back to contents>

*** END OF APPENDICES ***